City of Phoenix Public Transit Department | Compliance Section PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov

PRE-AWARD SUBRECIPIENT COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT REVIEW FORM FTA-FUNDED PROCUREMENTS

(For Procurements Valued at \$250k+ or Subrecipient Procurement Code Threshold Amount, Whichever is Less)

FORM INSTRUCTIONS

The City of Phoenix ("**City**"), acting by and through its Public Transit Department ("**PTD**"), is the designated recipient of Federal Transit Administration ("**FTA**") funds. Under FTA Circular 4220.1G ch. III, § 3, the City is obligated to ensure that third-party contracts comply with applicable federal, state, and local requirements Because of these obligations, PTD reviews FTA third-party contracts before approving funding to ensure the costs of third-party procurements comply with federal laws/regulations and are eligible for federal funding.

The purpose of this form is to standardize the competitive pre-award third-party procurement review process across all subrecipients. Before publishing the contract award recommendation, the Subrecipient conducting the complete form, procurement must this then email all of the required documentation to PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov to obtain approval to proceed.

PTD's standard review time is ten business days. Failure to complete the form in its entirety and provide all of the information required will result in delays for the City's approval, with each resubmission requiring up to ten business days to review.

PI	RE-AWARD REVIEW SEQUENCE	RESPONSIBLE PARTY		
1.	Prior to publishing its recommendation of contract award, Subrecipient must email this signed, completed form with all required documentation in one package to PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov .	Subrecipient		
2.	PTD Compliance will conduct a review of the pre-award documentation and supporting documents and either provide approval or rejection with comments in writing at the end this form.	PTD		
 Reminders: If any protest is filed after pre-award approval by PTD Compliance, Subrecipient must email the protest filings/responses and record of protest outcomes promptly after resolution of the protest. Promptly after contract execution, Subrecipient must email the fully executed contract document to PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov. 				

 Upon receipt of initial or annual Small Business Participation Plans (SBPP) from the awarded contractor(s), Subrecipient must promptly email each plan to <u>PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov</u> for review and approval.

Pre-Award Subrecipient Competitive Procurement Review Form					
Subrecipient Name:	Primary Contact Name:				
Primary Contact Phone:	Primary Contact Email:				
Solicitation Number:	Solicitation Title:				
Aggregate Contract Value(s) (including optional extension	ensions): \$				
Identify and explain any and all revisions to the Solicitation that deviate from the details provided in Subrecipient's Pre-Solicitation submission (<i>e.g.</i> , procurement method, contract term, contract type, etc.): Provide Solicitation Addendum/Section References for each deviation:					
For Transit Vehicle contracts, complete the following	g:				
Are Offeror(s) and its/their subcontractors on FTA's certified list of Transit Vehicle Manufacturers (or otherwise authorized for vehicle manufacturing by FTA) and compliant with the limitations of 49 U.S.C. §5323(u), prohibiting the procurement of rolling stock from specified manufacturers for public transportation use? See Transit Vehicle Manufacturers (or otherwise authorized for vehicle manufacturing by FTA) and compliant with the limitations of 49 U.S.C. §5323(u), prohibiting the procurement of rolling stock from specified manufacturers for public transportation use? See Transit Vehicle Manufacturers List FTA (dot.gov).					
PRE-AWARD DOCUMENT SUBMITTALS		CHECK			
 Cost or Price Analysis (as applicable), including reasonable - signed and dated by Subrecipient 					
2. System for Award Management ("SAM") Verific	ation (<u>sam.gov</u>)				
 Adverse Responsiveness/Responsibility Detern dated by Subrecipient 	ninations (if applicable) – signed and				
 Evaluation Summary and Scoring Attachment(s all scored evaluation criteria (as applicable) for the technical proposal, pricing, qualifications, et 	each Offeror (e.g., scoring/ranking of				
5. Conflict-of-Interest Forms From Evaluation Pan Subrecipient	el Members – signed and dated by				
6. Record of Interviews with Offerors (if applicable	e) – signed and dated by Subrecipient				

7. Record of Negotiations with Offerors (if applicable) including nurpose history	
and all other outcomes were negotiated, as suppo Estimate and any advisory audits – signed and da	nation of how the final price, terms, rted by the Independent Cost	
8. Best and Final Offer(s) (BAFO), including the Req	uest for BAFO(s) (if applicable)	
 Protest Filings/Responses and Record of Protest Outcome(s), signed and dated by Subrecipient 		
10. Determination of Adequate Competition (if only one offer received) – signed and dated by Subrecipient ¹		
11. Final Solicitation with any Addenda		
12. Draft Contract Document(s) for Execution, including the following, signed and dated by Offeror(s) (as applicable):		
FTA Clauses and Certifications in Draft Contract		
DBE Clauses and EO Forms/Exhibits	DBE Clauses and EO Forms/Exhibits	
Other Exhibits/Attachments		
 Subrecipient's Pre-Award Rolling Stock Certification Delivery Rolling Stock Certifications), including the fol Subrecipient (if applicable) 		
Purchaser's Requirements	Purchaser's Requirements	
🗌 Buy America		
Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVS	SS)	
PROCUREM	ENT PROCESS	
Date Solicitation Publicly Posted:	Where Solicitation Posted:	
Date Pre-Offer Conference Held:	Date/Time Offers Due:	
Number of Offers Received:	Number of Responsive/Responsib	le Offers:
	1	

PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF OFFERS FTA Circular 4220.1G ch. IV, § 2			
1. Identify all offerors:			
2. Identify offerors who submitted late, withdrawn, or modified offers:			
3. E0 forms and supporting docs reviewed by PTD Compliance for responsiveness to solicitation requirements:			
4. Identify offerors rejected by subrecipient for nonresponsiveness/nonresponsibility and the causes for their			
rejection, attaching any adverse determinations to this form:			
EVALUATION PROCESS FTA Circular 4220.1G ch. VI, § 6			
1. Date(s) Panel Convened:			
 2. Collected and reviewed evaluation panel member statements on conflicts of interest: yes no 3. For a Solicitation receiving only one offer (if applicable¹): 			
a. Did you conduct a review of the solicitation for undue restrictiveness?			
b. Can changes to the solicitation be made to encourage greater competition? L Yes L No			
c. Did you confirm that specifications were not too restrictive or written for a single source?			
Yes No			
d. Did unrelated factors beyond subrecipient's control cause potential offerors not to submit an offer?			
☐ Yes ☐ No			
In addition to the above, please provide a determination that explains how competition was adequate, which includes an assessment of the specifications for undue restrictiveness and any other factors that may have impacted competition.			
Determination attached:			
☐ Yes ☐ No			

¹ Upon receiving a single offer in response to the solicitation, FTA Circular 4220.1G ch. VI, section 3(h)(1)(d) requires that
Subrecipient must determine if competition was adequate. This requires Subrecipient to prepare a determination that
assesses the specifications to determine if they are unduly restrictive or if changes can be made to encourage submission
of more bids or proposals.

AWARD RECOMMENDATION FTA Circular 4220.1G ch. VI, § 7

Recommended Contract Awardee(s):

Subrecipient's targeted date to seek Council/Board authority for contract award (after approval of this Pre-Award submission):

SUBRECIPIENT ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND AUTHORIZED SIGNATURES

offeror whose responsive offer co	hat the contract is to be awarded to the r nforms in all material respects to the requ /es no					
The Procurement Officer verifies t cost/price analysis:	hat the awarded contract price is fair and yes no	reasonable based on a conducted				
By signing below, Subrecipient (procuring entity) verifies that all submitted pre-award documents have been thoroughly reviewed for accuracy and the foregoing information is true and correct.						
Manager Review Completed:	☐ yes ☐ N/A					
Procurement Officer Name	Procurement Officer Signature	Date Signed				