



City of Phoenix

December 31, 2025

Amanda McGowan

Sent Via: Email – [REDACTED]

RE: Dismissal and Closure of Ethics Inquiry EC-25-16

Dear Amanda McGowan:

I am writing to formally notify you that the Ethics Commission (“Commission”) met on December 18, 2025, and after a thorough review and consideration of Ethics Inquiry EC-25-16 (“Ethics Complaint”), the Commission voted to dismiss the Ethics Complaint.

The Commission’s decision was based on a comprehensive assessment of the available facts and in accordance with relevant city ordinances, policies, or procedures, including but not limited to, Phoenix City Code Sec. 2-52 and 2-53. The Commission dismissed the Ethics Complaint by an affirmative vote of at least four members, based upon a determination that the Ethics Complaint was facially insufficient to warrant investigation. Pursuant to Phoenix City Code Sec. 2-53, the dismissal of the Ethics Complaint is not subject to review, and the matter is now closed.

For additional information, please see the City of Phoenix Ethics Commission website for meeting results, minutes, and resources, including the Bylaws, Rules of Procedure, and Ordinances: www.phoenix.gov/ethics.

We appreciate your cooperation and understanding regarding this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information regarding our decision, please contact the Commission at ethics.commission@phoenix.gov for further clarification.

Sincerely,

Sam Leyvas
Ethics Commission Chair



City of Phoenix

December 31, 2025

Vice Mayor Ann O'Brien

Sent Via: Email – ann.obrien@phoenix.gov

RE: Dismissal and Closure of Ethics Inquiry EC-25-16

Dear Vice Mayor Ann O'Brien:

I am writing to formally notify you that the Ethics Commission ("Commission") met on December 18, 2025, and after a thorough review and consideration of Ethics Inquiry EC-25-16 ("Ethics Complaint"), the Commission voted to dismiss the Ethics Complaint.

The Commission's decision was based on a comprehensive assessment of the available facts and in accordance with relevant city ordinances, policies, or procedures, including but not limited to, Phoenix City Code Sec. 2-52 and 2-53. The Commission dismissed the Ethics Complaint by an affirmative vote of at least four members, based upon a determination that the Ethics Complaint was facially insufficient to warrant investigation. Pursuant to Phoenix City Code Sec. 2-53, the dismissal of the Ethics Complaint is not subject to review, and the matter is now closed.

For additional information, please see the City of Phoenix Ethics Commission website for meeting results, minutes, and resources, including the Bylaws, Rules of Procedure, and Ordinances: www.phoenix.gov/ethics.

We appreciate your cooperation and understanding regarding this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information regarding our decision, please contact the Commission at ethics.commission@phoenix.gov for further clarification.

Sincerely,

Sam Leyvas
Ethics Commission Chair



City of Phoenix

DENISE ARCHIBALD
CITY CLERK

To: Ethics Commission
ethics.commission@phoenix.gov

Date: November 18, 2025

From: Denise Archibald
City Clerk

Subject: TRANSMITTAL OF ETHICS COMPLAINT NUMBER EC-25-16

On November 14, 2025, the City Clerk Department received the attached ethics complaint from Amanda McGowan.

Pursuant to Phoenix City Code, Section 2-53(H), I am forwarding this complaint to you, to be placed on the agenda of the Commission's next available meeting.

Enclosure: Ethics Complaint

ec: Ed Zuercher, City Manager
Lori Bays, Assistant City Manager
Alan Stephenson, Deputy City Manager
Julie Kriegh, City Attorney
Deryck Lavelle, Chief Assistant City Attorney
Hope Olguin, Deputy City Clerk



City of Phoenix

Time Stamp

CITY CLERK
RECEIVED
NOV 14 P 4:21

City Clerk's Office Use Only

Ethics Inquiry Number: EC -

Date Filed: 11-14-2025 (By Email) AA

Date Forwarded to Ethics Commission: 11-18-2025

Ethics Commission Meeting Date: _____

ETHICS INQUIRY FORM

Name of Public Official Vice Mayor Ann

Elected Official: ☐ Mayor

☒ Councilmember / District 1

Board / Commission Member: _____

(Name of Board or Commission)

Month / Year when Conduct Occurred 11/2025

Amanda McGowan

Person Filing Inquiry

Amanda McGowan

Printed Name

Mailing Address (Number, Street, Unit)

City, State, Zip

E-mail Address

Phone

Under penalty of perjury, I affirm that I am a City of Phoenix resident and that the attached statement in support of this inquiry is based on my personal knowledge of the events and is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature

11/14/25

Date

This form must be filed with the Phoenix City Clerk's Office
In person at 200 W. Washington St., 15th Floor, Phoenix, AZ 85003 OR
as an attachment to an email to: clk.director@phoenix.gov

From: [REDACTED]
To: [CLK Director Office](#)
Subject: Ethics Inquiry Request- 51st Avenue Gravity Sewer Project- Ann O'Brien- Northpark
Date: Friday, November 14, 2025 1:10:25 PM
Attachments: [Ethics Request for Inquiry Form.pdf](#)
[ORD47129-S.pdf](#)
[TSMC developer agreement.pdf](#)
[obrien northpark newsletter.pdf](#)
[OBrien Gravity sewer.pdf](#)

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the City of Phoenix.

Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and were expecting this email.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Please see attached form, statement & supporting documentation requesting an ethics inquiry. Please let me know if you need any additional information to facilitate this request. Thank you,

-Amanda McGowan

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



City of Phoenix

Time Stamp

City Clerk's Office Use Only

Ethics Inquiry Number: EC - _____

Date Filed: _____

Date Forwarded to Ethics Commission: _____

Ethics Commission Meeting Date: _____

ETHICS INQUIRY FORM

Name of Public Official Vice Mayor Ann

Elected Official: ☐ Mayor ☒ Councilmember / District 1

Board / Commission Member: _____
(Name of Board or Commission)

Month / Year when Conduct Occurred 11/2025

Amanda McGowan

Person Filing Inquiry

Amanda McGowan

Printed Name

[REDACTED]

Mailing Address (Number, Street, Unit)

[REDACTED]

City, State, Zip

[REDACTED]

E-mail Address

Phone

Under penalty of perjury, I affirm that I am a City of Phoenix resident and that the attached statement in support of this inquiry is based on my personal knowledge of the events and is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

[REDACTED]

11/14/25

Date

**This form must be filed with the Phoenix City Clerk's Office
In person at 200 W. Washington St., 15th Floor, Phoenix, AZ 85003 OR
as an attachment to an email to: clk.director@phoenix.gov**



City of Phoenix

Instructions for Ethics Commission Request for Ethics Inquiry

Background:

The City of Phoenix Ethics Commission is established to initiate proceedings, conduct an initial evaluation, and if necessary, investigate, proceed with a formal hearing, and recommend action to the Mayor and City Council related to alleged ethics or gift policy violations by a City Council member ("Elected Official") or City of Phoenix board, commission, committee or task force member ("Board Member"). The City of Phoenix ethics and gift policy violations are detailed under Phoenix City Code Section 2-52 (*City of Phoenix ethics and gift policies*) and Section 2-53 (*City of Phoenix Ethics Commission; ethics or gift policy violations by elected officials or board members*).

A Phoenix resident who is directly aggrieved by an act or failure to act of an elected official or board member may file with the Phoenix City Clerk a written, Ethics Inquiry Form containing specific allegations of an Ethics Policy violated by an Elected Official or Board Member. The resident must certify to the truth of the allegations under penalty of perjury and acknowledge that a frivolous request for Ethics Inquiry may result in the award of sanctions against the resident. The Phoenix City Clerk shall not accept the submission of an anonymous request for Ethics Inquiry.

Complete the Form:

Complete the inquiry form and include the following information along with the completed form. The information you provide will be used to evaluate and investigate the allegation.

1. Provide a written statement of the violation including what happened and any important details to explain where or when it happened, how it happened, and who was involved.
2. Provide a clear and complete copy of any supporting documents.

The completed form and all documents need to be delivered to the City of Phoenix City Clerk Department located at City Hall, 200 W. Washington St., on the 15th Floor or submitted by email to the City Clerk's Office to: clk.director@phoenix.gov.

Next Steps:

Within five days from the date the request for Ethics Inquiry is filed with the City Clerk, the Ethics Inquiry will be referred to the Ethics Commission for initial evaluation at the Ethics Commission's next available meeting, and a copy will be sent to the resident.

Public Records:

All records related to the Request for Inquiry will be made public in accordance with Arizona law after the Ethics Commission votes in open session to either: (i) dismiss the request for Ethics Inquiry in whole after an initial evaluation; or (ii) make a final recommendation to the Phoenix City Council related to the request for Ethics Inquiry pursuant to City Code 2-53(K).

I am writing as a concerned resident of the North Gateway area to formally request an ethics inquiry into the actions and statements of Vice Mayor/Councilwoman Ann O'Brien regarding the proposed TSMC-related expansion and the associated public infrastructure planning in our community- commonly known as "NorthPark"- Rezoning Case No. Z-139-24-1, (2) First Neighborhood Meeting for General Plan Amendment (GPA) Case Nos. GPA-NG-1-24-1 & GPA-NG-2-24-1 & the 51st Avenue Gravity Sewer Project.

Residents recently learned that the City entered into a developer agreement allocating taxpayer funds to install a gravity sewer line within our community, described as necessary to support TSMC-related development. This infrastructure was advanced **before TSMC owned the land in question and before any zoning approvals for industrial use had been granted**. This raises serious concerns regarding whether public funds were used to provide a significant benefit to a private company in advance of the required public process. Additionally, in initial public meetings held in 2024, the rezoning applicants did not disclose the proposed TSMC expansion south of the 303 freeway or industrial uses to the public in public meetings. Community members who have been engaging in the rezoning & GPA case process through the Village Planning Committee & public meetings have overwhelmingly expressed that they feel decisions were already made in private meetings and the public comments do not matter.

Vice Mayor/Councilwoman has made multiple statements asserting to our community members that she had no knowledge of any planned TSMC expansion, including any industrial use or rezoning proposals involving nearby State Trust Land until this summer. She has publicly advertised her assisting Pulte Homes with planning the Northpark development, calling the project Arcadia-like with no mention of industrial plans or TSMC expansion. These statements appear inconsistent with the existence of a developer agreement that appear to have directed public resources toward infrastructure specifically intended to support that expansion on land still held in trust and not owned by Pulte or TSMC, and not yet rezoned for the proposed industrial uses.

The situation raises several ethical concerns:

1. **Use of public funds for private benefit:** Advancing taxpayer-funded infrastructure tailored to a single private company- before zoning approvals, public disclosure, or land ownership- may constitute an inappropriate use of public resources or, at minimum, the appearance of preferential treatment.
2. **Transparency and accuracy of public statements:** If the Vice Mayor/Councilwoman was aware of the infrastructure project and its purpose, her public claims of having no knowledge of potential TSMC expansion may have misled the community and undermined residents' ability to participate meaningfully in the public process.
3. **Appearance of impropriety or undisclosed coordination:** The mismatch between the infrastructure commitments and the public narrative has eroded trust and raises questions about whether relevant information was withheld from the public.
4. **Village Planning Committee Conflicts of Interest-** a separate but related inquiry request into the perceived conflicts of interests of many members of the North Gateway Village Planning Committee, which she appoints members for, has already been sent to the city.

For these reasons, I respectfully request a **formal ethics inquiry** to determine:

- Whether the Vice Mayor/Councilwoman had knowledge of the developer agreement and its purpose;
- Whether she understood that the infrastructure being funded was intended to support TSMC-related development;
- Whether her public statements denying knowledge of TSMC expansion were accurate;
- Whether the use of taxpayer funds for infrastructure benefiting a specific private company prior to zoning approval violated or appeared to violate City ethics standards; and
- Whether any City policies regarding transparency, honesty, or avoidance of misleading the public were breached.

Our community depends on full transparency from our elected officials, particularly on issues involving land use, industrial zoning, and public expenditures that directly impact thousands of families. A formal review is essential to restore confidence and ensure the integrity of the public process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I am prepared to provide additional information or documentation if needed.

Sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

ORDINANCE S-47129

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY AND TO EXECUTE ANY OTHER INSTRUMENTS OR DOCUMENTS NECESSARY; FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE CITY TREASURER TO ACCEPT FUNDS AND THE CITY CONTROLLER TO DISBURSE FUNDS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PHOENIX as follows:

SECTION 1. The City Manager or his designee is authorized to enter into a development agreement ("DA") and to execute any other instruments or documents necessary (collectively, the "Agreements") with Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company or its City-approved affiliate ("TSMC"), for the development of a semiconductor manufacturing campus in Phoenix, and related public infrastructure.

SECTION 2. The terms of the Agreements are as follows:

- A. TSMC agrees to expand into the City with an approximately \$12 billion advanced semiconductor manufacturing campus located within the City of Phoenix.**
- B. TSMC intends to create up to 1,900 total new jobs within five years of entering into the DA.**
- C. Within five years from execution of the DA, TSMC shall complete construction of the first phase of the project.**

- D. The City shall construct and install certain regional public infrastructure improvements, in an amount not to exceed 205 million dollars (\$205,000,000), as generally described below:
- a. Streets: Approximately three miles of full arterial streets including streets, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights and landscaping that will serve the project and surrounding region totaling not more than \$60 million. Additionally, the City would design and install one traffic signal at a cost of approximately \$500,000 and potentially one additional signal at \$500,000 depending on a future traffic study. The total amount of street infrastructure will not exceed \$61 million.
 - b. Water: New regional public water infrastructure improvements totaling \$37 million to service the project and surrounding area, that may include a pressure reducing station, 16-inch to 54-inch diameter water transmission mains, and possible booster pump station upgrades. The City would provide adequate water to meet the demands of the Project at the standard City water rates.
 - c. Sewer: new public wastewater infrastructure improvements totaling \$107 million to service the project and surrounding area, which may include 15-inch to 60-inch gravity sewer mains. The City would provide wastewater services for the project at standard City wastewater rates.
- E. TSMC shall dedicate any properties and easements needed for installation of the public infrastructure improvements on terms to be specified in the DA.
- F. The City shall provide a professional team on-site, dedicated to the Project to ensure responsiveness with plan review and inspections.
- G. The DA may contain other terms and conditions deemed necessary by City staff.

SECTION 3. The City Treasurer is authorized to accept, and the City Controller is authorized to disburse, funds necessary for the purposes of this Ordinance.


PASSED by the Council of the City of Phoenix this 18th day of November,

2020.



MAYOR

ATTEST:




Denise Archibald, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Cris Meyer, City Attorney

BY: 

Chief Counsel TGS

REVIEWED BY:



Ed Zuercher, City Manager EZ

TGS:rb:LF20-2758:11/18/20:2228889_1



City of Phoenix

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

To: Milton Dohoney
Assistant City Manager

Date: December 22, 2020


From: Cris A. Meyer
City Attorney

Subject: CORRECTION MEMO – ORDINANCE S-47129

This correction memo requests that changes be made to Ordinance S-47129 passed by the City Council at the formal meeting held on November 18, 2020.

Ordinance S-47129 should be corrected to clarify the name of the entity contracting with the City of Phoenix to "Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company **or its City-approved affiliate** ('TSMC')." (Corrected language in bold). Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company has created a subsidiary entity, TSMC Arizona Corporation, that will be entering into the development agreement approved by the Ordinance. Please contact me if you have any questions or need any additional information.

A copy of the corrected ordinance is attached to this memo.

Approved: 
Milton Dohoney, Assistant City Manager

kka401760001devagr-60-1-1--
Garcia

WHEN RECORDED, RETURN TO:

Thomas G. Stack, Esq.
City of Phoenix
200 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Phoenix, AZ 85003

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

City Contract No. 154048--0

This Development Agreement ("**Agreement**") is entered into as of the 31st day of March, 2021 (the "**Effective Date**"), by and between the CITY OF PHOENIX, Arizona, an Arizona municipal corporation (which, together with any successor, public body or officer hereafter designated by or pursuant to law, is hereinafter referred to as "**City**"), and TSMC Arizona Corporation, an Arizona corporation, together with its permitted successors and assigns, is hereinafter referred to as "**Owner**") (each a "**Party**" and collectively the "**Parties**").

RECITALS

A. The Parties hereto acknowledge that this Agreement constitutes a "Development Agreement" within the meaning of Arizona Revised Statutes, Section 9-500.05, and that, in accordance therewith, it shall be recorded against the interest of Owner in the Site, as defined in Recital B below, in the Office of the Maricopa County Recorder to give notice to all persons of its existence.

B. The Owner intends to develop an advanced semiconductor FAB (the "**Initial Project**" or "**Project**") consisting of approximately 4.5 million total square feet planned to be located on approximately 300 acres, situated within a campus of approximately 1,050 acres of land generally located south of the future Dove Valley Road alignment, north of Loop 303 and bounded on the west by Deadman's Wash and on the east by the future 43rd Avenue alignment in North Phoenix (the "**Property**" or "**Phoenix Semiconductor Campus**"). The Property is legally described on Exhibit A-1 and depicted on Exhibit A-2. Owner will own the Property, or have possessory interest, or hold equitable title to the Property with the option to obtain fee title interest

(the “**Purchase Option**”). Owner’s total spending on the Project, including capital expenditure, will be approximately \$12 billion through 2029. The City recognizes that the type of wafer fabrication technology manufactured on the Property may change based on customer demand, but that the initial manufacturing is expected to be 5-nanometer technology.

C. As part of the new Phoenix Semiconductor Campus, Owner will hire an estimated 1,900 new permanent jobs at the Property, which is expected to open in 2024. To accommodate for this significant investment and new jobs, additional public infrastructure is required to serve the Property and bring new employees and technology development to the area. The City acknowledges and agrees that the estimate of 1,900 jobs and a total spending, including capital expenditures of \$12 billion dollars through 2029 is a program model estimate only, and this Development Agreement is not contingent upon the total job creation or the total spending. However, the City’s infrastructure investment is contingent on Owner’s spending \$6 billion dollars on the Initial Project (the “**Minimum Project Improvements**”) with a minimum of 1,000 new jobs. City also acknowledges that the estimated 1,900 jobs will be new to the State of Arizona, but Owner may relocate some existing employees from other locations to ensure continuity of product development, management and training.

D. The City desires to obtain those public benefits that will accrue from growth and development within the Property and surrounding area, as well as the construction of public infrastructure improvements. Such benefits include the creation of jobs, stimulation of economic development in the City, and generation of substantial additional tax revenues, all of which will contribute to the improvement or enhancement of the economic welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Phoenix.

E. Authorization to enter into this Agreement was adopted by the City Council on November 18, 2020, pursuant to Ordinance S-47129, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by this reference.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual agreements set forth herein, it is understood and agreed by the Parties hereto as follows:

1. **Recitals.** The recitals and attached exhibits set forth above are acknowledged by the Parties to be true and correct and are incorporated herein by this reference.

2. **City Representations.**

2.1 **City Acting in Economic Development Capacity Only.** Owner acknowledges and agrees that the obligations, approvals, and other actions by the City under this Agreement are the exercise of the City’s economic development powers, and not as a municipality exercising regulatory powers. The Owner still must comply with land use regulations, codes and laws affecting the acquisition, ownership, use, improvement and development of property, and the vacation and abandonment of public rights-of-way and easements. Nothing in this Agreement constitutes an exemption or grant of a variance from applicable codes and laws. Subject to

applicable state and local laws and regulations, the City will work with the Owner to expedite all required City permitting and to provide reasonable assistance to the Owner with all aspects of this permitting and other approvals or permitting necessary for the Project, including any Federal Emergency Management Agency (“FEMA”) or other approvals that are required for storm water drainage to be built for the Project. Owner acknowledges, however, that nothing in this Agreement constitutes an approval in the City’s regulatory capacity, and that Owner is responsible for obtaining all required permitting for the Project.

2.2 **City Council Requirement.** The City and Owner acknowledge that, notwithstanding any language of this Agreement or any subsequent additional document, no act, requirement, payment or other agreed-upon action to be done or performed by the City which would, under any federal, state or city constitution, statute, charter provision, ordinance or regulation, require formal action, approval or concurrence by the City Council, will be required to be done or performed by the City—other than that authorized by Ordinance S-47129—unless and until said formal City Council action has been taken and completed in accordance with all applicable laws. This Agreement in no way acquiesces to or obligates the City to perform a legislative act or take any action in violation of A.R.S. Sections 42-17101 through 42-17110.

3. **Owner Representations.**

3.1 Owner is a corporation, duly formed and validly existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, and authorized to transact business in Arizona. Owner has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement, and the execution, delivery, and consummation of this Agreement by the Owner have been duly authorized.

3.2 The execution, delivery and consummation of this Agreement by the Owner is not prohibited by and does not conflict with any other agreements or instruments to which the Owner is a party or is otherwise subject.

3.3 Owner has received no notice as of the date of this Agreement asserting any noncompliance in any material respect by the Owner with applicable statutes, rules and regulations of the United States of America, the State of Arizona, the City, or of any other country, state, municipality or agency having jurisdiction over and with respect to the transactions contemplated in and by this Agreement, and the Owner is not in default with respect to any judgment, order, injunction, or decree of any court, administrative agency, or other governmental authority in any respect material to the transactions contemplated in and by this Agreement.

4. **Owner Construction of the Project.**

4.1 Owner proposes to construct or cause to be constructed, the Project, which will be located on a portion of the Property shown on Exhibit A-1. The construction plans and specifications for the Project submitted for the construction permits as may be modified during construction from time to time is referred to herein as the “**Plan**”. The Plan may be amended by the Owner as long as the Plan remains consistent with the description of the Initial Project, and satisfies the Minimum Project Improvements as defined in Recital C.

4.2 The Parties acknowledge and agree that Owner's commencement of construction of the Project is based on the following factors at the Owner's discretion (the "**Preconditions**");

- a. Owner obtaining federal grants from the U.S. government anticipated by the Owner;
- b. Owner complying with any mitigation conditions imposed or required, if any, by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States;
- c. Owner receiving grants from the State of Arizona anticipated by the Owner;
- d. Owner receiving consent from the State of Arizona to purchase the Property or construct the Project; and
- e. Owner obtaining a patent through a document by which the State of Arizona originally transfers title to the Property to the Owner.

If the Owner does not accomplish, obtain or receive any of the above releases, and it is not waived, by the Owner in the Construction Commencement Notice, as provided in Section 4.3 below, then both (i) the Owner is released from its obligation to start and/or complete the Minimum Project Improvements and any obligations flowing therefrom and Owner shall be entitled to the return to it of any amounts held under the Escrow Agreement and the Guaranty shall be void, and (ii) the City is released from any obligations under the Agreement, including but not limited to any obligations to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements

4.3 The Owner will provide notice to the City as soon as reasonably possible when these Preconditions are met or waived to Owner's satisfaction, in its sole discretion, along with the Guaranty detailed in Section 4.6(d) below (the "**Construction Commencement Notice**"). Along with the Construction Commencement Notice, Owner will coordinate with the City to ensure compliance and provide documentation required under A.R.S. § 42-5032.02 ("**Title 42 Program**") with respect to Property only and all other documentation needed by law for the City to obtain reimbursement of a portion of the City's costs for the Public Infrastructure Improvements. Owner shall have no obligation to construct the Project, and the City will have no obligation to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements, until the Owner provides such Construction Commencement Notice to the City. The date the City receives the Construction Commencement Notice shall be considered Owner's **Construction Commencement Date**. Owner must provide the Construction Commencement Notice by no later than April 1, 2021, in order for the City to meet the Public Infrastructure Improvement construction deadlines detailed in this Agreement. In the event Owner cannot meet this deadline, the Parties will discuss an extension and use best efforts to reach a mutually acceptable agreement for the terms of said extension. The City's obligations will be deferred for this period of delay.

4.4 Within five years (5) from the execution of the Agreement (the "**Five Year Period**") subject to Force Majeure Events and the Grace Period (each as hereinafter defined), Owner shall complete construction of the Project pursuant to the Plan and satisfying the Minimum

Project Improvements (“**Construction Completion Date**”), which shall include at least one semiconductor manufacturing facility, having paid its applicable fees relating to the construction and occupancy of the building(s), and obtain the final certificate of occupancy for the semiconductor manufacturing facility (the “**Certificate of Occupancy**”). The Owner shall have the right to extend the Five-Year Period for two (2) additional years to meet the Construction Completion Date, (the “**Grace Period**”).

4.5 Owner acknowledges that the City does not control the private utilities or their submissions to the City for construction. Owner will need to secure contracts with all private utilities for service. City will act as a coordinator of the private utilities during the plan review and construction phase, in conjunction with the public infrastructure.

4.6 Owner will design and construct the Project in general conformance to the description of the Plan. Owner may make reasonable modifications to the Plan over time; provided, however, that the Plan must satisfy the Minimum Project Improvements. If Owner does not timely meet the Construction Completion Date within the Five Year Period, plus the Grace Period and any extension due to Force Majeure Events (characterized as “**Timely**”), the Parties may terminate the Development Agreement, with no obligation to build the Project or Public Infrastructure Improvements, except for Owner’s liability for damages to the City as follows:

a. If Owner notifies the City in writing that Owner will not construct the Project prior to the Owner beginning construction of the Project, Owner may terminate this Agreement with no liability to the City including no liability for the design costs and related expenses.

b. Once designs are complete, City will notify Owner of the schedule to begin construction of Phase 1, attached on Exhibit D and as defined in Section 6 below, of Public Infrastructure Improvements to meet the timelines identified in Section 5, and request Owner’s approval to start work on each phase. Owner shall provide certain guaranty and escrow amounts (discussed below) for use by City if Owner fails to construct the Minimum Project Improvements. City may only access any guaranty and/or escrow amounts if Owner fails to construct the Minimum Project Improvements as called for in this Agreement, to cover the City’s costs in construction of Project Infrastructure Improvements for the phases approved by Owner. Any guaranty and/or escrow amounts shall not be used to reimburse City for design costs referenced in Section 5, and Owner’s liability to the City for costs shall be reduced by impact fees, and/or the City’s portion of construction sales taxes paid to the City by the Owner in connection with the Project.

c. Once the Owner has Timely completed the Minimum Project Improvements, and starts manufacturing semiconductor wafers (which shall be deemed satisfied by Owner starting manufacturing of a first engineering wafer of 5-nanometer technology or other advanced technology node as determined by Owner (the “**First Engineering Wafer**”)) at the Property, Owner shall be relieved of all liability under the Development Agreement, any guaranty and/or escrow document or agreement and shall have no further liability to the City under the Development Agreement. If Owner has not met the Minimum Project Improvements within the Five Year Period, plus the Grace Period and any extension due to Force Majeure Events and City collects on Owner’s liability under this section, City will reimburse Owner for the proportional

cost of any money collected from future developers of the region that relates to the Public Infrastructure Improvements and from any funds received by City for the Title 42 Program, unless those monies are not subject to repayment, necessary to offset Owner's liability for the City's costs of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, or necessary to build additional public infrastructure improvements in the region. This reimbursement obligation shall expire five years from the date City collects on the Owner's liability.

d. Owner acknowledges that City is agreeing to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements under this Agreement based on Owner's agreement to construct the Minimum Project Improvements. Prior to the City incurring any obligation to begin the construction of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, Owner must enter into an escrow agreement ("**Escrow Agreement**") at Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. or another bank with a local office in the Phoenix metro area approved by the City ("**Escrow Bank**"), in a form approved by the City. Under the Escrow Agreement, Owner must deposit fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000) into an interest-bearing escrow account ("**Escrowed Funds**"). If Owner Timely completes the Minimum Project Improvements under the Agreement and starts manufacturing a First Engineering Wafer at the Property, the Parties shall promptly deliver to Escrow Bank a written notice stating that Escrow Bank shall release the Escrowed Funds and any interest to Owner. If the City fails to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements, for any reason other than the Owner's breach of this Agreement, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events, then the Parties shall proceed with the procedures set out in Section 6.12. The Escrowed Funds shall be released to the City if: (i) Owner does not Timely complete the Minimum Project Improvements and start manufacturing a First Engineering Wafer at the Property in a reasonable amount of time after the completion of the Minimum Project Improvements except if such failure to complete is a result of the City's failure to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements; (ii) City determines in its reasonable discretion that Owner is insolvent or cannot satisfy its obligations under the Agreement; or (iii) Owner provides written notice to City that Owner will not Timely complete the Minimum Project Improvements, except if providing such notice is due to the City's failure to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements (for any reason other than the Owner's breach of this Agreement, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events). In addition to the Escrowed Funds, prior to the City incurring any obligation to begin the construction of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, Owner shall provide a corporate guaranty in the amount of one hundred fifty-five million dollars (\$155,000,000), in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E ("**Guaranty**"). City may collect upon the Guaranty if any of the following occur: (x) Owner does not Timely complete the Minimum Project Improvements and start manufacturing a First Engineering Wafer at the Property in a reasonable amount of time after the completion of the Minimum Project Improvements except where such failure to complete is a result of the City's failure to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements (for any reason other than the Owner's breach of this Agreement, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events); (y) City determines in its reasonable discretion that Owner is insolvent or cannot satisfy its obligations under the Agreement; or (z) Owner provides written notice to the City that Owner will not complete the Minimum Project Improvements, except if providing such notice is due to the City's failure to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements (for any reason other than the Owner's breach of this Agreement, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events). The Guaranty shall remain in place and be terminated when Owner has completed the Minimum Project Improvements and starts manufacturing a First Engineering Wafer at the Property or the

Parties agree otherwise.

e. If Owner has materially defaulted and the City collects and receives the Escrowed Funds or funds from the Guaranty, the City shall apply those recovered funds to the City's costs relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements, and reduce Owner's liability to the City under the Agreement. If Owner provides written notice to the City that Owner will not complete the Minimum Project Improvements, City will use commercially reasonable efforts to stop current work on the Public Infrastructure Improvements; provided, however, that the City in its reasonable discretion may complete work on Public Infrastructure Improvements that are in progress. Owner shall have no liability for any Public Infrastructure Improvement expenses above seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) for the Guaranty ("**Minimum Guaranty Amount**") and the \$50,000,000 under the Escrow Agreement, unless the City actually incurred those expenses. For avoidance of doubt, City expenses may also include City staff time relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements but not overhead expenses or office operating expenses. To the extent the City collects and receives Escrowed Funds or funds from the Guaranty in excess of the expenses incurred by the City relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements, City agrees to apply any excess funds towards public infrastructure improvements that promote the development of the Property and surrounding area.

5. City Construction of Public Infrastructure Improvements; Construction Prerequisites. In connection with Owner's development of the Property, after satisfaction of the Public Infrastructure Prerequisites identified below, City agrees to design and construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements identified in Section 6 of this Agreement. The City's obligation to design and construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements is expressly subject to the following terms and conditions (collectively, the "**Construction Prerequisites**"):

5.1 Owner submits the Construction Commencement Notice.

5.2 Owner obtains all necessary dedications for the installation of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, and the dedications are accepted by the City. In obtaining the necessary dedications, Owner must also provide the City with current Phase 1 environmental assessments and any other necessary documentation requested by the City for it to accept the dedicated land.

Subject to the provisions of Section 28 (Force Majeure), if Owner fails to satisfy any one of the foregoing conditions set forth in Section 4.4 within five years of the Effective Date plus the Grace Period and any extension due to Force Majeure then the City shall have no further obligation to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements under this Agreement.

6. Public Infrastructure Improvements. Subject to the Owner's timely submittal of the Construction Commencement Notice, Owner's delay under its Grace Period, Force Majeure Events, or other delays by the Owner in connection with the construction of the Project or the approvals discussed above, and further subject to any Force Majeure Events, the City shall timely construct and install, or cause to be timely constructed and installed, certain public improvements and certain community improvements described below and as further shown on Exhibit C attached hereto (collectively, the "**Public Infrastructure Improvements**"), in each case in amounts

adequate to serve the Project's needs according to the Plan in consultation with Owner, in a total amount not to exceed two hundred five million dollars (\$205,000,000) in City costs (collectively, the "**Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap**"). The Public Infrastructure Improvements will include at least the items designated as Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements in Exhibit C. In the event the Owner does not complete the Project according to the Plan by the Construction Completion Date plus the Grace Period and any extension due to Force Majeure Events, the City will not be obligated to complete the Public Infrastructure Improvements. The City and Owner will collaborate and identify specific Public Infrastructure Improvements, in an amount not to exceed Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap which shall be completed by the City in a phased fashion (the "**Public Infrastructure Improvement Phasing Schedule**"), with the Public Infrastructure Improvements completed no later than April 1, 2022, subject to the Owner's timely submittal of the Construction Commencement Notice. Owner's delay under its Grace Period, Force Majeure Events or other delays by the Owner in connection with the construction of the Project or the approvals discussed above, and further subject to any Force Majeure Events. In the event of the above delays, the City and Owner shall collaborate on a new schedule for the Public Infrastructure Improvements, mutually agreeable to both Parties in their reasonable discretion.

6.1 Arterial streets, including, without limitation, excavation and site work, surveying, engineering, design, permitting, bonding, construction, inspections, traffic management; water; wastewater; drainage storm water management relating solely to the Public Infrastructure Improvements; and safety/emergency services. These Public Infrastructure Improvements must be built in compliance with the requirements of any Purchase Option. These improvements will include, but are not limited to, street, curb, gutter, sidewalks, landscaping and streetlights as further described in Exhibit C.

6.2 The Public Infrastructure Improvements will also include coordination of required storm water drainage infrastructure with all necessary parties, between the Public Infrastructure Improvements and private development, including maintenance access ramps. City and Owner agree to work together to ensure coordination of storm water drainage infrastructure between the Public Infrastructure Improvements and Phase 1 development by Owner. The City will construct storm water drainage infrastructure for the Public Infrastructure Improvements, which may consist of culvert and pipe systems to move storm water underneath streets in the water's natural flow. Owner, at its expense, will construct a drainage channel on the north side of Dove Valley Road that will direct the flow of drainage west to Deadman's Wash. The City, at its expense, will construct a temporary storm water solution along the eastern boundary of 43rd Avenue, south of Dove Valley, which will direct the flow of drainage south to the southern boundary of the Property. Owner shall design the drainage channel along the southern boundary of its property to allow for the drainage to continue to Deadman's Wash, in accordance with the design approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, or if Owner receives the approval of the Arizona Department of Transportation, the Arizona Department of Transportation's drainage system. City may also construct, at its expense, a temporary retention basin to be located generally in the area of the northeast corner of Dove Valley and 43rd Avenue, to help mitigate the overall drainage of the Property. Both Owner and City understand that these drainage solutions are temporary and may be replaced in the future as development continues in the surrounding area.

6.3 Based on separate contracts agreed to and executed between the Owner and the private utilities, of which the City is not a party nor has any financial obligation, the City will provide Owner assistance in coordinating the planning and construction of the private utilities including telecommunications and natural gas.

6.4 The City will provide reasonable access to the Owner for the Owner to provide an all-weather surface for temporary access to the Project during the construction of the permanent site infrastructure. The arterial streets will be installed and made operational by the City. Any necessary street location modifications requested by the Owner will be handled in an expeditious manner, provided, however, that any requested modification may delay the operational date of the streets.

6.5 The City agrees to expeditiously design and construct two necessary traffic signals, one to be located at the intersection of Dove Valley Road and 43rd Avenue, and one as identified in a traffic impact analysis conducted by the Owner and reviewed and approved by the City ("**Signals**"). These Signals will be installed and operational prior to the Construction Completion Date of the Project.

6.6 The City agrees to construct new regional Public Water Infrastructure Improvements to service the Project according to the Plan and the surrounding area as described in Exhibit C. The Owner will be required to install private connections with the Public Water Infrastructure Improvements, at Owner's expense. Public water infrastructure must be adequate to meet the demands of the Project according to the Plan and have the capacity to supply an average of 4.75 million gallons per day ("**MGD**") with a max of 5.7 MGD in the Project and an average of 9.5 MGD with a max of 11.4 MGD at Phase 2 buildout (as applicable).

6.7 The City agrees to construct new regional Public Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements (as more fully described in Exhibit C, sufficient to serve the Project according to the Plan and any surrounding area located in a master use development or public use development, if applicable. The Owner will be required to connect to the city the Public Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements at the Owner's expense.

(a) Public Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements must be adequate to meet the demands of the Plan and meet an average sewer flow of 3.8 MGD and a peak of 4.56 MGD in the initial phase and an average of 7.6 MGD and a max of 9.12 MGD at phase 2 buildout (as applicable).

(b) As a part of the new regional Public Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements the City agrees to construct, at the City's cost, subject to the Owner's consent, a lift station on up to a 10.3 acre lot on the Site, located at the northwest corner of 51st Avenue and the Arizona State Route 303 to be dedicated by Owner to the City pursuant to Section 7 below. If the City determines that additional land is required, it shall be purchased at the same cost paid by TSMC Arizona. The lift station will be at a location and design that will minimize the visibility from the road as much as reasonably possible. The City will utilize fencing and landscaping around the lift station.

6.8 Related to the infrastructure items listed in Section 5 above, the City

reserves the future rights to seek reimbursement for these Public Infrastructure Improvements from future owners or developers (other than the Owner's affiliates or assignees) of land adjacent to the Project, for their proportional cost of roadway frontage improvements, traffic signal improvements, storm water drainage infrastructure that would otherwise be built and funded by those future owners or developers.

6.9 For the avoidance of doubt, the Owner remains responsible for ensuring that the Plan complies with industrial wastewater pre-treatment requirements as required by all local and state applicable laws and the City of Phoenix Water Services Department for this Plan at the Owner's expense.

6.10 The City acknowledges and agrees that the Owner has no obligation or responsibility or liability whatsoever with respect to the design or quality of the construction of the Public Infrastructure Improvements.

6.11 The City agrees that impact fees assessed on the Project do not include any fees relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements that will be constructed as part of the Project described in 6.1 through 6.9 that is necessary to serve the Project. The Owner acknowledges that development impact fees, including development impact fees charged for street, water, and wastewater facilities (that are not Public Infrastructure Improvements) will be assessed on all phases of development of the Project in accordance with applicable impact fee law. The City retains the right to use qualifying impact fees, including impact fees assessed on the Owner's subsequent phases of development, to fund that portion of Public Infrastructure Improvements that is above and beyond the capacity necessary to serve the Owner's initial phase of development.

6.12 The City has agreed to perform certain Public Infrastructure Improvements, the performance of which are a material obligation under this Agreement and upon which the Owner is reliant. The Parties acknowledge that the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements are necessary for the Owner to complete the Minimum Project Improvements, and failure by the City to complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements (for any reason other than the Owner's breach of this Agreement, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events) will result in Owner's failure to complete the Minimum Project Improvements. If the City reasonably believes the Public Infrastructure Improvements as detailed in Exhibit C cannot be completed within the Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap or in compliance with the specifications set forth in Exhibit C, it shall notify the Owner within ten (10) business days after such knowledge, the City may commence or continue with the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements, and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith any additional Public Infrastructure Improvements agreed to by the Parties, if any, that the City believes can be completed within the Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap, which shall be completed in accordance with the timetable set forth in the Section 6 preamble. If the City fails to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements for any reason other than the Owner's breach of this Agreement, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events, after notice to the City and City's right to cure or object under Section 25, Owner shall be excused from construction of the Minimum Project Improvements pursuant to the requirements set forth in Sections 4 and 6 and the City shall jointly with the Owner promptly deliver to Escrow Bank a written notice stating that the Escrow Bank shall release the Escrowed Funds and interest to Owner, and the Owner and the City shall terminate the Guaranty. Additionally, Owner may, in its sole and reasonable option and after written notice to the City,

perform such Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements and the agreed to additional Public Infrastructure Improvements described above, if any, that the City has failed to perform and obtain reimbursement for those improvements from the City for the costs incurred by the Owner for said work and for any related damages incurred by the Owner; provided, however, that Owner must comply with all applicable laws in doing so, including but not limited to Title 34 of the Arizona Revised Statutes. Alternatively and/or additionally, the Owner, in its reasonable discretion, may declare the City in default if the City fails to timely complete the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvement work and the agreed upon additional Public Infrastructure Improvements, if any, other than as a result of Owner's breach, Owner's delays, or Force Majeure Events— and proceed to enforce the Owner's rights under the default termination clause of this Agreement and/or obtain from the City specific performance of the City's obligations under this Agreement and any related damages.

7. Property Dedications. In order to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements in accordance with the terms of Section 5 of this Agreement, Owner shall dedicate any of its properties and easements on the Property to the extent needed for the Public Infrastructure Improvements. For avoidance of doubt, the City's costs in obtaining any of these properties and easements count towards the Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap.

7.1 Dedications and easements shall include properties for the installation of the arterial streets (reference City's arterial street detail), traffic signals, storm water drainage infrastructure, water lines, sewer lines, lift station and any other areas necessary for the maintenance of the streets, storm water drainage infrastructure, water lines, sewer lines, lift station and traffic signals, when warranted, as long as the properties and easements requested to be dedicated do not interfere with or adversely affect the Project according to the Plan or its construction as determined by the Owner in its sole discretion ("**Dedications and Easements**"); provided, however, for any refusal or delay in Owner dedicating the City's requested Dedications and Easements that causes a delay or inhibits the City's ability to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements, the City shall not be considered in breach of the Development Agreement to the extent caused by such refusal or delay by Owner.

7.2 Owner shall also provide, at no cost to the City, any temporary construction easements or other license agreements necessary to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements as long as such easements or license agreements do not interfere with or adversely affect the Project according to the Plan or its construction as determined by the Owner in its sole discretion; provided, however, that any delay or refusal to provide such temporary construction easement that causes a delay or inhibits the City's ability to construct the Public Infrastructure Improvements, the City shall not be considered in breach of the Development Agreement to the extent caused by such refusal or delay by Owner.

7.3 Prior to commencement of construction by the City of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, the City agrees to pay the Owner for any Dedications and Easements needed to construct the public infrastructure identified in this Agreement, except for the temporary construction easements previously outlined above. Owner shall offer the required property to the City at a price per square foot that is not greater than the initial price paid by the Owner for acquisition of the property. Notwithstanding the above, the City will buy from the Owner the offsite rights-of-way (the ROW) as set forth in the purchase conveyance documents by which the

Owner obtains the Purchase Option at the full appraised value of the ROW and as paid by the Owner at the initial sale of the land which sale shall not exceed 12 months from the date of the Auction No. 53-121524 (the “**Auction**”); provided, however, that City shall not be obligated to complete the sale if Owner has not submitted the Construction Commencement Notice. The City shall accept the dedications of public right-of-way upon submittal of a Phase 1 environmental assessment declaration dated within 180 days prior to the acceptance date, and any other customary and reasonable conditions that the City requires in similar projects for acceptance of public rights-of-way.

7.4 The City will operate and maintain the Public Infrastructure Improvements and right-of-way as the public improvements of the City at the City’s cost. The City will be responsible for all repairs needed to the Public Infrastructure Improvements, which shall be completed in an efficient and timely manner in accordance with commercially reasonable standards and the City’s own standards.

7.5 To the extent there is a small remnant parcel of land outside and adjacent to the border of the site and bounded by public dedicated arterial roads (an “**Orphaned Parcel**”) at the Owner’s request, the City will endeavor to promptly acquire or obtain rights to use such Orphaned Parcel, provided that the Arizona State Land Department appraises the Orphaned Parcel as an open space. If acquired by the City, the City will convert the Orphaned Parcel to a public right-of-way or open space. If the City purchases an Orphaned Parcel, Owner shall have both the right to purchase or otherwise acquire from the City the Orphaned Parcel and a right of first refusal to purchase the Orphaned Parcel for either the amount paid by the City for the Orphaned Parcel or the current fair market value of the Orphaned Parcel, whichever is greater. The Orphaned Parcel will be used as a land buffer and no construction will take place on the Orphaned Parcel unless agreed to by the Owner, or unless Owner buys the Orphaned Parcel at auction.

7.6 In the event of a relocation of a ROW, to the extent such relocation is a result of Owner’s actions or failure to act, Owner shall be solely responsible for the costs and expenses associated therewith, including the fair market value of any additional acreage required in connection with such relocation. If a relocation of a ROW is the result of the City’s actions or failure to act, the City shall be solely responsible for all costs and expenses associated therewith, including the fair market value of any additional acreage required in connection with such relocation. If the relocation of a ROW is the result of the State’s actions or failure to act, or due to a change in the State’s requirements for the Public Infrastructure Improvements, the City and Owner shall meet and confer to determine the allocation for the costs and expenses associated therewith, subject to the City’s Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap.

8. **Dedicated Planning and Inspections Team.** City shall provide a professional team dedicated to the Project according to the Plan to ensure responsiveness to questions and speed of the administrative or regulatory reviews or inspections done by the City of Phoenix, in connection with all applicable laws, regulations, and ordinances over the development (the “**Plan Review and Inspections**”).

8.1 Each member of the team must be an employee of the City having successfully gone through the City’s background check and insured by the City.

8.2 A written list of the team members and titles will be provided to the Owner by the City ten days before they are allowed on the Project site. The Owner will have the right to deny access or request replacement of any member of the team; provided, however, that such denial may impair the City's ability to perform under the Development Agreement, and City shall not have liability to Owner for any failure to perform based on Owner's denial of access.

8.3 The City team will be housed on-site, or near the site, in accommodations provided by the Owner such as a construction trailer. The trailer will be subject to a license agreement with the City regarding location, a timeline, occupancy, and removal conditions.

8.4 The City will be responsible for each employee or team member as under the City's normal insurance procedures for employees working offsite.

8.5 The City team will consist of one or more project coordinators, and plan review, permitting and inspections staff as well as other disciplines as identified for the Project. This team will be under the oversight of the Assistant Planning Director of the City. The City shall assign City staff in the disciplines of structural (which also accommodates for the architectural review), plumbing/ mechanical, electrical, fire protection and civil for both Plan Review and Inspections. While the individual disciplines require dedicated staff during specific plan review and construction, the assigned City staff will make the Project their top priority and be dedicated to the Project according to the Plan in order to meet the identified and agreed to delivery of the Certificate of Occupancy.

8.6 Whenever accessing the Property or reviewing any information with respect to the Project, including without limitation pursuant to any of such above-described easements and licenses, City and its employees, representatives, contractors, vendors and agents (collectively, the "**City Representatives**") shall observe Owner's protocols for persons entering upon the Property, including, without limitation by submitting to safety training, following all safety policies and guidelines, and wearing personal protective equipment, including equipment related to a pandemic such as masks. Failure to observe Owner's protocols may result in immediate removal of Owner's permission to remain on the Property.

8.7 Upon Owner's request, the City, the City Representatives, and the Owner shall execute a reasonable non-disclosure agreement with respect to Owner's confidential information. Any non-disclosure agreement will acknowledge the City's obligations under the Arizona Public Records Act, A.R.S. §§ 39-121 through 39-161, and allow Owner a reasonable opportunity to review responses to public records requests and seek a protective order and/or redact information for any information designated by Owner as confidential prior to the City releasing the information.

8.8 Alternatively, the City may, with Owner's prior approval, contract with a private plan review and inspections company managed by the core City team to meet the Owner deadlines.

8.9 **Development and Other City Fees.** Owner will be responsible for paying legally required fees that are associated with the Plan, including but not limited to: regular

standard water and wastewater rates, impact fees, development occupational fees, permit fees (plan review, inspections, etc.) as set forth in Exhibit G. Additionally, Owner agrees that the Minimum Project Improvements will be connected to the City's water and wastewater infrastructure, as applicable, once the City has provided such infrastructure to the Owner. Owner further agrees that the Minimum Project Improvements will remain connected to the City's water and wastewater infrastructure at least until the City has recouped its investment, including the amount of its reasonable debt service obligations (in line with other City debt service obligations for similar projects) relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements, reduced by any early satisfaction of debt, if applicable.

9. **Breach of Obligations.** Neither the City nor the Owner shall be considered in breach of its obligations under this Development Agreement, and the Construction Completion Date shall be extended, to the extent the performance of such obligations is prevented or delayed as a result of a Force Majeure Event.

10. **Mutual Benefits.** The City and Owner agree that in making the promises contained in this Agreement that certain benefits and advantages will accrue to both Parties as a result of the performance of this Agreement, and that therefore this Agreement is being entered into in reliance upon the mutual benefits afforded each of the Parties.

11. **Institution of Legal Actions.** Any legal actions instituted pursuant to this Agreement must be filed in the county of Maricopa, State of Arizona, or in the Federal District Court in the District of Arizona. In any legal action, the prevailing Party in such action will be entitled to reimbursement by the other party for all costs and expenses of such action, including reasonable attorneys' fees as may be fixed by the Court.

12. **Applicable Law.** The laws of the State of Arizona will govern the interpretation and enforcement of this Agreement.

13. **Acceptance of Legal Process.** If any legal action is commenced by Owner against the City, service of process on the City will be made by personal service upon the City Clerk of the City of Phoenix, or in such other manner as may be provided by law.

If any legal action is commenced by the City against the Owner, service of process will be made by personal service upon the General Counsel of the Owner, or in such other manner as may be provided by law, whether made within or without the State of Arizona.

14. **Rights and Remedies Are Cumulative.** Except as otherwise expressly stated in this Agreement, the rights and remedies of the Parties are cumulative, and the exercise by any Party of one or more of such rights or remedies will not preclude the exercise by it, at the same time or different times, of any other rights or remedies for the same default or any other default by such defaulting Party.

15. **Notices, Demands and Communications Between Parties.** All notices, demands or other writings in this Agreement provided to be given, made or sent by any Party hereto to other parties will be deemed to have been fully given, made or sent when made in writing and personally

Security C - TSMC Secret

delivered or deposited in the United States mail postpaid registered or certified and addressed as follows:

To City: Director
 Community and Economic Development Department
 City of Phoenix
 200 West Washington Street, 20th Floor
 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1611

and

City Clerk
 City of Phoenix
 200 West Washington Street, 15th Floor
 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1611

To Owner: TSMC Arizona Corporation
 8 Li-Hsin 6th Road, Hsinchu Science Park
 Hsinchu, 300-78, Taiwan R.O.C.
 Attention: Legal Department

The address to which any notice, demand or other writing may be given, made or sent to any party may be changed by written notice as above provided.

16. **Conflict of Interests.** No member, official or employee of the City may have any direct or indirect interest in this Agreement, nor participate in any decision relating to the Agreement which is prohibited by law. All Parties hereto acknowledge that this Agreement is subject to cancellation pursuant to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes § 38-511.

17. **Warranty Against Payment of Consideration for Agreement.** Owner warrants that it has not paid or given, and will not pay or give, any third person any money or other consideration for obtaining this Agreement, other than normal costs of conducting business and costs of professional services such as architects, consultants, engineers and attorneys.

18. **Nonliability of Officials, Partners and Employees.** No member, official or employee of the City will be personally liable to the Owner, or any successor in interest, in the event of any default or breach by the City or for any amount which may become due to the Owner or successor, or on any obligation under the terms of this Agreement. No member, official or employee of the Owner will be personally liable to the City, or any successor in interest, in the event of any default or breach by the Owner or for any amount which may become due to the City or its successor, or on any obligation under the terms of this Agreement.

19. **No Waiver.** Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, any failure or delay by any Party in asserting any of its rights or remedies as to any default, will not operate as a waiver of any default, or of any such rights or remedies, or deprive any such Party of its right to institute and maintain any actions or proceedings which it may deem necessary to protect, assert or enforce any such rights or remedies.

20. **Severability.** If any provision of this Agreement shall be found invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions of this Agreement will not be affected thereby and shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law, provided that the fundamental purposes of this Agreement are not defeated by such severability.

21. **Captions.** The captions contained in this Agreement are merely a reference and are not to be used to construe or limit the text.

22. **Entire Agreement Waivers and Amendments.** This Agreement may be executed in up to three (3) duplicate originals, each of which is deemed to be an original. This Agreement, including twenty-one (21) pages of text and the below-listed exhibits which are incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the Parties.

- Exhibit A-1 – Legal Description of the Property
- Exhibit A-2 – Depiction of the Property
- Exhibit B – Ordinance S-47129
- Exhibit C – City Streets, Water, and Wastewater Planned Infrastructure List
- Exhibit D – Public Infrastructure Improvement Phasing Schedule
- Exhibit E – Form of Corporate Guaranty
- Exhibit F – The Escrow Agreement
- Exhibit G – Sample List of City Fees

This Agreement integrates all of the terms and conditions mentioned herein or incidental hereto and supersedes all negotiations or previous agreements between the Parties with respect to all or any part of the subject matter hereof.

All waivers of the provisions of this Agreement must be in writing and signed by the appropriate authorities of the City or Owner, and all amendments hereto must be in writing and signed by the appropriate authorities of the Parties hereto.

23. **No Agency Created.** Nothing contained in this Agreement creates any partnership, joint venture or agency relationship between the City and Owner. No term or provision of this Agreement is intended to be for the benefit of any person, firm, organization or corporation not a Party hereto.

24. **Additional Documents.** City and Owner each agree to execute and deliver all documents and take all actions reasonably necessary to implement and enforce this Agreement.

25. **Default.** In the event of default under any provision of this Agreement, the non-defaulting Party shall have all remedies available to it at law or in equity. In the event any Party is in default under any provision of this Agreement, the defaulting Party may cure the default by taking the appropriate and necessary corrective action within 90 days of receiving notice of the default from the non-defaulting Party.

26. **Governing Statutes.** References are made in this Agreement to specific sections of the Arizona Revised Statutes. Any such references mean the statute in effect on the date of the execution of this Agreement and any subsequent renumbering or reordering of those provisions.

27. **Changes in Ownership, Management and Control of Owner.** Owner represents and agrees that its undertakings pursuant to this Agreement are, and will be, for the purpose of development within the Property. Except as set forth below, no voluntary or involuntary successor in interest to Owner shall acquire any rights nor incur any obligations under this Agreement except for those expressly set forth herein.

28. **Force Majeure.** Owner or the City shall not be considered in breach of its obligations under this Agreement, as a result of any of the following: unanticipated delays with respect to governmental licenses, permits, and approvals; acts of God; acts of the public enemy; foreign or domestic terrorism; war; riots; sabotage; acts or failure to act of any governmental agency; any order, ruling, moratorium, regulation, statute, condition or other decision of any governmental agency having jurisdiction over the Project, the affected party or such Party's operations, or of any civil or military authority; insurrections; fires; windstorm; floods; washouts; droughts; tornadoes; hurricane; earthquakes; epidemics; pandemics (including COVID-19); quarantine restrictions; strikes, lockouts or other industrial disturbances (whether or not on the part of agents or employees of either Party); freight embargoes; failure or inability to secure materials, supplies or labor through ordinary sources by reason of shortages or priority; unusually severe weather; unforeseeable environmental or archaeological conditions requiring investigation/mitigation pursuant to federal, state or local laws; orders of any kind of the government of the United States of America or of the state or any governing authority or any of their respective departments, agencies, political subdivisions or officials; explosions; breakage or accident to machinery, transmission pipes or canals not caused by the Owner; partial or entire failure of utilities; or any other cause or event not reasonably within the control of the Owner or the City. (each, a "**Force Majeure Event**"). Notwithstanding the above, a Force Majeure Event does not include any government acts or orders by the City relating solely to the Owner or the Property or the Development Agreement. The Parties explicitly acknowledge that economic conditions are not an event subject to the benefit of this Section 28.

It is the purpose and intent of this Section 28 that upon the occurrence of an Force Majeure Event, the time or times for performance of the obligations or the satisfaction of reimbursement conditions, as the case may be, shall be extended for the period of the resulting delay; provided that the Owner notifies the City in writing thereof, such notice to include an estimate of the anticipated duration on the delay period, and the cause or causes thereof. An extension of time for any such cause shall only be for the period of the Force Majeure Event, which period shall begin to run from the time of the commencement of the cause. If, however, notice by the Party claiming such extension is sent to the other Parties more than thirty (30) calendar days after the commencement of the cause, the period shall commence to run only thirty (30) calendar days prior to the giving of such notice.

29. **Legal Worker Requirement.** Owner acknowledges that the City's obligations hereunder are expressly conditioned on compliance by Owner to the City's reasonable satisfaction with Arizona Revised Statutes Section 23-214.B, which reads:

In addition to any other requirement for an employer to receive an economic development incentive from a government entity, the employer shall register with and participate in the e-verify program. Before receiving the economic development incentive, the employer shall provide proof to the government entity that the employer is registered with and is participating in the e-verify program. If the government entity determines that the employer is not complying with this subsection, the government entity shall notify the employer by certified mail of the government entity's determination of noncompliance and the employer's right to appeal the determination. On a final determination of noncompliance, the employer shall repay all monies received as an economic development incentive to the government entity within thirty days of the final determination. For the purposes of this subsection:

(a) **"Economic development incentive"** means any grant, loan or performance-based incentive from any government entity that is awarded after September 30, 2008. Economic development incentive does not include any tax provision under Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 42 or 43.

(b) **"Government entity"** means this state and any political subdivision of this state that receives and uses tax revenues.

30. **Indemnification.** Either Party to this Agreement shall not be obligated to make any payment or perform any obligation hereunder if such payment or performance (i) shall cease to be legal, valid, binding or enforceable whether due to a change in State or Federal law or interpretation by a court of competent jurisdiction, or (ii) will result in the imposition against either Party of any penalty, tax or fine or in the reduction or loss of any federal or state funding, or state shared revenues or any other funds, payments, or credits to which either Party would otherwise be entitled. Owner, at its sole cost and expense, shall and does hereby indemnify, defend, and hold the City harmless from and against any legal challenge arising out of the Owner's negligence on the Project, whether administrative, judicial or otherwise, by any unrelated third Party, to the execution of or performance under this Agreement. The foregoing notwithstanding, nothing contained in this Section 30 shall require the Owner to indemnify, defend and/or hold harmless the City from and against any legal claim and/or challenge, whether administrative, judicial or otherwise, arising out of the design and/or construction of the Public Infrastructure Improvements by the City, regardless of whether said claim or challenge relates to personal injury, property-damage, or any similar cause of action. This indemnification shall survive the expiration or earlier termination of this Agreement.

31. **No Israel Boycott.** By entering into this Agreement, Owner certifies that it is not currently engaged in, and agrees for the duration of the Agreement to not engage in, a boycott of Israel.

32. **Public Infrastructure Obligations to State.** Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, City, Owner and the State of Arizona, by and through the State Land Commissioner (the "State"), herewith agree as follows:

32.1 **Owner Liability.** Owner shall have no liability to the City for any amount in excess of the Minimum Guaranty Amount (defined in Section 4.6(e)) and the Escrowed Fund, unless the City actually incurred those expenses, but in any event, not to exceed

\$205,000,000. If and subject to the terms and conditions otherwise provided herein, at any time prior to the date on which the City has incurred costs (exclusive of design as referenced in Section 5) of at least one hundred twenty-five million dollars (\$125,000,000) for the Public Infrastructure Improvements, any of the events described in clauses (i), (ii), (iii), and (x), (y), or (z) in Section 4.6(d) occurs and the City discontinues the Public Infrastructure Improvement construction and installation work:

(a) Escrow Bank shall release the Escrowed Funds to the City and the City shall collect upon the Guaranty, as liquidated damages. Such liquidated damages shall be applied by City to recoup costs incurred by the City with respect to the Public Infrastructure Improvements (exclusive of design costs as referenced in Section 5), and Owner's liability hereunder, including under the Escrow Agreement and the Guaranty, shall be reduced by the impact fees, and/or the City's portion of construction sales taxes paid to the City by the Owner in connection with the Project as described in Section 4.6(b), with the excess being retained by City and applied to the remaining costs of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, up to \$125,000,000 (the "**Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount**"), in such order and at such time as City and the State mutually determine is appropriate (it being acknowledged and agreed that any such excess retained by City may not be used or applied for any other purpose); and

(b) In such event, the City shall promptly take all good faith reasonable efforts to secure the release of any such unreleased Escrowed Funds and collect the Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount, including to enforce the Escrow Agreement and/or Guaranty (as applicable), which the State may, at its election, join or, if applicable, bring an action on its own behalf to specifically enforce the City's obligations under this Section 32.1.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the City has no direct financial liability to the State to actually obtain any portion of the Escrowed Funds or Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount.

32.2 Amendment or Termination. The City and Owner shall provide prior written notice to the State of any proposed amendment of this Agreement relating to the Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount or any material change to the Public Infrastructure Improvements work which is the subject of this Section 32, including any amendment of those sections or exhibits listed in the following sentence. The State's consent is required for any amendment relating to the Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount or material change to the Public Infrastructure Improvements work as generally described in Sections 6.1, 6.2, 6.6 and 6.7 and Exhibit C, including any amendment thereto and any amendment to Sections 4.4, 4.6(d), 6 preamble (including Exhibits C and D), Section 6.12, the Escrow Agreement and the Guaranty, or this Section 32. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State must give its consent to any amendment to the Public Infrastructure Phasing Schedule mutually agreed to by the City and Owner pursuant to Section 6 preamble, provided such amendment would not result in extension of the scheduled completion date for the Public Infrastructure Improvements which are the subject of this Section 32 to a date which is later than December 9, 2023 (as such date may be extended due to Force Majeure).

Any consent of the State required pursuant to this Section 32.2 must be given or denied within 30 business days following a written request from the Owner. The City and Owner shall provide prior written notice to the State of, and the State's consent is required, for any termination of this

Agreement prior to the City's completion of the Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements. The State acknowledges and agrees that (i) the City's Public Infrastructure Improvements obligations under this Agreement are subject to the Public Infrastructure Cost Cap, (ii) in accordance with and subject to the limitation set forth in Section 6.12, the Public Infrastructure Improvements identified in Exhibit C, may need to be reduced or modified in order for the City not to exceed the Public Infrastructure Cost Cap, and (iii) that the State's Consent rights under this Section 32.2 shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned, or delayed.

32.3 Third-Party Beneficiary. The Parties acknowledge and agree the State shall be a third-party beneficiary of the obligations of Owner and City as referenced in this Section 32, with respect to the Public Infrastructure Improvements, including the Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount until the State's Confirmation of Completion, (as defined below). Subject to the limitations contained in this Section 32, including as set forth in Section 32.8, the State is entitled to enforce this Section 32, as a third party beneficiary in accordance with the applicable terms of this Agreement. Without limitation of the foregoing, until the State's Confirmation of Completion, as defined below, the State must consent to any assignment or transfer, directly or indirectly of the rights or obligation of the Owner under this Agreement.

32.4 Notices. All notices, to the State of Arizona or its agencies, demands or other writings made pursuant to this Section 32 by any party hereto to any other party or Parties will be deemed to have been fully given, made or sent when made in writing and personally delivered or deposited in the United States mail postpaid registered or certified and addressed as provided in Section 15 of this Agreement and to the State as follows:

Arizona State Land Department
1616 West Adams Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Attn: Paul Peterson, Senior Administrative Counsel

With a copy to:
David F. Jacobs
Arizona Attorney General's Office
2005 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

The City or Owner shall give the State a copy of each notice received pertaining to any default by Owner or the City under this Agreement relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements and related obligations which are the subject of this Section 32.

32.5 Conflicting Terms. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event of any conflict between the terms of this Section 32 and any other term of this Agreement, this Section 32 shall control.

32.6 Reliance. The Parties acknowledge and agree the planning, design, construction and installation of the Public Infrastructure Improvements, together with the payment and performance obligations of Owner and the City as contemplated by this Agreement, including

this Section 32, will materially benefit the Property and other property owned by the State, in its capacity as trustee for the State Land Trust, in the vicinity of the Property, which benefit was a material inducement to the State to offer the Property for sale. As such, the State is relying on the payment and performance of the obligations of Owner, as provided in this Section 32, and the corresponding obligations of City. Without limitation, it is acknowledged and agreed the State is a third party beneficiary to this Agreement in its capacity as a person having an interest in real property that specifies, among other things, conditions, terms and requirements for public infrastructure.

32.7 **Intergovernmental Agreement.** The State and the City are parties to that certain Intergovernmental Agreement to Facilitate the Development of State Trust Lands Within the City of Phoenix, dated October 6, 2020, City of Phoenix Contract No. 153015-0 (the "IGA"), which, among other things, addresses, the planning, design and funding of the Public Infrastructure Improvements as provided for in this Agreement. Without limitation, the State and the City acknowledge and agree this Agreement is being entered in furtherance of the purposes of the IGA including in satisfaction of the condition referenced in Section 13 thereof.

32.8 **Satisfaction of Obligations.** Notwithstanding anything in this Section 32 to the contrary, upon the first to occur of (i) the City's completion of Public Infrastructure Improvements at a cost to the City (exclusive of design costs) of not less than \$125,000,000, (ii) Owner timely meeting the Construction Completion Date as referenced herein, or (iii) release of the Escrowed Funds and payment of the Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount to City as referenced herein, then and in such event, Owner shall have satisfied its obligations pursuant to this Section 32 and, subject to the City's performance of its obligation to retain and apply any excess funds received pursuant to Sections 32.1(a) and 32.1(b) the City shall have satisfied its obligations pursuant to this Section 32 and, upon the State's receipt of reasonable confirmation of such completion or, if applicable, release and payment, including such backup documentation from the City as would customarily be used to confirm such completion and the amount of costs incurred or such release and payment (the "**State's Confirmation of Completion**"), the State shall execute such other and further documents as the City and Owner may request, at no out of pocket cost to the State, to confirm Owner's and (except as provided above with regard to any excess funds received) the City's compliance with such obligations and the State shall no longer be a third party beneficiary to this Agreement and shall have no further rights or interest under this Agreement. As a third party beneficiary, the State shall have no greater rights than the City has under this Agreement nor shall any defenses of the Owner be waived. Owner has no direct financial liability to the State, as the contracting party in this Agreement is the City, and all contractual rights flow between the City and the Owner. The State has no independent right to any Guaranty or Escrowed Funds as identified in this Agreement provided, however, it is acknowledged and agreed those are in place for the protection of the City and the State, subject to terms and conditions set forth in this Section 32.

Security C - TSMC Secret

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT & CONSENT

Reference is made to the foregoing Development Agreement, City Contract No. _____, dated March 31, 2021 (the "Development Agreement"), by and among the City, Owner and the State in its capacity as Trustee of the State Land Trust and third-party beneficiary, to which this Acknowledgment & Consent is attached ("Acknowledgement"). Without undertaking or assuming any obligation thereunder, the State hereby acknowledges and consents to the recordation of the Development Agreement in the official records of Maricopa County, Arizona, in respect of the interest of Owner (and not the interest of the State) in and to the Property and agrees to be bound by the provisions of Section 32 of the Development Agreement, together with all terms to which the State is deemed to be a third party beneficiary as the context requires in order for the State to realize the benefits intended to be conferred by Section 32, including the State's enforcement of the applicable provisions thereof.

DECLARANT:

STATE OF ARIZONA



By

Lisa A. Atkins

State Land Commissioner

STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss
County of Maricopa)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 1st day of April, 2021, by Lisa A. Atkins, the Commissioner of the Arizona State Land Department, on behalf of the State of Arizona.

Notary Public



My Commission Expires:

1-24-24



Security C - TSMC Secret

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement through their representatives duly authorized to execute this document and bind their respective entities to the terms and obligations herein contained on the day and year first written above.

CITY OF PHOENIX, a municipal corporation
ED ZUERCHER, City Manager

By: _____

Christine Mackay
Community and Economic
Development Director

ATTEST:

Devin Aschold

Apr 2, 2021

City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
CRIS MEYER, City Attorney

Deryck R. Lavelle

Deryck R. Lavelle (Apr 2, 2021 09:27 PDT)

By: _____

Assistant Chief Counsel

TGS
TGS

STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss.
County of Maricopa)


This instrument was acknowledged before me this 1st day of April, 2021,
by Christine Mackay, Community and Economic Development Director of the CITY OF
PHOENIX, a municipal corporation, on behalf of the City of Phoenix.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

My Commission Expires:

September 19, 2023

Laura Hernandez
Notary Public




By: **TSMC Arizona,**
An Arizona corporation

By: Jonathan Lee
Name: *[Signature]*
Title: Senior Director

State/Country of Florida)
County/City of Volusia)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me, the undersigned Notary Public,
this 21st day of May, 2021 by Chun-Hsien Lee, the
Senior Director of TSMC Arizona, an Arizona corporation, for and on behalf of
the corporation. Identity verified by his PASSPORT

(seal)



Joseph Kaplan
Notary Public

Joseph Kaplan
Online Notary

Notarized online using audio-video communication

Exhibit A-1

(Legal Description of Property)

A) LAND SALE NO. 53-121524

- 1) The legal description for the state trust lands to be auctioned under ASLD Land Sale Application No. 53-121524 ("Sale Parcel") is:

TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST, G&SRM, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

M&B in S2S2, Section 8, containing 63.18 acres, more or less;
 M&B in S2S2, Section 9, containing 107.30 acres, more or less;
 M&B in Section 16, containing 594.66 acres, more or less; and
 M&B in N2; NESW; SE, Section 17, containing 363.33 acres, more or less.

- 2) The total acreage of the Sale Parcel is 1128.47 acres, more or less. The Sale Parcel includes the "Primary Site", containing 1050 acres, more or less, and the "Ancillary Site", containing 50 acres, more or less, as depicted on the Map below. Complete legal descriptions of the Primary Site and the Ancillary Site are available in the Property Information File, as defined in Paragraph (L) of the Auction Notice.

B) RIGHT-OF-WAY NO. 16-121630

- 1) The legal description for the state trust lands to be auctioned under ASLD Right-of-Way Application No. 16-121630 ("51st Avenue ROW") is:

TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST, G&SRM, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

ONSITE:

M&B thru W2W2SWSW, Section 9, containing 1.440 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru E2E2NENE, Section 8, containing 1.435 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru E2E2E2, Section 17, containing 7.019 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru W2W2W2, Section 16, containing 6.757 acres, more or less; and

OFFSITE:

M&B thru W2W2W2, Section 9, containing 7.249 acres, more or less; and
 M&B thru E2E2E2, Section 8, containing 7.253 acres, more or less.

- 2) The total acreage of the 51st Avenue ROW is 31.153 acres, more or less.

C) RIGHT-OF-WAY NO. 16-121631

Security C - TSMC Secret

- 1) The legal description for the state trust lands to be auctioned under ASLD Right-of-Way Application No. 16-121631 ("Dove Valley Road ROW") is:

TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST, G&SRM, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

ONSITE:

M&B thru S2S2SE, Section 9, containing 3.164 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru S2S2, Section 9, containing 6.306 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru N2N2N2NE, Section 16, containing 2.166 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru S2S2, Section 8, containing 4.472 acres, more or less; and

OFFSITE:

M&B thru S2S2, Section 9, containing 5.320 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru S2S2, Section 8, containing 4.393 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru S2S2S2, Section 10, containing 7.944 acres, more or less; and
 M&B thru N2N2N2, Section 15, containing 7.950 acres, more or less.

- 2) The total acreage of the Dove Valley Road ROW is 41.715 acres, more or less.

D) RIGHT-OF-WAY NO. 16-121632

- 1) The legal description for the state trust lands to be auctioned under ASLD Right-of-Way Application No. 16-121632 ("43rd Avenue ROW") is:

TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST, G&SRM, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

ONSITE:

M&B thru E2E2SESE, Section 9, containing 2.171 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru E2E2E2, Section 16, containing 7.295 acres, more or less; and

OFFSITE:

M&B thru W2W2W2, Section 10, containing 8.410 acres, more or less;
 M&B thru E2E2E2, Section 9, containing 6.238 acres, more or less; and
 M&B thru W2W2W2, Section 15, containing 7.296 acres, more or less.

- 2) The total acreage of the 43rd Avenue ROW is 31.410 acres, more or less.

E) RIGHT-OF-WAY NO. 16-121633

- 1) The legal description for the state trust lands to be auctioned under ASLD Right-of-Way Application No. 16-121633 ("Wastewater Facilities ROW") is:

TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST, G&SRM, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

ONSITE:

M&B thru S2S2, Section 16, containing 13.622 acres, more or less;

Security C - TSMC Secret

M&B thru SWNW; S2, Section 17, containing 12.812 acres, more or less; and

OFFSITE:

M&B thru S2SW, Section 14, containing 3.135 acres, more or less;

M&B thru S2S2S2, Section 15, containing 11.442 acres, more or less;

M&B thru NWSWNW, Section 17, containing 0.220 acres, more or less; and

M&B thru NE, Section 18, containing 4.974 acres, more or less.

- 2) The total acreage of the Wastewater Facilities ROW is 46.205 net acres, more or less.

Exhibit A-2

OMITTED

The exhibit has been removed for purposes of recording; for persons requiring a copy, any of the signing parties will make it available upon request.

Exhibit B
(Ordinance S-47129)

ORDINANCE S-47129

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY AND TO EXECUTE ANY OTHER INSTRUMENTS OR DOCUMENTS NECESSARY; FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE CITY TREASURER TO ACCEPT FUNDS AND THE CITY CONTROLLER TO DISBURSE FUNDS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PHOENIX as follows:

SECTION 1. The City Manager or his designee is authorized to enter into a development agreement ("DA") and to execute any other instruments or documents necessary (collectively, the "Agreements") with Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company ("TSMC"), for the development of a semiconductor manufacturing campus in Phoenix, and related public infrastructure.

SECTION 2. The terms of the Agreements are as follows:

- A. TSMC agrees to expand into the City with an approximately \$12 billion advanced semiconductor manufacturing campus located within the City of Phoenix.
- B. TSMC intends to create up to 1,900 total new jobs within five years of entering into the DA.
- C. Within five years from execution of the DA, TSMC shall complete construction of the first phase of the project.

Security C - TSMC Secret

- D. The City shall construct and install certain regional public infrastructure improvements, in an amount not to exceed 205 million dollars (\$205,000,000), as generally described below:
 - a. Streets: Approximately three miles of full arterial streets including streets, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights and landscaping that will serve the project and surrounding region totaling not more than \$60 million. Additionally, the City would design and install one traffic signal at a cost of approximately \$500,000 and potentially one additional signal at \$500,000 depending on a future traffic study. The total amount of street infrastructure will not exceed \$61 million.
 - b. Water: New regional public water infrastructure improvements totaling \$37 million to service the project and surrounding area, that may include a pressure reducing station, 16-inch to 54-inch diameter water transmission mains, and possible booster pump station upgrades. The City would provide adequate water to meet the demands of the Project at the standard City water rates.
 - c. Sewer: new public wastewater infrastructure improvements totaling \$107 million to service the project and surrounding area, which may include 15-inch to 60-inch gravity sewer mains. The City would provide wastewater services for the project at standard City wastewater rates.
- E. TSMC shall dedicate any properties and easements needed for installation of the public infrastructure improvements on terms to be specified in the DA.
- F. The City shall provide a professional team on-site, dedicated to the Project to ensure responsiveness with plan review and inspections.
- G. The DA may contain other terms and conditions deemed necessary by City staff.

SECTION 3. The City Treasurer is authorized to accept, and the City Controller is authorized to disburse, funds necessary for the purposes of this Ordinance.

Security C - TSMC Secret

PASSED by the Council of the City of Phoenix this 18th day of November,
2020.



MAYOR

ATTEST:



Denise Archibald, City Clerk



APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Cris Meyer, City Attorney


BY: Julie Kriegh (Nov 13, 2020 16:54 MST)
Julie Kriegh, Chief Assistant City Attorney 

REVIEWED BY:


Ed Zuercher, City Manager

TGS:rb:LF20-2758:11/18/20:2217985_1

Exhibit C**Streets, Water and Wastewater Planned Infrastructure List**

*Note: Planned Infrastructure List subject to the Public Infrastructure Improvement Cost Cap, and City may modify Public Infrastructure Improvements based on the Project's needs, with the consent of Owner, which may not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

I. Water and Wastewater Public Infrastructure List (total amount, including land acquisition, not to exceed \$144 million; shall meet the requirements set forth in Sections 6.6 and 6.7(a) of this Agreement)

A. 51st Ave from Carefree Hwy to Loop 303: *

- 54-inch water transmission main
- 18-inch gravity sewer main (from Dove Valley Rd to Loop 303)

B. North of Loop 303 from 51st Ave, crossing I-17 along Sonoran Desert Drive to North Valley Parkway: *

- 36-inch water transmission main
- 42-inch, 48-inch, 54-inch or 60-inch gravity sewer main to lift station (43rd Ave to 51st Ave)
- Two (2) 24-inch sewer force mains

C. Northwest Corner of Carefree Hwy and 51st Avenue:*

- 20MGD Pressure Reducing Valve (PRV) station

D. Northwest Corner of 51st Avenue and Loop 303:*

- 8 to 12 MGD Lift Station

E. 31601 North 26th Avenue:*

- Upsizing the existing booster pump station 6B-B1 from 15MGD to 25MGD

II. Street Public Infrastructure List (total amount, including land acquisition, not to exceed \$61 million)

A. Dove Valley Road – I17 to entrance to Owner site west of 43rd Avenue:*

- Full street arterial

B. Dove Valley Road - west of 43rd Ave to the intersection of 51st Avenue:*

- Half street arterial; preferably south half of centerline
- Owner acknowledges and agrees that City shall be forced to delay completion of this item if Owner does not timely obtain all FEMA and other required regulatory approvals to build the half street arterial on the south half, provided, however, the City shall use reasonable effort to jointly, along with Owner, file for FEMA application. The delay will be the same amount of time as the delay to obtain the FEMA or other regulatory required approvals.

Alternatively, City may build half-street arterials on the north half of the centerline as a substitute to this item with Owner's consent.

- C. 43rd Avenue – Dove Valley Road to approaching Loop 303: ***
 - Full street arterial to Driveway "C"
 - Half street arterial from Driveway "C" to Driveway "M"; west half of centerline
- D. 51st Avenue – Dove Valley Road South to the first materials campus driveway: ***
 - Half street arterial on 51st Avenue, from Dove Valley Road south to the first materials campus driveway
- E. 43rd Avenue – Dove Valley to SR74: ****
 - Half street arterial; east half of centerline
- F. 51st Avenue –Dove Valley Road – west to the first materials campus driveway:**
 - Temporary pavement that can support heavy truck traffic including City Fire Equipment.

* City and Owner agree that Items I.A-E and Items II.A-D are priority items that must be completed by the City, and constitute the "**Minimum Public Infrastructure Improvements**" subject to Section 6.12 of the Agreement.

** In lieu of item II.E. above, if the Arizona Department of Transportation ("ADOT") provides connection to Loop 303 at 43rd Avenue and 51st Avenue by September 2023 and upon prior written agreement by ADOT, Owner, and City, then City will not construct 43rd Avenue from Dove Valley to SR74 and instead will construct the 43rd Avenue to Loop 303 and the half street arterial on the east half of 51st Avenue from the first materials driveway south to Loop 303 to provide connection to the ADOT interchange at 51st Avenue.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the definition of a full arterial street and half arterial street are described below:

Full Arterial Street: Two or three full traffic lanes in each direction, depending on the street classification of the Northwest Valley Conceptual Transportation Study prepared for the City and dated January 2010, and shall include curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights and landscaping on both sides of the street.

Half Arterial Street: One full traffic lane in each direction, which may or may not include a middle turning lane, depending on the street classification of the Northwest Valley Conceptual Transportation Study prepared for the City and dated January 2010, and shall include curb and gutter along both sides of the street, and sidewalk, streetlights and landscaping on one side of the street. The half street shall be modified into a full arterial street, when future development occurs in the area. On all arterial half-streets, the roadway section that is not paved will be unimproved and rough graded to match the adjacent roadway profile.

Exhibit D**Public Infrastructure Improvement Phasing Schedule**

A) On or before the date which is 12 months following the Auction Date (“**Trunk Infrastructure Assurance Date**”), City shall deliver to Owner:

- 1) A phasing schedule with all material milestones, including a construction commencement date within 24 months of the Auction Date and completion date within three years of the Auction Date (“**Trunk Infrastructure Phasing Schedule**”), for the planning, engineering, permitting and construction of the “**Trunk Services/Infrastructure**” related improvements (including right-of-way improvements to 43rd Avenue and Dove Valley Road) with sufficient capacity to provide water and wastewater services to new development in Biscuit Flats, as generally described in that certain Tech Campus Conceptual Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Assessment (WP# 205159) and that certain 50 ac Site Conceptual Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Assessment (WP# 305159), each dated August 10, 2020 (collectively, the “**Conceptual Water and Wastewater Assessments**”) and Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan for 50 ac Site (WP #205159), each dated August 10, 2020 (collectively the “**Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans**”), such phasing schedule and Trunk Services/Infrastructure scope to be in form and substance as approved by ASLD. The Trunk Infrastructure Phasing Schedule will be deemed approved by ASLD, in form and substance, upon delivery to ASLD of the phasing schedule and scope for Trunk Services/Infrastructure as required by the City of Phoenix, provided the same is consistent with the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans and complies with the Biscuit Flats PUD and Applicable Law. Owner shall remain responsible for implementing the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans.
- 2) The Trunk Services/Infrastructure Condition shall be satisfied upon City timely delivering to Owner (a) the phasing schedule approved by ASLD in conjunction with ASLD’s approval of the Trunk Services /Infrastructure scope.
- 3) Notwithstanding timely satisfaction of the Trunk Services/Infrastructure Condition, the City shall be obligated to cause the Trunk Services/Infrastructure to be planned, engineered and constructed on or before the dates specified in, and otherwise in conformance with, the phasing schedule and Trunk Services/Infrastructure scope approved by ASLD pursuant to sub-Paragraph 1 above.

B) Site Services/Infrastructure Condition. On or before the date which is 12 months following the Auction Date (“**Site Services/Infrastructure Assurance Date**”), City shall deliver to Owner:

- 1) Phase 1 of Primary Site.

A phasing schedule with all material milestones, including a construction commencement date within 24 months of the Auction Date and a completion date within three years of the Auction Date (“**Primary Site Infrastructure Phasing**”).

Schedule”), for the planning, engineering, permitting and construction of all services and infrastructure necessary to support the development, occupancy and operation of Phase 1 (“**Phase 1 Services/Infrastructure**”), in conformance with the Biscuit Flats PUD and Applicable Law, including as generally described in the Conceptual Water and Wastewater Assessments and the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans (as described in Section A(1) above). Owner shall remain responsible for construction and completion of the “Channel 5” and “Channel 10” drainage improvements conceptually depicted on that certain Figure B, Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan (WP #205159), dated August 5, 2020 (provided, subject to the Biscuit Flats PUD and Applicable Law, the Channel 10 drainage improvements may be constructed entirely on the Sale Parcel or partially on additional right-of-way acquired from the State following the Auction, and, provided further, a non-exclusive use reservation in favor of the State shall be recorded in respect of any drainage areas and improvements on the Sale Parcel), such phasing schedule and Phase 1 Services/Infrastructure scope to be in form and substance as approved by ASLD. The Trunk Infrastructure Phasing Schedule will be deemed approved by ASLD, in form and substance, upon delivery to ASLD of the phasing schedule and scope for Trunk Services/Infrastructure as required by the City of Phoenix, provided the same is consistent with the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans and complies with the Biscuit Flats PUD and Applicable Law. Owner shall remain responsible for implementing the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans associated with its property.

A phasing schedule with all material milestones, including a construction commencement date within 24 months of the Auction Date and a completion date within three years of the Auction Date (“**Ancillary Site Infrastructure Phasing Schedule**”), for the planning, engineering, permitting and construction of all services and infrastructure necessary to support the development, occupancy and operation of the Ancillary Site (“**Ancillary Site Services/Infrastructure**”) in conformance with the Biscuit Flats PUD and Applicable Law, including as generally described in the Conceptual Water and Wastewater Assessments and the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans, such phasing schedule and Ancillary Site Services/Infrastructure scope to be in form and substance as approved by ASLD.

- 2) The Site Services/Infrastructure Condition listed above shall be satisfied upon the City timely delivering to Owner:
 - a) (i) for the Primary Site, the phasing schedule approved by ASLD in conjunction with ASLD’s approval of the Phase 1 Services /Infrastructure scope and (ii) for the Ancillary Site, the phasing schedules approved by ASLD in conjunction with ASLD’s approval of the Phase 1 Services/Infrastructure and the Ancillary Site Services/Infrastructure scopes, the Phase 1 Infrastructure Assurance of Completion.
- 3) Notwithstanding timely satisfaction of the Site Services/Infrastructure Condition:

Security C - TSMC Secret

- a) City shall be obligated to: (i) for the Primary Site, cause the Phase 1 Services/Infrastructure to be planned, engineered and constructed on or before the dates specified and otherwise in conformance with the phasing schedule and scope approved by ASLD pursuant to sub-Paragraph 1 above, and (ii) for the Ancillary Site, cause the Phase 1 Services/Infrastructure and Ancillary Site Services/Infrastructure to be planned, engineered and constructed on or before the dates specified and otherwise in conformance with the phasing schedules and scopes approved by ASLD pursuant to the applicable provisions set forth above.

All such dates for construction commencement and construction completion in this Exhibit are subject to Owner's timely satisfaction of the Construction Commencement Notice.

Security C - TSMC Secret

Exhibit E
(Form of Corporate Guaranty)

GUARANTY

This GUARANTY, dated as of March 31st, 2021 (this “**Guaranty**”), is made by TSMC Arizona Corporation, an Arizona corporation (“**Guarantor**”) in favor of City of Phoenix, Arizona, an Arizona municipal corporation (“**City**”).

WHEREAS, Guarantor and City have entered into that certain Development Agreement, dated of even date herewith (the “**Development Agreement**”); and

WHEREAS, as a condition and material inducement to City executing the Development Agreement, Guarantor has agreed to deliver this Guaranty to the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the covenants and agreements contained herein, and intending to be legally bound hereby, Guarantor hereby agrees as follows:

1. Guaranteed Obligations. Guarantor unconditionally, absolutely and irrevocably guarantees to City the full, faithful and complete performance of the Guaranteed Obligations, as defined below; provided, however, Guarantor hereby reserves the right to assert any defenses which Guarantor may have to payment or performance of any Guaranteed Obligations including pursuant to the Development Agreement upon the City’s failure to timely perform its obligations thereunder subject, however, to Sections 5-7 hereof. Guarantor acknowledges and agrees that, with respect to the Guaranteed Obligations, this Guaranty constitutes a guarantee of payment when due and not merely of collection. As used herein, the term “Guaranteed Obligations” means the due and punctual payment of all present or future obligations of Guarantor arising under Section 4.6(d) and Section 32 of the Development Agreement.
2. Term. The provisions of this Guaranty shall continue in effect until the Guaranteed Obligations either (a) are fully and finally paid, performed, and discharged in full, or (b) have expired in accordance with their terms under the Development Agreement or applicable law.
3. Amount. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Guaranty, the amount of this Guaranty shall not exceed one hundred fifty-five million dollars (\$155,000,000).
4. Limitation on Owner’s Liability. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Guaranty, Guarantor shall have no liability to the City under this Guaranty for any Public Infrastructure Improvement expenses above seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) unless the City has actually incurred pursuant to the Development Agreement (including any work the City completes pursuant to Section 4.6(e) of the Development Agreement) with detailed supporting documentation those expenses in constructing the Public Infrastructure Improvements. For the avoidance of doubt, City expenses may also include City staff time incurred directly for the completion of the Public Infrastructure Improvements but not indirect costs such as overhead expenses or office operating expenses. To the extent the City collects and receives funds from

the Guaranty in excess of the expenses incurred by the City relating to the Public Infrastructure Improvements, City agrees to apply any excess funds towards public infrastructure improvements that promote the development of the Property and surrounding area.

5. Waiver. Guarantor hereby expressly waives and relinquishes all rights, remedies and defenses identified below accorded by applicable law to guarantors and sureties and agrees not to assert or take advantage of any such rights, remedies or defenses including, without limitation (but without waiving any defenses which may be available to Guarantor in its capacity as Owner under the Development Agreement). Guarantor hereby waives and relinquishes:
 - a. any defense based upon the failure to give notice of the acceptance of this Guaranty
 - b. any defense based upon an election of remedies by the City;
 - c. any defense based on any borrowing, if any, or grant of a security interest, if any, under Section 364 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code;
 - d. it being agreed by Guarantor that the failure or delay on the part of the City (and, as applicable, the State) to exercise and enforce any rights or remedies of either under the Development Agreement shall in no way impair the obligation or liability of Guarantor hereunder, except for defenses flowing directly therefrom.
6. No Waiver. No provisions of this Guaranty or rights of the City (or State, as applicable) hereunder can be waived in whole or in part except pursuant to the terms of this Guaranty or the Development Agreement nor can Guarantor be released from Guarantor's obligations hereunder except by the terms of this Guaranty or the Development Agreement.
7. Miscellaneous. For clarity, Guarantor's execution of this Guaranty shall not result in any expansion of Guarantor incurring any obligation or liability not already incurred or detailed in the Development Agreement. Sections 4.6(e) and Section 32 of the Development Agreement are hereby incorporated by reference, as if fully stated herein, including, without limitation, the State is an express third-party beneficiary of the obligations of Guarantor as provided in this Guaranty and Section 32 and is entitled to enforce all the terms and conditions hereof relating to any guarantee payment obligations to the City with regard to the Minimum Guaranteed Payment Amount defined in Section 32 of the Development Agreement.
8. Successors and Assigns. This Guaranty shall inure to the benefit of the City (and, as applicable, the State), its successors and assigns, and shall bind the successors and assigns of Guarantor.
9. Governing Law; Venue. This Guaranty shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona without reference to its conflict of laws principles and venue of all court actions shall be in Maricopa County.
10. Severability. If any provision of this Guaranty shall be found invalid or unenforceable

by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions of this Guaranty will not be affected thereby and shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law, provided that the fundamental purposes of this Guaranty are not defeated by such severability.

11. Captions. The captions contained in this Guaranty are merely a reference and are not to be used to construe or limit the text.
12. Counterparts. This Guaranty may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart to this Guaranty by electronic transmission (e.g. .pdf) shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart.
13. Conflict Terms. In the event of any conflict between the terms of the Guaranty and the DA, the terms and conditions of the DA shall control.

[Signature Page Follows]

Security C - TSMC Secret

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Guarantor has executed and delivered this Guaranty as of the date first written above.

GUARANTOR:

TSMC ARIZONA CORPORATION, an
Arizona corporation

By: 

Jonathan Lee
Senior Director, TSMC Ltd. - Authorized
Signatory for TSMC Arizona Corporation

CITY:

CITY OF PHOENIX, a municipal corporation
ED ZUERCHER, City Manager

By: _____

Christine Mackay
Community and Economic Development
Director

Security C - TSMC Secret

Exhibit F
The Escrow Agreement

Security C - TSMC Secret

ESCROW AGREEMENT

THIS ESCROW AGREEMENT (the "Escrow Agreement"), dated this 31st day of March, 2021 (the "Effective Date"), is entered into by and among TSMC ARIZONA CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation ("TSMC Arizona"), the CITY OF PHOENIX, ARIZONA, an Arizona municipal corporation ("City", and together with TSMC Arizona, the "Parties," and each individually, a "Party"), and WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national banking association organized under the laws of the United States, as escrow agent ("Escrow Agent").

RECITALS

A. The Parties have entered into that certain Development Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2021 (the "Development Agreement").

B. Pursuant to Section 4.6(d) of the Development Agreement, TSMC Arizona has agreed to place in escrow certain funds to secure its obligation to construct the Minimum Project Improvements (as defined in the Development Agreement) by the Construction Completion Date (as defined in the Development Agreement), and Escrow Agent agrees to hold and distribute such funds in accordance with the terms of this Escrow Agreement.

C. The Parties acknowledge that Escrow Agent is not a party to, is not bound by, and has no duties or obligations under, the Development Agreement, that all references in this Escrow Agreement to the Development Agreement are for convenience, and that Escrow Agent shall have no implied duties beyond the express duties set forth in this Escrow Agreement.

In consideration of the promises and agreements of the Parties and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties and Escrow Agent agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1 ESCROW DEPOSIT

Section 1.1. Receipt of Escrow Property. On the Effective Date, TSMC Arizona shall deliver to Escrow Agent the amount of \$50,000,000.00 (the "Escrow Property") in immediately available funds.

Section 1.2. Investments.

(a) Escrow Agent is authorized and directed to deposit, transfer, hold and invest the Escrow Property and any investment income thereon as set forth in Exhibit A hereto or as set forth in any subsequent written instruction signed by TSMC Arizona. Any investment earnings and income on the Escrow Property shall not become part of the Escrow Property and shall be disbursed to TSMC Arizona, as directed in writing by TSMC Arizona from time to time.

(b) Escrow Agent is hereby authorized and directed to sell or redeem any such

Security C - TSMC Secret

investments as it deems reasonably necessary to make any payments or distributions required under this Escrow Agreement in accordance with Section 1.3. Escrow Agent shall have no responsibility or liability for any loss which may result from any investment or sale of investment made pursuant to this Escrow Agreement, except to the extent that any such loss arises from Escrow Agent's gross negligence or willful misconduct. Escrow Agent is hereby authorized, in making or disposing of any investment permitted by this Escrow Agreement, to deal with itself (in its individual capacity) or with any one or more of its affiliates, whether it or any such affiliate is acting as agent of Escrow Agent or for any third person or dealing as principal for its own account. The Parties acknowledge that Escrow Agent is not providing investment supervision, recommendations, or advice.

(c) After the end of each calendar month, Escrow Agent shall provide TSMC Arizona with a monthly statement that specifies the account activities that have occurred within, and the account balance of the fund as of the end of, the preceding calendar month. The Parties agree that confirmations of permitted investments are not required to be issued by Escrow Agent for each month in which a monthly statement is rendered. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties may obtain confirmations at no additional cost upon its written request.

Section 1.3. Disbursements. In order to obtain payment of the Escrow Property, the Parties shall jointly deliver to Escrow Agent a written notice signed by an authorized representative of TSMC Arizona and City stating a Party that is entitled to payment of all or a portion of the Escrow Property pursuant to the Development Agreement ("Escrow Demand Notice"). Alternatively, the City may deliver to the Escrow Agent and TSMC Arizona an Escrow Demand Notice to direct the Escrow Agent to disburse the Escrow Property. If TSMC Arizona fails to deliver to Escrow Agent and City a written objection within fifteen (15) Business Days after receiving a copy of the Escrow Demand Notice, then Escrow Agent shall pay as directed. If TSMC Arizona delivers an Objection Notice to Escrow Agent and City within such fifteen (15) Business Day period, then Escrow Agent shall not distribute to City any portion of the Escrow Property that is subject of the Objection Notice until Escrow Agent receives (A) joint written instructions signed by an authorized representative of TSMC Arizona and City authorizing the release to City of the portion of the Escrow Property that is agreed upon as the amount recoverable in respect of the Objection Notice or (B) a final and non-appealable order of any court of competent jurisdiction or a final non-appealable arbitration decision directing the release to City of the portion of the Escrow Property that is determined to be the amount recoverable in respect of the Objection Notice; provided, that notwithstanding the foregoing, if TSMC Arizona objects in part to the amount of the Escrow Demand Notice, the Escrow Agent shall, after the lapse of the aforementioned fifteen (15) Business Day period, deliver to City an amount from the Escrow Property equal to the portion of the Escrow Demand Notice not objected to by TSMC Arizona. Upon receipt of such joint written instructions or such final and non-appealable order or arbitration decision, as the case may be, the Escrow Agent shall release to City such amount of the Escrow Property in accordance with such written instructions or final and non-appealable order or arbitration decision. Any such court order or arbitration decision shall be accompanied by a written instrument of the presenting Party certifying that such court order or arbitration decision is final, non-appealable and from a court of competent jurisdiction or from a competent arbitration panel (as applicable), and the Escrow Agent shall be entitled to conclusively rely on such instrument without further investigation. Each Party covenants that it will only deliver an Escrow Demand

Security C - TSMC Secret

Notice or an Objection Notice in accordance with such Party's good faith interpretation of its respective rights under the Development Agreement. If, on the ninth (9th) anniversary of the Effective Date, unless the City has delivered an Escrow Demand Notice, if any portion of the Escrow Property remains in escrow, the Escrow Agent shall pay the Escrow Property to TSMC Arizona within three (3) Business Days after the ninth (9th) anniversary of the Effective Date. In any circumstances, the City shall not be entitled to payment of all or a portion of the Escrow Property in excess of US\$50,000,000.

Section 1.4. Security Procedure for Transfer of Escrow Property. Escrow Agent shall confirm any Escrow Demand Notice received by means of the security procedure selected by each respective Party and communicated to Escrow Agent through a signed certificate in the form of Exhibit B-1 or Exhibit B-2 attached hereto (each, a "Security Certificate", and collectively, the "Security Certificates"). The Security Certificates shall become a part of this Escrow Agreement upon receipt by Escrow Agent. Once delivered to Escrow Agent, the Security Certificates may be revised or rescinded only by a writing signed by an authorized representative of the applicable Party. Such revisions or rescissions shall be effective only after actual receipt and following such period of time as may be necessary to afford Escrow Agent a reasonable opportunity to act on it. If a revised Security Certificate or a rescission of an existing Security Certificate is delivered to Escrow Agent by an entity that is a successor-in-interest to such Party, such Security Certificate shall be accompanied by additional documentation reasonably satisfactory to Escrow Agent evidencing that such entity has succeeded to the rights and responsibilities of the Party under this Escrow Agreement.

The Parties understand that Escrow Agent's inability to receive or confirm an Escrow Demand Notice pursuant to the security procedure selected by such Party in a Security Certificate may result in a delay in accomplishing release of the Escrow Property, and agree that Escrow Agent shall not be liable for any loss caused by any such delay, except to the extent that any such loss arises from Escrow Agent's gross negligence or willful misconduct.

Section 1.5. Income Tax Allocation and Reporting.

(a) The Parties agree that, for tax reporting purposes, all interest and other income from investment of the Escrow Property shall, as of the end of each calendar year and to the extent required by the Internal Revenue Service, be reported as having been earned by TSMC Arizona, whether or not such income was disbursed during such calendar year.

(b) For certain payments made pursuant to this Escrow Agreement, Escrow Agent may be required to make a "reportable payment" or "withholdable payment" and in such cases Escrow Agent shall have the duty to act as a payor or withholding agent, respectively, that is responsible for any tax withholding and reporting required under Chapters 3, 4, and 61 of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Escrow Agent shall have the sole right to make the determination as to which payments are "reportable payments" or "withholdable payments." All parties to this Escrow Agreement shall provide all applicable IRS forms to Escrow Agent prior to the date hereof, and shall promptly update any such form to the extent such form becomes obsolete or inaccurate in any respect. Escrow Agent shall have the right to request from any party to this Escrow Agreement, or any other person or entity entitled to payment hereunder,

Security C - TSMC Secret

any additional forms, documentation or other information as may be reasonably necessary for Escrow Agent to satisfy its reporting and withholding obligations under the Code. To the extent any such forms to be delivered under this Section 1.5(b) are not provided prior to the Effective Date or by the time the related payment is required to be made or are determined by Escrow Agent to be incomplete and/or inaccurate in any respect, Escrow Agent shall be entitled to withhold (without liability) a portion of any interest or other income earned on the investment of the Escrow Property or on any such payments hereunder to the extent withholding is required under Chapters 3, 4, or 61 of the Code, and shall have no obligation to gross up any such payment.

(c) To the extent that Escrow Agent becomes liable for the payment of any taxes in respect of income derived from the investment of the Escrow Property, Escrow Agent shall satisfy such liability to the extent possible from the Escrow Property. The Parties, jointly and severally, shall indemnify, defend and hold Escrow Agent harmless from and against any tax, late payment, interest, penalty or other cost or expense that may be assessed against Escrow Agent on or with respect to the Escrow Property and the investment thereof unless such tax, late payment, interest, penalty or other expense was directly caused by the gross negligence or willful misconduct of Escrow Agent. The indemnification provided by this Section 1.5(c) is in addition to the indemnification provided in Section 3.1 and shall survive the resignation or removal of Escrow Agent and the termination of this Escrow Agreement.

(d) The Parties acknowledge that, in order to help fight the funding of terrorism and money laundering activities, Federal law requires all financial institutions to obtain, verify and record information that identifies each person or corporation who opens an account and /or enters into a business relationship. The Parties hereby agree that they shall provide the Escrow Agent with such information as the Escrow Agent may request including, but not limited to, each Party's name, physical address, tax identification number and other information that will assist the Escrow Agent in identifying and verifying each Party's identity such as organizational documents, certificates of good standing, licenses to do business, or other pertinent identifying information.

Section 1.6. Termination. This Escrow Agreement shall terminate on the disbursement of all of the Escrow Property (and any interest and investment earnings thereon) as provided by the terms of this Escrow Agreement.

ARTICLE 2 DUTIES OF ESCROW AGENT

Section 2.1. Scope of Responsibility. Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary, Escrow Agent is obligated only to perform the duties specifically set forth in this Escrow Agreement, which shall be deemed purely ministerial in nature. Under no circumstance will Escrow Agent be deemed to be a fiduciary to any Party or any other person under this Escrow Agreement. Escrow Agent will not be responsible or liable for the failure of any Party to perform in accordance with this Escrow Agreement. Escrow Agent shall neither be responsible for, nor chargeable with, knowledge of the terms and conditions of any other agreement, instrument, or document other than this Escrow Agreement, whether or not an original or a copy of such agreement has been provided to Escrow Agent, and Escrow Agent shall have no duty to know or

Security C - TSMC Secret

inquire as to the performance or nonperformance of any provision of any such agreement, instrument, or document. References in this Escrow Agreement to any other agreement, instrument, or document are for the convenience of the Parties, and Escrow Agent has no duties or obligations with respect thereto. Escrow Agent will not be responsible to determine or to make inquiry into any term, capitalized, or otherwise, not defined herein. This Escrow Agreement sets forth all matters pertinent to the escrow contemplated hereunder, and no additional obligations of Escrow Agent shall be inferred or implied from the terms of this Escrow Agreement or any other agreement.

Section 2.2. Attorneys and Agents. Escrow Agent shall be entitled to rely on, and shall not be liable for any action taken or omitted to be taken by Escrow Agent in accordance with the advice of counsel or other professionals retained or consulted by Escrow Agent. Escrow Agent shall be reimbursed as set forth in Section 3.1 for all reasonable compensation (fees, expenses and other costs) paid and/or reimbursed to such counsel and/or professionals. Escrow Agent may perform any and all of its duties through its agents, representatives, attorneys, custodians, and/or nominees.

Section 2.3. Reliance. Escrow Agent shall not be liable for any action taken or not taken by it in accordance with the direction or consent of the Parties or their respective agents, representatives, successors, or assigns, unless such action or omission was performed or omitted in violation of the terms of this Escrow Agreement, or constituted gross negligence or willful misconduct. Escrow Agent shall not be liable for acting or refraining from acting upon any notice, request, consent, direction, requisition, certificate, order, affidavit, letter, or other paper or document reasonably believed by it to be genuine and correct and to have been signed or sent by the proper person or persons set forth in the appropriate Security Certificate, without further inquiry into the person's or persons' authority beyond that set forth in the Security Certificate. Concurrent with the execution of this Escrow Agreement, the Parties shall deliver to Escrow Agent their respective Security Certificates, which contain authorized signer designations in Part I thereof. The Parties represent and warrant that each person signing this Escrow Agreement is duly authorized and has legal capacity to execute and deliver this Escrow Agreement, along with each exhibit, agreement, document, and instrument to be executed and delivered by the parties to this Escrow Agreement.

Section 2.4. Right Not Duty Undertaken. The permissive rights of Escrow Agent to do things enumerated in this Escrow Agreement shall not be construed as duties.

Section 2.5. No Financial Obligation. No provision of this Escrow Agreement shall require Escrow Agent to risk or advance its own funds or otherwise incur any financial liability or potential financial liability in the performance of its duties or the exercise of its rights under this Escrow Agreement.

ARTICLE 3 PROVISIONS CONCERNING ESCROW AGENT

Section 3.1. Indemnification. The Parties, jointly and severally, shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Escrow Agent from and against any and all loss, liability, cost, damage and

Security C - TSMC Secret

expense, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses or other reasonable professional fees and expenses which Escrow Agent may suffer or incur by reason of any action, claim or proceeding brought against Escrow Agent, arising out of or relating in any way to this Escrow Agreement or any transaction to which this Escrow Agreement relates, unless such loss, liability, cost, damage or expense shall have been finally adjudicated to have been caused by the gross negligence or willful misconduct of Escrow Agent. The provisions of this Section 3.1 shall survive the resignation or removal of Escrow Agent and the termination of this Escrow Agreement.

Section 3.2. Limitation of Liability. ESCROW AGENT SHALL NOT BE LIABLE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FOR ANY (I) DAMAGES, LOSSES OR EXPENSES ARISING OUT OF THE SERVICES PROVIDED HEREUNDER, OTHER THAN DAMAGES, LOSSES OR EXPENSES WHICH HAVE BEEN FINALLY ADJUDICATED TO HAVE DIRECTLY RESULTED FROM ESCROW AGENT'S GROSS NEGLIGENCE OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT, OR (II) SPECIAL, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OR LOSSES OF ANY KIND WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION LOST PROFITS), EVEN IF ESCROW AGENT HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH LOSSES OR DAMAGES AND REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF ACTION.

Section 3.3. Resignation or Removal. Escrow Agent may resign by furnishing written notice of its resignation to the Parties, and the Parties may remove Escrow Agent by furnishing to Escrow Agent a joint written notice of its removal along with payment of all fees and expenses to which Escrow Agent is entitled through the date of removal. Such resignation or removal, as the case may be, shall be effective ninety (90) calendar days after the delivery of such notice or upon the earlier appointment of a successor, and Escrow Agent's sole responsibility thereafter shall be to safely keep the Escrow Property and to deliver the same to a successor escrow agent as shall be appointed by the Parties, as evidenced by a joint written notice filed with Escrow Agent or in accordance with a court order. If the Parties have failed to appoint a successor escrow agent prior to the expiration of ninety (90) calendar days following the delivery of such notice of resignation or removal, Escrow Agent may petition any court of competent jurisdiction for the appointment of a successor escrow agent or for other appropriate relief, and any such resulting appointment shall be binding upon the Parties.

Section 3.4. Compensation. Escrow Agent shall be entitled to compensation for its services as stated in the fee schedule attached hereto as Exhibit C, which compensation shall be paid solely by TSMC Arizona. The fee owed by TSMC Arizona and agreed upon for the services rendered hereunder is intended as full compensation for Escrow Agent's services as contemplated by this Escrow Agreement; provided, however, that in the event that the conditions for the disbursement of funds under this Escrow Agreement are not fulfilled, or Escrow Agent renders any service not contemplated in this Escrow Agreement, or there is any assignment of interest in the subject matter of this Escrow Agreement, or any material modification hereof, or if any material controversy arises hereunder, or Escrow Agent is made a party to any litigation pertaining to this Escrow Agreement or the subject matter hereof, then Escrow Agent shall be compensated for such extraordinary services and reimbursed for all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, occasioned by any such delay, controversy, litigation or event, except to the extent any such material controversy or litigation involves or arises from Escrow Agent's (i)

Security C - TSMC Secret

breach of its obligations under this Escrow Agreement, or (ii) gross negligence or willful misconduct. If any amount due to Escrow Agent hereunder is not paid within thirty (30) calendar days of the date due, Escrow Agent in its sole discretion may charge TSMC Arizona interest on such amount up to the highest rate permitted by applicable law.

Section 3.5. Disagreements. If any conflict, disagreement or dispute arises between, among, or involving any of the parties hereto concerning the meaning or validity of any provision hereunder or concerning any other matter relating to this Escrow Agreement, or Escrow Agent is in doubt as to the action to be taken hereunder, Escrow Agent may, at its option, retain the Escrow Property until Escrow Agent (i) receives a final non-appealable order or judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction or a final non-appealable arbitration decision directing delivery of the Escrow Property, in which event Escrow Agent shall deliver the Escrow Property as set forth in such order or judgment, (ii) receives a written agreement executed by each of the Parties involved in such disagreement or dispute directing delivery of the Escrow Property, in which event Escrow Agent shall be authorized to disburse the Escrow Property in accordance with such final court order, arbitration decision, or agreement, or (iii) files an interpleader action in any court of competent jurisdiction, and upon the filing thereof, Escrow Agent shall be relieved of all liability as to the Escrow Property and shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses and other costs incurred in commencing and maintaining any such interpleader action. Any such court order or arbitration decision shall be accompanied by a written instrument of the presenting Party certifying that such court order or arbitration decision is final, non-appealable and from a court of competent jurisdiction or from a competent arbitration panel, upon which instrument Escrow Agent shall be entitled to conclusively rely without further investigation. Escrow Agent shall be entitled to act on any such agreement, court order, or arbitration decision without further question, inquiry, or consent.

Section 3.6. Merger or Consolidation. Any corporation or association into which Escrow Agent may be converted or merged, or with which it may be consolidated, or to which it may sell or transfer all or substantially all of its corporate trust business and assets as a whole or substantially as a whole, or any corporation or association resulting from any such conversion, sale, merger, consolidation or transfer to which Escrow Agent is a party, shall be and become the successor escrow agent under this Escrow Agreement and shall have and succeed to the rights, powers, duties, immunities and privileges as its predecessor, without the execution or filing of any instrument or paper or the performance of any further act.

Section 3.7. Attachment of Escrow Property; Compliance with Legal Orders. In the event that any Escrow Property shall be attached, garnished or levied upon by any court order, or the delivery thereof shall be stayed or enjoined by an order of a court, or any order, judgment or decree shall be made or entered by any court order affecting the Escrow Property, Escrow Agent is hereby expressly authorized, in its sole discretion, to respond as it deems appropriate or to comply with all writs, orders or decrees so entered or issued, or which it is advised by legal counsel of its own choosing is binding upon it, whether with or without jurisdiction. In the event that Escrow Agent obeys or complies with any such writ, order or decree, it shall not be liable to any of the Parties or to any other person, firm or corporation, should, by reason of such compliance notwithstanding, such writ, order or decree be subsequently reversed, modified, annulled, set aside or vacated. Escrow Agent shall further have no obligation to pursue any action that is not in

Security C - TSMC Secret

accordance with applicable law.

Section 3.8 Force Majeure. Escrow Agent shall not be responsible or liable for any failure or delay in the performance of its obligation under this Escrow Agreement arising out of or caused, directly or indirectly, by circumstances beyond its reasonable control, including, without limitation, acts of God; earthquakes; fire; flood; wars; acts of terrorism; civil or military disturbances; sabotage; epidemic; riots; interruptions, loss or malfunctions of utilities, computer (hardware or software) or communications services; accidents; labor disputes; acts of civil or military authority or governmental action; it being understood that Escrow Agent shall use commercially reasonable efforts which are consistent with accepted practices in the banking industry to resume performance as soon as reasonably practicable under the circumstances.

ARTICLE 4 MISCELLANEOUS

Section 4.1. Binding Agreement, Successors and Assigns. The Parties and Escrow Agent represent and warrant that the execution and delivery of this Escrow Agreement and the performance of such party's obligations hereunder have been duly authorized and that the Escrow Agreement is a valid and legal agreement binding on such party and enforceable in accordance with its terms. This Escrow Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the Parties and Escrow Agent and their respective successors and permitted assigns. No other persons shall have any rights under this Escrow Agreement. No assignment of the interest of any of the Parties shall be binding unless and until written notice of such assignment shall be delivered to the other Party and Escrow Agent and shall require the prior written consent of the other Party and Escrow Agent (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed).

Section 4.2. Escheat. The Parties are aware that under applicable state law, property which is presumed abandoned may under certain circumstances escheat to the applicable state. Escrow Agent shall have no liability to the Parties, their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns, or any other party, should any or all of the Escrow Property escheat by operation of law, except to the extent any such escheat rising from Escrow Agent's gross negligence or willful misconduct.

Section 4.3. Notices. All notices, requests, demands, and other communications required under this Escrow Agreement shall be in writing, in English, and shall be deemed to have been duly given: (i) on the date of delivery if delivered personally to the appropriate notice address below; (ii) on the day of transmission if sent by electronic mail ("e-mail"), as long as such e-mail is accompanied by a PDF signature or similar version of the relevant document bearing an authorized signature, which such signature shall, in the case of each of the Parties, be a signature set forth in the applicable Security Certificate) to the e-mail address provided below, and written confirmation of receipt is obtained promptly after completion of transmission; (iii) on the next Business Day if delivered by overnight delivery with a reputable national overnight delivery service; or (iv) on the date that is five (5) Business Days after depositing such notice or communication in the United States mail, return receipt requested, and postage prepaid. For the purpose of this Escrow Agreement, "Business Day" shall mean any day other than a Saturday, a Sunday, a federal or state holiday, and any other day on which Escrow Agent is required to be

Security C - TSMC Secret

closed by federal law. If notice is given to a party, it shall be given at the address for such party set forth below. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to notify each other in writing of any name or address changes.

If to TSMC Arizona:

TSMC Arizona Corporation
2851 Junction Avenue
San Jose, CA95134
Attention: Tricia Chu
Telephone: (408) 382-7907
E-mail: triciac@tsmc.com

AND

TSMC Arizona Corporation
5509 NW Parker Street
Camas, WA 98607
Attention: Spencer Leese
Telephone: (360) 817-3176
E-mail: sleese@tsmc.com

WITH COPY TO

Senior Director, Finance Division
Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited
8, Li-Hsin Rd. 6, Hsinchu Science Park,
Hsinchu 300-78, Taiwan, R.O.C.
Attention: Diane Kao
Telephone: (886) 3-5636688#7125923
Email: diane_kao@tsmc.com

AND

Director, Corporate and Compliance Legal Division
Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited
8, Li-Hsin Rd. 6, Hsinchu Science Park,
Hsinchu 300-78, Taiwan, R.O.C.
Attention: Morris Cheng
Telephone: (886) 3-5636688#7125861 Email: tc_cheng@tsmc.com

If to City:

Security C - TSMC Secret

Director, Community and Economic Development Department
 City of Phoenix
 200 West Washington Street, 20th Floor
 Phoenix, Arizona 85003
 Attention: Christine Mackay
 Telephone: (602) 262-5040
 E-mail: christine.mackay@phoenix.gov

AND

Chief Financial Officer
 City of Phoenix
 251 West Washington Street, 9th Floor
 Phoenix, Arizona 85003
 Attention: Denise Olson
 Telephone: (602) 262-7166
 E-mail: denise.olson@phoenix.gov

WITH COPY TO:

City Clerk
 City of Phoenix
 200 West Washington Street, 15th Floor
 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

If to Escrow Agent:

Wells Fargo Bank, National Association
 Corporate Trust Services
 1700 Lincoln St, 12th Floor
 Denver, CO 80203
 Attention: Bruce Kramlich
 Tel: 303-863-6029
 E-mail: bruce.c.kramlich@wellsfargo.com

Section 4.4. Governing Law. This Escrow Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona, without reference to its conflicts of laws principles.

Section 4.5. Entire Agreement. This Escrow Agreement and the exhibits hereto set forth the entire agreement and understanding of the parties related to the Escrow Property.

Section 4.6. Amendment. This Escrow Agreement may be amended, modified, superseded, rescinded, or canceled only by a written instrument executed by the Parties and Escrow Agent.

Security C - TSMC Secret

Section 4.7. Waivers. The failure of any party to this Escrow Agreement to require performance of any provision under this Escrow Agreement shall in no manner affect the right at a later time to enforce the same performance. A waiver by any party to this Escrow Agreement of any such condition or breach of any term, covenant, representation, or warranty contained in this Escrow Agreement, in any one or more instances, shall neither be construed as a further or continuing waiver of any such condition or breach nor a waiver of any other condition or breach of any other term, covenant, representation, or warranty contained in this Escrow Agreement.

Section 4.8. Headings. Section headings of this Escrow Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and shall in no way restrict or otherwise modify any of the terms or provisions of this Escrow Agreement.

Section 4.9. Counterparts. This Escrow Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which when executed shall be deemed to be an original, and such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument. The exchange of copies of this Escrow Agreement and of signature pages by electronic image scan transmission in .pdf format shall constitute effective execution and delivery of this Escrow Agreement as to the Parties and Escrow Agent and may be used in lieu of the original Escrow Agreement for all purposes. [This Escrow Agreement shall be valid, binding, and enforceable against a party when executed and delivered by an authorized individual on behalf of the party by means of (i) an original manual signature; (ii) a faxed, scanned, or photocopied manual signature, or (iii) any other electronic signature permitted by the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, state enactments of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act, and/or any other relevant electronic signatures law, including any relevant provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code/UCC (collectively, "Signature Law"), in each case to the extent applicable. Each faxed, scanned, or photocopied manual signature, or other electronic signature, shall for all purposes have the same validity, legal effect, and admissibility in evidence as an original manual signature. Each party hereto shall be entitled to conclusively rely upon, and shall have no liability with respect to, any faxed, scanned, or photocopied manual signature, or other electronic signature, of any other party and shall have no duty to investigate, confirm or otherwise verify the validity or authenticity thereof. This Escrow Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but such counterparts shall, together, constitute one and the same instrument. For the avoidance of doubt, original manual signatures shall be used for execution or indorsement of writings when required under the UCC or other Signature Law due to the character or intended character of the writings.]

Section 4.10. Trial by Jury. Each of the parties hereto hereby irrevocably waives all right to trial by jury to the extent permitted by law in any litigation, action, proceeding in any court arising out of, relating to or in connection with this Escrow Agreement.

Section 4.11. Publication; disclosure. By executing this Escrow Agreement, the Parties and Escrow Agent acknowledge that this Escrow Agreement (including related attachments) contains certain information that is sensitive and confidential in nature and agree that such information needs to be protected from improper disclosure, including the publication or dissemination of this Escrow Agreement and related information to individuals or entities not a

Security C - TSMC Secret

party to this Escrow Agreement. The Parties further agree to take reasonable measures to mitigate any risks associated with the publication or disclosure of this Escrow Agreement and information contained therein, including, without limitation, the redaction of the manual signatures of the signatories to this Escrow Agreement, or, in the alternative, publishing a conformed copy of this Escrow Agreement. If a Party must disclose or publish this Escrow Agreement or information contained therein pursuant to any regulatory, statutory, or governmental requirement, as well as any judicial, or administrative order, subpoena or discovery request, it shall notify in writing the other Party and Escrow Agent at the time of execution of this Escrow Agreement of the legal requirement to do so. If any Party becomes aware of any threatened or actual unauthorized disclosure, publication or use of this Escrow Agreement, that Party shall promptly notify in writing the other Party and Escrow Agent and shall be liable for any unauthorized release or disclosure.


[The remainder of this page left intentionally blank.]

wchslbq 2021/04/13 10:24:52

Security C - TSMC Secret

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Escrow Agreement has been duly executed as of the Effective Date.


TSMC ARIZONA, an Arizona corporation

By: 

Name: Diane Kao

Title: Senior Director, TSMC Ltd.


CITY OF PHOENIX, ARIZONA, an
Arizona municipal corporation

By: 

Name: Denise M. Olson

Title: CFO


ATTEST:



Apr 8, 2021

City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
CRIS MEYER, City Attorney

By: 

Deryck R. Lavelle (Apr 8, 2021 11:40 PDT)

Assistant Chief Counsel




105

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION, as Escrow Agent

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Security C - TSMC Secret

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Escrow Agreement has been duly executed as of the Effective Date.

TSMC ARIZONA, an Arizona corporation

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____


CITY OF PHOENIX, ARIZONA, an
Arizona municipal corporation

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION, as Escrow Agent

By: 

Name: Karen Yu

Title: Vice President

Exhibit A of Exhibit F (Escrow Agreement) not recorded due to confidential financial information

Copy of exhibit on file with:

City of Phoenix Community and Economic Development Department
200 West Washington Street
20th Floor
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Exhibit B of Exhibit F (Escrow Agreement) not recorded due to confidential financial information

Copy of exhibit on file with:

City of Phoenix Community and Economic Development Department
200 West Washington Street
20th Floor
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Security C - TSMC Secret

EXHIBIT C

FEES OF ESCROW AGENT

All fees in relation to the services provided by the Escrow Agent hereunder are waived.

Exhibit G**(Sample List of City Fees for Project)****Permit Fees**

See Phoenix City Code Chapter 9, Appendix A.2
Planning & Development Department Fee Schedule

Water Fees

Water Resource Acquisition Fees (WRAF)
Domestic Meter (4x10" master meter ultrasonic domestic water meter)
Landscape Meter (2x2" compound landscape water meter)

Impact Fees

Draft Impact Fee Estimate has been provided by the City to Owner; final Impact Fees to be determined after a formal submittal of building plans to the City Planning & Development Department. The City acknowledges that Impact Fees are currently based on types of projects with a more generalized scope than Owner's. Owner may elect to provide a study to the City for their consideration to alter future Impact Fees. Based on the study, the City may, in its sole discretion, 1) provide a refund or credit to Owner for previously paid Impact Fees equal to the difference in the Impact Fees actually paid by Owner and the new fee established by the approved study; and/or 2) change future impact fee assessments. Owner acknowledges that any refunds, credits, or change to future impact fee assessments may require City Council approval, and nothing in this Agreement obligates the City to take specific action.

EC-25-2 through EC-25-10 and EC-25-16 - Additional Information

From MGR Ethics Commission <ethics.commission@phoenix.gov>

Date Mon 12/15/2025 5:55 PM

Bcc Sam Leyvas <[REDACTED]>; Patricia Sallen <[REDACTED]>; Cheryl Pietkiewicz <[REDACTED]>; Peter Schirripa <[REDACTED]> Ann Hart <[REDACTED]>; Beth Nillen <bnillen@spencerfane.com>; David H Benton <david.benton@phoenix.gov>; David Mathews <david.mathews@phoenix.gov>

 8 attachments (5 MB)

12-7-22 formal minutes.pdf; City removed only north gateway village planning vote signs.pdf; Screenshot 2025-12-07 at 1.11.15 PM.png; Screenshot 2025-12-07 at 1.17.07 PM.png; Screenshot 2025-12-07 at 1.17.17 PM.png; Screenshot 2025-12-07 at 1.17.26 PM.png; Screenshot 2025-12-07 at 9.41.26 PM.png; Screenshot 2025-12-07 at 9.41.45 PM.png;

Good Afternoon,

Please see the forwarded **email below** and **documents attached** from Amanda McGowen relating to her Ethics Inquiries EC-25-02 through EC-25-10 and EC-25-16.

Becca McCarthy

Special Projects Administrator

City Manager's Office

(602)-262-7526

From: CLK Director Office <clk.director@phoenix.gov>

Sent: Monday, December 15, 2025 9:41 AM

To: MGR Ethics Commission <ethics.commission@phoenix.gov>

Subject: FW: Ethics Inquiry - Notarized Form Required

Hello,

I am forwarding an email received from Amanda McGowan related to recent Ethics Inquiries she submitted, and which I previously forwarded.

Thanks,



Denise Archibald

City Clerk/Director

City Clerk Department - City of Phoenix

Ph: 602.261.8900

phoenix.gov/cityclerk

Follow us on X [@PHXClerk](#)

From: Amanda McGowan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2025 6:14 PM
To: CLK Director Office <clk.director@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Ethics Inquiry - Notarized Form Required

CAUTION: This email originated outside of the City of Phoenix.

Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the sender and were expecting this email.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Hi Denise,

I would like to ask that you please provide the Ethics Commission with a copy of my statement, below, for their next meeting. I read this to Phoenix City Council at their last meeting. Additionally, I'd like to ask that you provide them with the attachments to this email which show that a former North Gateway Village Planning Committee member appears to be telling community members that because he was on this committee, he knows that the decision to approve this rezoning is already made, and their participation in what is supposed to be a public process will not matter. I've attached the City Council minutes showing that this individual does seem to be a recent member of the NGVPC. Lastly, I am attaching an email from Racelle Escobar, confirming that city resources were used to target signage related to this vote, on the day of the vote. Specifically, all signage was removed within a 12-hour window, while all other signs not related to the vote (even those a few inches away from these signs) were left in place. I would ask that this also be attached to our concerns about this committee. If the Ethics Commission should decide to actually look at these issues, understanding who requested that this signage be removed would seem significant. None of this is helping with the overall public perception that I have attempted to convey concerns about through my ethics inquiry requests that this committee appears to have been stacked with members who would ignore the public, who are promoting this project or seem to have possible business/financial ties to it, & that this is already a done deal and the public process is a facade.

"Good evening, Mayor and Councilmembers. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

I'm here to make you aware that at the most recent Ethics Commission meeting held on November 20th, **21 ethics inquiry requests were tabled-** not because of their substance, but because they were not notarized. The issue is that **the form and the City's website provided no space for a notary signature, nor any instructions stating notarization was required.**

Only after these inquiries were tabled did a brand-new form appear- dated **November 25th-** which now includes a place for a notary. In addition, the City Clerk indicated that this form **must be hand-delivered** to City Hall **between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday-** when most people are working. This effectively limits who can participate in the ethics process & raises real concerns about accessibility and transparency. Notably, the City of Phoenix allows lobbyists to submit their forms electronically without notarization.

And on top of all of this, the form contains **threatening language** warning citizens they may be charged **more than \$500** if their inquiry is deemed "frivolous." Approximately **one-third of Americans cannot cover a \$500 emergency expense.** For many people, this warning alone is enough to deter them from reporting legitimate concerns.

If our ethics process discourages participation, creates fear of financial penalty, or places procedural hurdles that ordinary residents can't overcome, then it is not an equitable process. It becomes a barrier- one that protects institutions instead of the public.

I am asking the City to **promote equity, access, and trust** by removing unnecessary barriers, eliminating intimidating language, and ensuring that reporting ethics concerns is straightforward, safe, and accessible to everyone. The public should never feel punished or threatened for trying to hold their government who is supposed to represent them accountable."

Thank you,

-Amanda McGowan

From: CLK Director Office <clk.director@phoenix.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2025 3:28 PM

To: Amanda McGowan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Ethics Inquiry - Notarized Form Required

Good Afternoon,

The City Clerk's Office received 10 Ethics Inquiry Forms from you recently. Each form submitted is missing a notarized signature as required by Rule 4(a) of the [Ethics Commission Rules of Procedure \(PDF\)](#).

A blank Inquiry Form with space for notarization is attached to this email. Please complete and have notarized a new blank Inquiry Form for each of ethics inquiry forms you recently filed (attached and listed below). Then, attach the originally submitted inquiry form to the new notarized inquiry form, and submit both back to the City Clerk's Office located at 200 W. Washington St., 15th Floor during business hours, Monday – Friday, 8AM – 5PM, except City Holidays. If you choose to submit the 10 new notarized forms back by email, please also drop-off or mail the original notarized forms to the City Clerk's Office. Please submit the new notarized forms by 5PM on December 15, 2025. Please note, the original Ethics Inquiry Number assigned to each form you originally submitted will not change -- the new notarized form will be attached to each corresponding original submittal.

- EC-25-2 through EC-25-10 and EC-25-16

Thanks,



Denise Archibald

City Clerk/Director

City Clerk Department - City of Phoenix

Ph: 602.261.8900

phoenix.gov/cityclerk

Follow us on X [@PHXClerk \[x.com\]](https://twitter.com/PHXClerk)



PHX Council District 1 Newsletter

4 messages

Councilwoman Ann O'Brien <council.district.1@phoenix.gov>

Sun, Dec 1, 2024 at 3:01 PM

[View this email as a webpage](#)



December 1st, 2024

In this week's issue:

- [Message from Councilwoman Ann O'Brien](#)
- [DOJ Update](#)
- [Mark Your Calendars: Community Meeting](#)
- **[News From the City of Phoenix](#)**
- [News From Deer Valley Unified School District](#)
- [News From Washington Elementary School District](#)
- [News From Glendale Union High School District](#)
- [Phoenix Boards and Commissions](#)
- [City Council Meetings](#)
- [Connect with us!](#)

Message from Councilwoman Ann O'Brien

I hope everyone had a wonderful Thanksgiving holiday spent with friends and family! I was able to get out of the Valley and up to the mountains to spend the holiday with my family and granddaughter. I'm thankful for my family and for the opportunity to get to serve every single one of you and for getting the honor to continue to serve you for the next four years!

This past week may have been a shortened work week due to the Holiday, but I still managed to pack a lot of meetings and events into it! Over the past weekend, I embarked on my first weekend for the Rodel Fellowship program that I was invited to join this year. The Rodel Fellowship is a renowned development program for American political leaders which selects 24 state and local-level bipartisan elected officials from across the Country to participate in a two-year-long seminar program. The Rodel program helps America's most promising leaders reach their full potential as public servants, deepen their commitment to democracy and the rule of law, and work together to address some of our nation's most important domestic and international challenges. I'm honored to have been selected for this cohort as previous cohorts have included prominent Arizona political figures like Mayor Kate Gallego, County Supervisor Bill Gates, former Governor Doug Ducey, and Senator Krysten Sinema.

On Monday, I chaired the Economic Development and Housing Subcommittee where we discussed the Phoenix Film Office and the recent tax credits approved by the State Legislature related to filming and what impact we may see moving forward. I'm sure everyone knows about Bill & Ted's Excellent Adventure having been filmed at the old Metrocenter Mall, but did you know many other movies, such as Transformers 5, were filmed here in Phoenix? Even Disney couldn't deny the allure of Phoenix when they filmed their Disney+ Christmas classic, Noelle, at Desert Ridge and on our lightrail line. Between 2006 and 2010, under the old tax incentive, Phoenix saw an economic impact of over \$30 million! I continue to be hopeful that Phoenix will become the next Atlanta or New Mexico where the big studios are looking to film their productions here, as opposed to California. There are some more things on the horizon, and I cannot wait to keep you all in the loop!

On Tuesday, I had back-to-back briefings all morning. At the rate I've been taking meetings, I figure I might just have maintenance install a revolving door! My first briefing of the morning was with the Aviation Department as we discussed their preparations for the upcoming holiday travel and continued plans for expansion of the airport. Additionally, I discussed with them the concerns brought forward to me by the pre-security concessionaires and their worry about being able to stay open when most people will wait to eat until they are through security. I suggested we start looking at events where we encourage folks to ride the lightrail into the airport and do a "Taste of Sky Harbor" type event encouraging people to come and try the pre-security restaurants.

Another meeting I had that morning was with the Planning Department. You may have read in the paper about the NorthPark Community by Pulte looking to build in the 6,400 acres of land just south of the 303, across from TSMC. Since my first year in office, we have been working

very closely with Pulte as we plan this community. I've made it very clear from the start, I'm not looking for another traditional suburban-style neighborhood where all the commercial retail is located in one intersection and there's zero walkability. While Phoenix has great examples of thriving master-planned communities with this type of design, I'm looking for a more urban, Arcadia-like, neighborhood. This is an important development. This could bring as many as 36,000 people to North Phoenix in the next 10 years – that's half the population of Flagstaff! I want to make sure this part of Phoenix becomes one of the most desirable parts of the city to live in, and that starts with proper planning. I'm committed to rolling my sleeves up and getting into the mud to make sure this community is properly planned, designed, and coveted.

With NorthPark and Halo Vista to the north, and The Metropolitan to the south, District 1 is experiencing astronomical growth! I'm thankful to be leading these efforts and to ensure we bring back attainable and affordable housing so that our teachers, nurses, and public safety officials can live in the communities they serve. Thank you again for allowing me this opportunity!

Ann O'Brien

Councilwoman for District 1

DOJ Investigation Update

DOJ Update

- **City staff and lawyers have completed their first negotiation meeting with DOJ**

Mark Your Calendars: Community Meeting

Our next meeting will be Friday, December 6th, at the DoubleTree Hotel located at [10220 N Metro Pkwy E, Phoenix, AZ 85051](#). Plan for check in to begin at 7:30am and the meeting to start at 8:00am.

You can register below for the upcoming meeting! As a reminder, please fill out the form SEPARATELY for EACH member of your family planning to attend. Thank you!

Register Here

City Council Formal Meeting

Wednesday, December 7, 2022

2:30 PM

phoenix.gov

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The Phoenix City Council convened in formal session on Wednesday December 7, 2022 at 2:36 p.m. in the Council Chambers.

Present: 8 - Councilwoman Yassamin Ansari, Councilman Sal DiCiccio, Councilwoman Betty Guardado, Councilwoman Ann O'Brien, Councilwoman Debra Stark, Councilman Jim Waring, Vice Mayor Laura Pastor and Mayor Kate Gallego

Councilwoman Stark attended the meeting virtually. Councilmember Garcia was absent from the meeting.

Mayor Gallego acknowledged the presence of Mario Barajas, a Spanish interpreter. In Spanish, Mario Barajas announced his availability to the audience.

An affidavit was presented to the Council by the City Clerk stating that copies of the titles of Ordinances G-6964 and G-7053 through G-7063, S-48689 and S-49194 through S-49239, and Resolution 22087 were available to the public in the office of the City Clerk at least 24 hours prior to this Council meeting and, therefore, may be read by title or agenda item only pursuant to the City Code.

References to attachments in these minutes relate to items that were attached to the agenda.

City Attorney Julie Kriegh stated members of the public may speak for up to two minutes on agenda items and gave direction on appropriate decorum when providing comments.

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

1 Mayor and Council Appointments to Boards and Commissions

Summary

This item transmits recommendations from the Mayor and Council for appointment or reappointment to City Boards and Commissions.

The following individuals were recommended for appointment/reappointment by Mayor Williams and Councilmembers:

Central City Village Planning Committee

Appoint Jeff Sherman, for a term to expire Nov. 19, 2023.

Mayor's Commission on Disability Issues

Appoint Carrie Collins-Fadell, for a term to expire Dec. 7, 2025.

Appoint David Steinmetz, for a term to expire Dec. 7, 2025.

North Gateway Village Planning Committee

Appoint Jeff Johnson, for a term to expire Nov. 19, 2024.

Paradise Valley Village Planning Committee

Appoint Ana Bustamante, for a term to expire Nov. 19, 2024.

Phoenix Parks and Preserve Initiative Oversight Committee

Appoint Patricia Duarte, for a term to expire Dec. 7, 2025.

Mayor Gallego administered the oath of office to the following appointees:

Jeff Sherman - Central City Village Planning Committee

Carrie Collins-Fadell - Mayor's Commission on Disability Issues

David Steinmetz - Mayor's Commission on Disability Issues

Jeff Johnson - North Gateway Village Planning Committee

Ana Bustamante - Paradise Valley Village Planning Committee

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman Ansari, that this item be approved. The motion carried by the following voice vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio,
Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien,
Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor
Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

LIQUOR LICENSES, BINGO, AND OFF-TRACK BETTING LICENSE APPLICATIONS

Mayor Gallego requested a motion on liquor license items. A motion was made, as appears below.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman Ansari, that items 2-12 be recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following voice vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio,
Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien,
Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor
Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

2 Liquor License - Mercadito Chapin

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 206693.

Summary

Applicant

Gilda Schwendener, Agent

License Type

Series 10 - Beer and Wine Store

Location

15420 N. 32nd St., Ste. 4,5

Zoning Classification: C-2

Council District: 2

This request is for a new liquor license for a convenience store that does not sell gas. This location was not previously licensed for liquor sales and does not have an interim permit. This location requires a Use Permit to allow package liquor sales.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 13, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, a spirituous liquor license shall be issued only after satisfactory showing of the capability, qualifications and reliability of the applicant and that the public convenience and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance. If an application is filed for the issuance of a license for a location, that on the date the application is filed has a valid license of the same series issued at that location, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the public convenience and best interest of the community at that location was established at the time the location was previously licensed. The presumption shall not apply once the licensed location has not been in use for more than 180 days.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

This information is not provided due to the multiple ownership interests held by the applicant in the State of Arizona.

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

"I have the capability, reliability to hold a liquor license because I currently hold 4 Series 10 license and one series 12. Two are in Mesa and Four are in Phoenix, AZ. I have been operating liquor licenses since 2016 with

no violation. I follow all laws and make sure all my stores and restaurant follow the regulations and responsibilities of holding a liquor license.”

The public convenience requires and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of the liquor license because: “My convenience store will provide a safe and secure place for the neighborhood to buy beer and wine. We will specialties in beer from other countries like Guatemala, El Salvador, and Honduras.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application noting the applicant must resolve any pending City of Phoenix building and zoning requirements, and be in compliance with the City of Phoenix Code and Ordinances.

Attachments

Liquor License Data - Mercadito Chapin

Liquor License Map - Mercadito Chapin

This item was recommended for approval.

3 Liquor License - Sicilian Butcher

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 210804.

Summary

Applicant

Andrea Lewkowitz, Agent

License Type

Series 12 - Restaurant

Location

15530 N. Tatum Blvd., Ste. 160

Zoning Classification: C-1

Council District: 2

This request is for a new liquor license for a restaurant. This location was previously licensed for liquor sales and may currently operate with an

interim permit.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 11, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, a spirituous liquor license shall be issued only after satisfactory showing of the capability, qualifications and reliability of the applicant and that the public convenience and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance. If an application is filed for the issuance of a license for a location, that on the date the application is filed has a valid license of the same series issued at that location, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the public convenience and best interest of the community at that location was established at the time the location was previously licensed. The presumption shall not apply once the licensed location has not been in use for more than 180 days.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

This information is not provided due to the multiple ownership interests held by the applicant in the State of Arizona.

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

“Applicant is committed to upholding the highest standards for business and maintaining compliance with applicable laws. Managers and staff will be trained in the techniques of legal and responsible alcohol sales and service.”

The public convenience requires and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of the liquor license because:

“The Sicilian Butcher is a casual family-friendly neighborhood restaurant featuring a variety of quality, fresh menu items, including hand-crafted meatballs, homemade pastas and house-cured meats. Applicant would like to continue to offer its guests 21 and over the opportunity to enjoy alcoholic beverages as an incident to their favorite menu items.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application.

Attachments

Liquor License Data - Sicilian Butcher

Liquor License Map - Sicilian Butcher

This item was recommended for approval.

4 Liquor License - Yasha from Russia

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 10076743S.

Summary

Applicant

Nerik Gadaev, Agent

License Type

Series 10S - Addition of Sampling Privileges for a Beer and Wine Store

Location

10240 N. 32nd St.

Zoning Classification: C-1

Council District: 3

This request is for the addition of Sampling Privileges to an existing liquor license for a beer and wine store. This location is currently licensed for liquor sales.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 19, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, a spirituous liquor license shall be issued only after satisfactory showing of the capability, qualifications and reliability of the applicant and that the public convenience and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance. If an application is filed for the issuance of a license for a location, that on the date the application is filed has a valid license of the same series issued at that location, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the public convenience and best interest of the community at that location was established at the time the location was previously licensed. The presumption shall not apply once the licensed location has not been in use for more than 180 days.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

The ownership of this business has an interest in other active liquor license(s) in the State of Arizona. This information is listed below and includes liquor license violations on file with the AZ Department of Liquor Licenses and Control and, for locations within the boundaries of Phoenix, the number of aggregate calls for police service within the last 12 months for the address listed.

Yasha from Russia (Series 10)
10240 N. 32nd St., Phoenix
Calls for police service: 4
Liquor license violations: None

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

"I have been in this business for almost 20 years and have never had any previous complaints and violations."

The public convenience requires and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of the liquor license because: “We will provide the public (of drinking age) to taste and experience what the Eastern European Market offers. We have been part of this community for almost 20 years and are well known in the neighborhood.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application.

Attachments

Liquor License Data - Yasha from Russia

Liquor License Map - Yasha from Russia

This item was recommended for approval.

5 Liquor License - Special Event - Arizona Matsuri

Request for a Series 15 - Special Event liquor license for the temporary sale of all liquors.

Summary

Applicant

Ilene Takiguchi

Location

300 E. Indian School Road

Council District: 4

Function

Japanese Cultural Festival

Date(s) - Time(s) / Expected Attendance

Feb. 25, 2023 - 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. / 20,000 attendees

Feb. 26, 2023 - 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. / 15,000 attendees

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application.

This item was recommended for approval.

6 Liquor License - Marz Market

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 211337.

Summary

Applicant

Ziyad Abdulmunem, Agent

License Type

Series 10 - Beer and Wine Store

Location

4111 N. 19th Ave.

Zoning Classification: C-2

Council District: 4

This request is for a new liquor license for a convenience store that does not sell gas. This location was not previously licensed for liquor sales and does not have an interim permit. This location requires a Use Permit to allow package liquor sales.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 11, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, a spirituous liquor license shall be issued only after satisfactory showing of the capability, qualifications and reliability of the applicant and that the public convenience and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance. If an application is filed for the issuance of a license for a location, that on the date the application is filed has a valid license of the same series issued at that location, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the public convenience and best interest of the community at that location was established at the time the location was previously licensed. The presumption shall not apply once the licensed location has not been in use for more than 180 days.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

This applicant does not hold an interest in any other active liquor license in the State of Arizona.

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

"I hold a certificate for The Basic Liquor Law Training. This training provided me the opportunity of learning the importance and significance of obtaining a beer and wine license. I have never been involved in any criminal activity, no record of getting in trouble with law and authorities."

The public convenience requires and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of the liquor license because:

"This store will provide a safe and secure place for the neighborhood who may not have access to transportation. Adding the long time experience of the owner with running a store while upholding all the laws and regulations, the store will be safe, secure and convenience place for customers to purchase quality liquor."

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application noting the applicant must resolve any pending City of Phoenix building and zoning requirements, and be in compliance with the City of Phoenix Code and Ordinances.

Attachments

Liquor License Data - Marz Market

Liquor License Map - Marz Market

This item was recommended for approval.

7 Liquor License - Special Event - Arizona Super Bowl Host Committee

Request for a Series 15 - Special Event liquor license for the temporary sale of all liquors.

Summary

Applicant

Jay Parry

Location

67 W. Culver St.

Council District: 7

Function

Super Bowl Experience

Date(s) - Time(s) / Expected Attendance

Feb. 8, 2023 - 5 p.m. to 9 p.m. / 300 attendees

Feb. 9, 2023 - 2 p.m. to 10 p.m. / 25,000 attendees

Feb. 10, 2023 - 2 p.m. to 10 p.m. / 25,000 attendees

Feb. 11, 2023 - 10 a.m. to 10 p.m. / 25,000 attendees

Feb. 12, 2023 - 11 a.m. to 9 p.m. / 25,000 attendees

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application.

This item was recommended for approval.

8 Liquor License - 125 Lounge

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 06070007.

Summary

Applicant

Amy Nations, Agent

License Type

Series 6 - Bar

Location

188 E. Jefferson St.

Zoning Classification: DTC-Downtown Core

Council District: 7

This request is for an acquisition of control of an existing liquor license for a bar. This location is currently licensed for liquor sales.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 13, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, consideration should be given only to the applicant's personal qualifications.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

The ownership of this business has an interest in other active liquor license(s) in the State of Arizona. This information is listed below and includes liquor license violations on file with the AZ Department of Liquor Licenses and Control and, for locations within the boundaries of Phoenix, the number of aggregate calls for police service within the last 12 months for the address listed.

Torch Cigar Bar (Series 6)

5450 E. High St., #115, Phoenix

Calls for police service: 16

Liquor license violations: None

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

“Harrington Acquisitions hold and manages other liquor establishments. We will be hiring servers and bartenders that will be required to attend ongoing liquor law training to ensure compliance of all liquor laws. The lounge is located inside an apartment complex for the need a convenience of the residence and others who choose to patronize our business.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application noting the applicant must resolve any pending City of Phoenix building and zoning requirements, and be in compliance with the City of Phoenix Code and Ordinances.

This item was recommended for approval.

9 Liquor License - Miel de Agave

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 210150.

Summary

Applicant

Theresa Morse, Agent

License Type

Series 12 - Restaurant

Location

705 N. 1st St., Ste. 110

Zoning Classification: DTC-Evans Churchill West

Council District: 7

This request is for a new liquor license for a restaurant. This location was previously licensed for liquor sales and may currently operate with an interim permit.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 10, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, a spirituous liquor license shall be issued only after satisfactory showing of the capability, qualifications and reliability of the applicant and that the public convenience and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance. If an application is filed for the issuance of a license for a location, that on the date the application is filed has a valid license of the same series issued at that location, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the public convenience and best interest of the community at that location was established at the time the location was previously licensed. The presumption shall not apply once the licensed location has not been in use for more than 180 days.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

This information is not provided due to the multiple ownership interests held by the applicant in the State of Arizona.

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

"I have ownership in several restaurants with liquor licenses and have knowledge of the Arizona Liquor Laws as required. The staff at this new location will receive liquor law training to ensure they are familiar with the laws; specifically which ID's are valid, checking young looking customers ID, preventing obvious intoxication and protecting the health safety and welfare of our customers and any Arizona citizen by taking pro-active action."

The public convenience requires and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of the liquor license because:

“This location had a restaurant liquor license in the past however it was not operating as a restaurant. We have purchase all new kitchen equipment and table/chairs for dining at this location. We are confident due to the location and our extensive menu that the community will support our restaurant and the atmosphere. We will maintain relationships with any neighbors or community organizations and encourage the use of our restaurant for neighborhood meetings.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application noting the applicant must resolve any pending City of Phoenix building and zoning requirements, and be in compliance with the City of Phoenix Code and Ordinances.

Attachments

Liquor License Data - Miel de Agave

Liquor License Map - Miel de Agave

This item was recommended for approval.

10 Liquor License - Sauvage Wine Bar + Shop

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 07070138.

Summary

Applicant

Andrea Lewkowitz, Agent

License Type

Series 7 - Beer and Wine Bar

Location

149 W. McDowell Road

Zoning Classification: DTC - McDowell Corridor HP

Council District: 7

This request is for an ownership and location transfer of a liquor license for a beer and wine bar. This location was not previously licensed for

liquor sales and does not have an interim permit.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 11, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, a spirituous liquor license shall be issued only after satisfactory showing of the capability, qualifications and reliability of the applicant and that the public convenience and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance. If an application is filed for the issuance of a license for a location, that on the date the application is filed has a valid license of the same series issued at that location, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the public convenience and best interest of the community at that location was established at the time the location was previously licensed. The presumption shall not apply once the licensed location has not been in use for more than 180 days.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

The ownership of this business has an interest in other active liquor license(s) in the State of Arizona. This information is listed below and includes liquor license violations on file with the AZ Department of Liquor Licenses and Control and, for locations within the boundaries of Phoenix, the number of aggregate calls for police service within the last 12 months for the address listed.

So Far, So Good/ Brill Line/ Sauvage Bottle Shop at the Churchill (Series 6)

901 N. 1st St., Phoenix

Calls for police service: 17

Liquor license violations: None

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

“Applicant is committed to upholding the highest standards for business and maintaining compliance with applicable laws. Managers and staff will be trained in the techniques if legal and responsible alcohol sales .”

The public convenience requires and the best interest of the community will be substantially served by the issuance of the liquor license because:

“Sauvage Wine Bar and Shop will introduce a wide variety of wines from throughout the world, encouraging guests to learn about and experience the culture that created them.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application noting the applicant must resolve any pending City of Phoenix building and zoning requirements, and be in compliance with the City of Phoenix Code and Ordinances.

Attachments

Liquor License Data - Sauvage Wine Bar + Shop

Liquor License Map - Sauvage Wine Bar + Shop

This item was recommended for approval.

11 Liquor License - Selection Sauvage

Request for a liquor license. Arizona State License Application 210490.

Summary

Applicant

Timothy Geis, Agent

License Type

Series 4 - Wholesaler

Location

625 S. 27th Ave., Ste. 130-B SS

Zoning Classification: A-2

Council District: 7

This request is for a new liquor license for a wholesaler. This location was not previously licensed for liquor sales and does not have an interim permit.

The 60-day limit for processing this application is Dec. 9, 2022.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 4-203, consideration should be given only to the applicant's personal qualifications.

Other Active Liquor License Interest in Arizona

The ownership of this business has an interest in other active liquor license(s) in the State of Arizona. This information is listed below and includes: information about any liquor license violations on file with the AZ Department of Liquor License and Control and, for locations within the boundaries of Phoenix, the number of aggregate calls for police service within the last 12 months for the address listed.

Selection Sauvage (Series 4)

2440 W. Lincoln St., Ste. 170S, Phoenix

Calls for police service: 3

Liquor License Violations: None

Public Opinion

No protest or support letters were received within the 20-day public comment period.

Applicant's Statement

The applicant submitted the following statement in support of this application. Spelling, grammar and punctuation in the statement are shown exactly as written by the applicant on the City Questionnaire.

I have the capability, reliability and qualifications to hold a liquor license because:

"I am a responsible adult who has more than 10 years in the liquor industry. I recently passed the sommelier exam and have proven myself in the restaurant industry with my sales abilities and managerial style. I

take pride in my professionalism and my sense of urgency and I feel that I am completely capable of holding a liquor license. I intend on enriching the Arizona wine community by importing the wholesaling craft and natural wines."

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application.

This item was recommended for approval.

12 Liquor License - Special Event - Community Food Connections, Inc.

Request for a Series 15 - Special Event liquor license for the temporary sale of all liquors.

Summary

Applicant

Tanya Chakravarty

Location

720 N. 5th St.

Council District: 8

Function

Arts and Crafts Market

Date(s) - Time(s) / Expected Attendance

Dec. 14, 2022 - 5 p.m. to 10 p.m. / 4,000 attendees

Dec. 15, 2022 - 5 p.m. to 10 p.m. / 5,000 attendees

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of this application.

This item was recommended for approval.

ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, AND NEW BUSINESS

Mayor Gallego requested a motion on the remaining agenda items. A motion was made, as appears below.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman O'Brien, that items 13-84 be approved or adopted, except items 20-21, 31, 37, 39, 47, 57, 64, 73-74 and 80-85; noting items 20 and 84 are as revised; Item 78 is continued to the February 15, 2023 City Council Formal Meeting; items 52 and 79 are withdrawn; and Item 85 is an add-on. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio,
Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien,
Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor
Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

Items 13-18, Ordinance S-49194 was a request to authorize the City Controller to disburse funds, up to amounts indicated on items, for the purpose of paying vendors, contractors, claimants and others, and providing additional payment authority under certain existing city contracts. This section also requested continuing payment authority, up to amounts indicated below, for the following contracts, contract extensions and/or bids awarded. As indicated below, some items below require payment pursuant to Phoenix City Code section 42-13.

13 Baggage Airline Guest Services, Inc.

For \$90,000.00 in payment authority for a one-time service for the remote bag check-in service for the Aviation Department at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport (PHX) Consolidated Rental Car Center (RCC) and an additional location at 44th Street Sky Train station if operations warrant the need to support Super Bowl LVII 2023. The service will be provided to the traveling public at both locations to reduce high levels of passenger traffic in the terminals on the day after the Super Bowl. The service will provide PHX passengers an option to avoid busy terminal activities and have their luggage delivered to their selected airline carrier.

This item was adopted.

14 GBD Labs, LLC doing business as Crosswalk Labs

For \$36,300.00 in payment authority for a study of Phoenix's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions for the Office of Environmental Programs. The 2021 Climate Action Plan, approved by the City Council on Oct. 21, 2021, set reduction goals for GHG emissions to be achieved by 2030 and 2050. However, current GHG emissions data for the City is calculated as a total and does not provide a detailed breakdown of emissions sources, creating inefficiencies in climate planning. By leveraging previous data from Northern Arizona University's Vulcan and Hestia Projects, the study will produce GHG emissions data for 2018, 2019, and 2020 that is source-specific, policy-relevant, and will be presented to the Environmental Quality and Sustainability Commission. This will support the creation of targeted action items to meet the City's reduction goals for GHG emissions efficiently. Funding for this purchase is available through the Office of Environmental Programs' air quality budget for the Fiscal Year 2022-23.

This item was adopted.

15 Phoenix Sister Cities, Inc.

For \$20,000.00 in payment authority for sponsorship of Phoenix Sister Cities' El Grito celebration. El Grito is a special tradition to celebrate Mexican Independence Day and kick off Hispanic Heritage Month. The sponsorship ensures the event will be able to accommodate over 6,000 attendees in Downtown Phoenix, provide proper security, and construct the stage for various performers.

This item was adopted.

16 BTE Body Company, Inc.

For \$25,000.00 in payment authority for a new contract to be entered into on or about Nov. 16, 2022, for a term of five years for Cement Silo Inspection and Maintenance Services for the Street Transportation Department. The cement silo is utilized to load cement directly into a mobile mix concrete truck that is used to deliver concrete materials to field staff to maintain various structures.

This item was adopted.

17 Settlement of Claim(s) Espinoza v. City of Phoenix

To make payment of up to \$65,000.00 in settlement of claim(s) in *Espinoza v. City of Phoenix*, CV2019-015303, 18-1114-002, AU, BI, for

the Finance Department pursuant to Phoenix City Code Chapter 42. This is a settlement for a bodily injury claim arising from a motor vehicle accident on Dec. 12, 2018, involving the Public Works Department.

This item was adopted.

18 Settlement of Claim(s) Miller v. City of Phoenix

To make payment of up to \$750,000.00 in settlement of claim(s) in *Miller v. City of Phoenix*, CV2020-092847, 19-0351-001, GL, BI, for the Finance Department pursuant to Phoenix City Code Chapter 42. This is a settlement for a bodily injury claim incident on April 14, 2019, involving the Street Transportation Department.

This item was adopted.

19 Canvass of Vote - November 2022 City Council Election

Canvass of the vote for the Tuesday, Nov. 8, 2022 City Council Election for the City Council to canvass the votes and announce and declare the results of the election.

Summary

On Tuesday, Nov. 8, 2022, the City of Phoenix held a City Council Election for registered voters in Phoenix Council Districts 2, 4, 6 and 8 to elect council members for full four-year terms. There was no election for Mayor or council members in Districts 1, 3, 5 and 7 because the terms of the city council members are staggered. This Council Election was conducted by Maricopa County as part of the 2022 State General Election.

Citizen Notification

Voters on the Active Early Voting List (AEVL) received notification of the election from Maricopa County in early May 2022, and early ballots were mailed approximately 27 days before the election. The City mailed a Publicity Pamphlet in late September before early ballots were mailed, to each household with an eligible registered voter. The Pamphlet contained general information about the election, including the deadline to return early ballots by mail, in-person voting options, and voter identification requirements. The Pamphlet also contained a list of names of the qualified candidates for Council. Election information was available at phoenix.gov/elections and maricopa.vote. Additionally, information was

provided through multiple publications, news releases, and the official Phoenix election Twitter account, @PHXClerk. All election information was available at phoenix.gov/elections and provided in English and Spanish.

Concurrence

Maricopa County was responsible for the tabulation of ballots and provided election results for the City Council races.

This item was approved.

22 Acceptance and Dedication of Easements and Deeds for Sidewalk, Public Utility and Roadway Purposes (Ordinance S-49207)

Request for the City Council to accept and dedicate easements and deeds for sidewalk, public utility and roadway purposes; further ordering the ordinance recorded.

Summary

Accepting the property interests below meets the Planning and Development Department's Single Instrument Dedication Process requirement prior to releasing any permits to applicants.

Easement (a)

Applicant: United EM Holding, Inc., its successor and assigns
Purpose: Sidewalk
Location: 777 W. Alameda Road
File: FN 220054
Council District: 1

Easement (b)

Applicant: Richard N Reese Family Limited Liability Company, LLC, its successor and assigns
Purpose: Sidewalk
Location: 2302 E. Thomas Road
File: FN 220084
Council District: 4

Easement (c)

Applicant: Daniel Stone, its successor and assigns
Purpose: Public Utility
Location: 4737 E. Hubbell St.
File: FN 220080
Council District: 6

Deed (d)

Applicant: Daniel Stone, its successor and assigns
Purpose: Roadway
Location: 4737 E. Hubbell St.
File: FN 220080
Council District: 6

Deed (e)

Applicant: Loftsphx at Roosevelt LLC, its successor and assigns
Purpose: Roadway
Location: 1150 E. Roosevelt St.
File: FN 220082
Council District: 8

Easement (f)

Applicant: Loftsphx at Roosevelt LLC, its successor and assigns
Purpose: Sidewalk
Location: 1150 E. Roosevelt St.
File: FN 220082
Council District: 8

Easement (g)

Applicant: F & F 2, LLC, its successor and assigns
Purpose: Public Utility
Location: 2329 N. 29th St.
File: FN 220088
Council District: 8

This item was adopted.

**23 Acceptance of an Access Easement Between 50th Street and
Interstate 10 from Kyrene Elementary School District No. 28**

(Ordinance S-49210)

Request for the City Council to accept an access easement between 50th Street and Interstate 10, North of East Chandler Boulevard from Kyrene Elementary School District No. 28; further ordering the ordinance recorded.

Summary

The approximate 20-foot easement provides access to a water and sewer easement located along the west side of Interstate 10 (I-10). The access easement is within Maricopa County Assessor's parcel number 301-84-002F between South 50th Street and I-10, further described in the legal description to be recorded with the ordinance.

Financial impact

Kyrene Elementary School District No. 28 donated the access easement at no cost to the City.

Location

Between South 50th Street and I-10, north of East Chandler Boulevard.
Council District: 6

This item was adopted.

24 Authorization to Sell City-owned Real Property Near 75th Avenue and Baseline Road to Salt River Project (Ordinance S-49198)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to sell City-owned real property to Salt River Project. Further request authorization for the City Treasurer to accept all funds related to this item.

Summary

The land to be sold to Salt River Project (SRP) is an approximate 146,904 square foot site fully encumbered by multi-use easement granted to SRP for access, operation, and maintenance of irrigation facilities supporting the Laveen Area Conveyance Channel (LACC). The land is improved with an SRP holding pond that acts as a water storage facility that collects water from storm runoff and a fenced well station. The easement and SRP improvements significantly limit the utility of the site

for any other purpose or development.

SRP will acquire the land for purposes of managing their existing improvements and drilling a new well site. The City will retain the LACC and a 55-foot strip of land along the north side of the property for the future Baseline Road right-of-way (ROW). SRP will have access across the 55-foot strip of land at the current access point until such time the roadway is constructed, and right-of-way is dedicated. The City will also reserve a 30-foot trail easement, along 55-foot strip of right-of-way, for connection to the trail east of this property and any future trails to the west and along the LACC. As a condition of the sale, SRP will remove infrastructure, if any, from the 55-foot strip of land to be retained for future right-of-way and the 30-foot trail easement.

The City and SRP will enter into an agreement for the purchase and sale of City-owned property, containing terms and conditions deemed necessary and appropriate by the City. The land will be conveyed by special warranty deed subject to all existing easements and encumbrances, including reservation of the 30-foot trail easement. The purchase price will be based on the appraised value of the land, and SRP will pay all closing costs.

Financial Impact

Revenue will be reflective of the appraised value.

Location

Along the south side of Baseline Road, approximately 155 feet west of 75th Avenue, identified by Maricopa County assessor parcel number 300-01-006B.

Council District: 7

This item was adopted.

25 Acquisition of Real Property for Roadway Improvements along the Grand Canal at Indian School Road (Ordinance S-49200)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to acquire all real property and related property interests required by donation, purchase within the City's appraised value, or by the power of eminent domain for

roadway improvements along the Grand Canal at Indian School Road. Further request to authorize dedication of land with roadway and/or public improvements to public use for right of way purposes via separate recording instrument. Additionally request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item.

Summary

Acquisition of real property is required for the installation of roadway improvements along the Grand Canal to enhance the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists crossing Indian School Road. Improvements include Americans with Disabilities Act compliant sidewalks, ramps, landscaping, lighting, and two High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk (HAWK) signals.

The parcels affected by this project and included in this request are identified by Maricopa County Assessor's parcel numbers 155-04-001 located at 4212 N. 16th St. and 118-01-101 located at 1551 E. Indian School Rd.

Financial Impact

Funding is available in the Street Transportation Department's Capital Improvement Program budget.

Location

Along the Grand Canal at E. Indian School Rd.
Council District: 4

This item was adopted.

26 Acquisition of Real Property for a Pedestrian and Bicycle Path along the Grand Canal between 47th and 75th Avenues (Ordinance S-49212)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to acquire all real property and related property interests required by donation, purchase within the City's appraised value, or by the power of eminent domain for a pedestrian and bicycle path along the Grand Canal between 47th and 75th avenues. Further request to authorize dedication of land with roadway and/or public improvements to public use for right-of-way

purposes via separate recording instrument. Additionally request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item.

Summary

Acquisition of real property is required to accommodate a new pedestrian and bicycle path along the Grand Canal between 47th and 75th avenues. Improvements include lighting, landscaping and six High-Intensity Activated Crosswalk (HAWK) signals to enhance user safety.

The parcels affected by this project are identified in **Attachment A**.

Financial Impact

Funding is available in the Street Transportation Department's Capital Improvement Program budget.

Location

Along the Grand Canal between 47th and 75th avenues.
Council Districts: 4 and 5

This item was adopted.

27 Acquisition of Real Property for Sidewalk Improvements along 7th Avenue from Mountain View Road to Cheryl Drive, and along Mountain View Road from 7th Avenue to 3rd Drive (Ordinance S-49208)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to acquire all real property and related property interests required by donation, purchase within the City's appraised value, or by the power of eminent domain for sidewalk improvements along 7th Avenue from Mountain View Road to Cheryl Drive, and along Mountain View Road from 7th Avenue to 3rd Drive. Further request to authorize dedication of land with roadway and/or public improvements to public use for right-of-way purposes via separate recording instrument. Further request authorization for the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item.

Summary

Acquisition of real property is required to accommodate sidewalk improvements along 7th Avenue from Mountain View Road to Cheryl

Drive, and along Mountain View Road from 7th Avenue to 3rd Drive. The improvements will enhance pedestrian, bicyclist, and vehicular traffic safety, and include new curbs and gutter and upgrading sidewalks to comply with Americans with Disabilities Act.

The parcels affected by this project are identified in **Attachment A**.

Financial Impact

Funding is available in the Street Transportation Department's Capital Improvement Program budget.

Location

Along N. 7th Avenue from W. Mountain View Road to W. Cheryl Drive, and along W. Mountain View Road from N. 7th Avenue to N. 3rd Drive.
Council Districts: 3

This item was adopted.

28 Service and Repair of Appliances - IFB 18-213 - Amendment (Ordinance S-49216)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to allow additional expenditures under contract 149044 with Byassee Equipment, Inc for the purchase of maintenance and repair services for appliances for Citywide departments. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$90,000.

Summary

This contract will provide service and repair of commercial, residential and miscellaneous appliances, including gas and electric stoves and ranges that are used to support the public after school programs and Citywide projects for multiple departments. Primary users of the contract are the Fire, Human Services, and Parks and Recreation departments and this change will ensure sufficient funds over the remaining contract term.

Contract Term

The contract term remains unchanged, ending on Dec. 31, 2023.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$90,000 in additional funds, the revised aggregate value of the contract will not exceed \$440,000. Funds are available in the various department budgets.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council previously reviewed this request:

- Service and Repair of Appliances 149044 (S-45254) on Dec. 12, 2018.

This item was adopted.

29 Citywide Fence Supply and Service - Requirements Contract - IFB 18-207 - Amendment (Ordinance S-49223)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee to allow additional expenditures under contracts 147320 with Western Fence Co., Inc.; 147321 with Phoenix Fence Company; and 147322 with LP Steel Industries, LLC. for the purchase of fencing supplies and services related to installation, repair, and replacement of chain-link, block, and ornamental fencing, gates, and fencing rental for Citywide use. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$942,000.

Summary

This contract will provide fencing that is routinely used to secure City facilities from vandalism and theft. The contracts are also used to secure and mitigate risk at City-operated construction sites and have been amended to include fencing for the Gated Alley Program. Fire, Parks and Recreation, and Public Works departments have been identified as the primary departmental users of this contract.

Additional funds are needed due to higher than anticipated usage of these contracts and anticipated spend for the Gated Alley Program.

Contract Term

The contract term remains unchanged, ending on April 30, 2023.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$942,000 in additional funds, the revised aggregate value of the contract will not exceed \$6,477,000. Funds are available in the various department budgets.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council previously reviewed this request:

- Citywide Fence Supply and Services Contracts 147320, 147321, and 147322 (Ordinance S-44486) on April 18, 2018;
- Increase Expenditure Authority for Citywide Fence Supply and Services Contracts 147320, 147321, and 147322 (Ordinance S-46556) on May 6, 2020;
- Fence Supply and Services Contracts 147320, 147321, and 147322 (Ordinance S-47987) on Oct. 6, 2021.

This item was adopted.

30 Real-Time Captioning (CART) and Sign Language Interpreter Services - ADSPO 13-00002282 - Amendment (Ordinance S-49232)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee to allow additional expenditures under Contract 147846 with Arizona Interpreting Services, Inc, and Contract 147847 with Karla M. Martin, RPR, CSR, CR, and to extend contract term. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$650,000.

Summary

This contract will provide onsite and remote interpreter and captioning services to include sign language interpreters, oral interpreters, Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) and real-time captioning or communication access real-time translation (CART) services on an as-needed basis to all City departments. Interpreter and captioning services will support the City's efforts to remove language and other communication barriers during public, private and community meetings and events in addition to complying with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Extending these contracts and adding funds will enable the various departments to provide uninterrupted services to the residents of the City.

Contract Term

Upon approval these contracts will be extended through April 30, 2024.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$650,000 in additional funds, the revised aggregate value of the contracts will not exceed \$675,000. Funding is available in the various department budgets.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council previously reviewed this request:

- Real-time Captioning (CART) and Sign Language Interpreter Services Contract 147846, 147847 (Ordinance S-44494) on April 18, 2018.

This item was adopted.

32 Background Screening and In-Processing/Onboarding Services Contract (Ordinance S-49219)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an amendment to Contract 146656 with Sterling Infosystems, Inc., d/b/a Sterling to extend the contract term for three additional months. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$30,000.

Summary

This contract will provide background screening and in-processing/onboarding services for employees and volunteers. The costs for employee and volunteer background services are paid by the departments utilizing these services. The Human Resources Department issued a Request for Proposals, however, additional time is needed for the evaluation process and to ensure there is no interruption of services.

Contract Term

Upon approval, the contract will be extended through April 4, 2023.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$30,000 in additional funds, the revised aggregate value of the contract will not exceed \$524,000. Funds are available in various City departments' budgets.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council previously reviewed this request:

Background Screening and In-Processing/Onboarding Services
(Ordinance S-43751) on June 28, 2017.

Additional payment authority: Sterling Infosystems, Inc., d/b/a Sterling
Contract 146656 (Ordinance S-48392-0018) on March 23, 2022.

This item was adopted.

**33 Transfer of Retirement Funds to Arizona State Retirement System
(Ordinance S-49204)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to transfer retirement funds for Milo Neild in the amount of \$7,239.15 to the Arizona State Retirement System. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse the funds.

Summary

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes, sections 38-730 and 38-922, retirement service credits for former members of the City of Phoenix Employees' Retirement System (COPERS) may be transferred to the Arizona State Retirement System upon approval by the City Council. The following former City of Phoenix employee has requested transfer of the balance of their credited service:

Neild, Milo: \$7,239.15

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

This item was approved by the COPERS Board at the Nov. 3, 2022 meeting.

This item was adopted.

**34 Transfer of Retirement Funds to Arizona State Retirement System
(Ordinance S-49215)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to transfer retirement funds for Morgan Bowers in the amount of \$12,104.76 to the Arizona State Retirement System. Further request to authorize the City

Controller to disburse the funds.

Summary

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes, sections 38-730 and 38-922, retirement service credits for former members of the City of Phoenix Employees' Retirement System (COPERS) may be transferred to the Arizona State Retirement System upon approval by the City Council. The following former City of Phoenix employee has requested transfer of the balance of their credited service:

Bowers, Morgan: \$12,104.76

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

This item was approved by the COPERS Board at the Nov. 3, 2022 meeting.

This item was adopted.

35 Request to Enter Into a Lease Agreement with the Deer Valley Unified School District for a Modular Classroom for the Head Start Birth to Five Program and to Sublease to Head Start Birth to Five Program Partners (Ordinance S-49202)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with the Deer Valley Unified School District (Deer Valley) to lease a modular classroom for the Head Start Birth to Five Program. Further request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter subleases with Head Start Birth to Five subrecipients and contractors to allow them to use the modular classroom for Head Start Birth to Five activities.

Summary

The Human Services Department requests to enter into a 15-year property lease agreement with Deer Valley for a modular classroom at Sunrise Elementary School to operate the Head Start Birth to Five Preschool Program. The Human Services Department further requests authority to enter subleases to allow Head Start Birth to Five subrecipients and contractors to use the modular classroom for purposes consistent with the Head Start Birth to Five program, for a

maximum term of five years per sublease.

In 1994, the City of Phoenix purchased a modular classroom for Head Start preschool programming and placed it on the Sunrise Elementary School campus. The current modular classroom requires extensive repair. Due to the age of the structure, a new modular classroom will be placed on the same site, ensuring continuity of services for children and families in the area.

The Office of Head Start requires a property lease agreement for a minimum of 15 years for any modular classroom purchased with Head Start grant funds and placed on land owned by another entity. The City will lease the modular from Deer Valley to ensure the modular is used for Head Start activities for a 15-year period.

The lease at Sunrise Elementary School includes plumbing, electricity, water, fencing, parking, a playground and access to the main campus.

Contract Term

The term of the property lease agreement will be 15 years from the installation of the modular classroom, beginning on or about Jan. 1, 2023, and ending on or about Jan. 1, 2038.

Financial Impact

The Deer Valley Unified School District does not charge a lease amount or taxes for the property where the modular classroom will be placed. Consideration for any subleases to Head Start Birth to Five subrecipients and contractors will be the subleasee's performance of Head Start Birth to Five activities within the modular classroom.

Location

17624 N. 31st Ave.
Council District: 1

This item was adopted.

- 36 Request to Enter Into an Agreement to Provide Caregiver Supportive Services with A.T. Still University of Health Sciences -**

CarePlaCe Program (Ordinance S-49209)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with A.T. Still University of Health Sciences - CarePlaCe Program for supportive services for individuals caring for loved ones affected by dementia and other related conditions. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item for the life of the contract. Funding is available from the City's allocation of the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) received from the federal government and is part of the Memory Cafe program of the strategic plan.

Summary

In April 2020, Phoenix became the largest city in the nation to be designated a Dementia Friendly City. Dementia-friendly cities foster the ability of individuals living with dementia to remain, thrive, and feel part of the community in which they live. During the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals living with dementia and their caregivers were disproportionately impacted as they lost access to resources, supportive services, in-person programs, and recreational activities. Caregivers also lack opportunities to learn self-care strategies and to build their own support systems resulting in chronic conditions, depressive symptoms, and mental health concerns.

Through the Memory Cafe Program, the CarePlaCe program will enhance services for caregivers by expanding their capabilities and better equipping them with tools needed to best meet the needs of individuals living with dementia and other related conditions. Supportive services, include but are not limited to: program intake and assessments; home evaluations; care plan development; educational modules; classes for caregivers; and follow-up services which will assist in mitigating the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic by improving the quality of life and care for individuals living with dementia and their caregivers.

Contract Term

The contract term will begin on or about Dec. 1, 2022, and expire on Dec. 31, 2023.

Financial Impact

The total value of the contract will not exceed \$400,000. There is no impact to the General Fund. Funding is available through the City's ARPA allocation.

This item was adopted.

38 Request to Retroactively Accept and Disburse Nina Mason Pulliam Charitable Trust Grant Funds (Ordinance S-49224)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to retroactively accept a grant award in the amount of \$22,800 from the Nina Mason Pulliam Charitable Trust. Further request to authorize the City Treasurer to accept, and the City Controller to disburse, all funds related to this item.

Summary

The Nina Mason Pulliam Charitable Trust has donated holiday funds to the City of Phoenix Human Services Department for several years. The funds are specifically targeted to assist low-income individuals and families by removing housing barriers through rental assistance and eviction prevention services during the Thanksgiving and Christmas holiday months. This donation will allow the Human Services Department to assist approximately 12 households to remain safely housed during the upcoming holiday season. Notice of this grant award was received on Oct. 31, 2022, with a requirement to sign and accept the grant by Nov. 7, 2022.

Financial Impact

No General Funds are required to receive this funding of \$22,800 from the Nina Mason Pulliam Charitable Trust.

This item was adopted.

40 Request to Retroactively Accept American Association of Retired Persons Foundation Digital Skills Ready@50+ Grant Funds (Ordinance S-49228)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to retroactively accept a grant award in the amount of \$4,000 from the American

Association of Retired Persons (AARP) Foundation. Further request authorization for the City Treasurer to accept, and the City Controller to disburse, all funds related to this item.

Summary

The AARP Foundation provides micro grants to non-profits, public agencies, and other organizations to support the facilitation of Digital Skills Ready@50+ workshops and lectures. The Digital Skills Ready@50+ program offers free digital training to seniors to develop the digital confidence and skills necessary to thrive in today's society. Workshops will be offered at five of the City of Phoenix Senior Centers to registered senior center participants. The workshops will be delivered virtually by Older Adults Technology Services. This micro grant will enable the Human Services Department to facilitate training to a minimum of 50 older adults through this program. Notice of the grant award was received on Oct. 19, 2022, with a requirement to sign and accept the grant by Oct. 25, 2022.

Financial Impact

The is no General Fund impact.

This item was adopted.

41 Request to Enter into an Agreement with Vander Weele Group, LLC for Home Visit Rating Scale Observation Services (Ordinance S-49231)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with Vander Weele Group, LLC to conduct observations utilizing the Home Visit Rating Scales-3 (HOVRS3) tool for their Head Start Birth to Five Programs Home-Based option in an amount not to exceed \$764,170 for a five-year period. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item.

Summary

The City of Phoenix Head Start Birth to Five Program is a high-quality early education and child development program whose goal is to prevent and eliminate a learning gap for low-income families. Early Head Start serves 300 infants, toddlers, and pregnant women through home

visitation and monthly socialization groups, emphasizing the parent's role as their child's first and most important teacher. Services are delivered using a developmental parenting approach through weekly, 90-minute home visits and monthly socialization groups. The consultant will provide reliable observers to administer and complete the HOVRS3 to measure the quality of home visit practices and engagement for the Head Start Program Home-Based option.

Procurement Information

A Request for Proposal procurement was conducted in accordance with City of Phoenix Administrative Regulation 3.10.

One proposal was received and deemed responsive and responsible. An evaluation committee of City staff evaluated the offer based on the following criteria with a maximum possible point total of 1,000:

Method of Approach and Service Implementation (500 points);
Experience and Qualifications of Key Staff (400 points); and
Fee Schedule (100 points).

After reaching consensus, the evaluation committee recommends contract award to the following offeror:

Vander Weele Group, LLC.

Contract Term

The contract term will begin on or about Jan. 2, 2023, for a five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

Expenditures shall not exceed \$764,170 over the life of the contract. Funding is available from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration of Children, Youth and Families. No additional General Funds are required.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

On Dec. 13, 2021, the Head Start Birth to Five Policy Council approved the request to release the Home Visit Rating Scale Observation Services

RFP.

This item was adopted.

42 Phoenix Public Library's Fiscal Year 2022-23 Application for Arizona State Library's State Grants-In-Aid Funds

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to apply for Fiscal Year 2022-23 Arizona State Library's State Grants-in-Aid (SGIA) grant funds in an amount of \$74,440 for use by Phoenix Public Library. Further request to authorize the City Treasurer to accept and the City Controller to disburse all funds for purposes of this ordinance.

Summary

Arizona State Grants-in-Aid are allocated annually to the Library based on a per capita distribution of funds by the Arizona State Legislature through the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records. The amount allocated for Phoenix Public Library this year is \$74,440.

For the ninth year running, the above funds will be utilized to assist Phoenix Public Library with its efforts in the areas of Workforce Assistance and Early Literacy Outreach. A portion of the funds will be used for continued funding of a part time employee to assist with the implementation of workforce literacy classes and drop in workforce assistance at the Ocotillo Library and Workforce Literacy Center. The Ocotillo Library and Workforce Literacy Center assists job seekers by offering classes in resume writing, interviewing skills, and computer use. It also offers PHXWorks, which is a collection of materials to support workforce needs including resume writing, occupational testing, language learning and computer skills.

Additionally, a portion of the funds will be used to continue to fund two part time Early Literacy Outreach employees to conduct outreach into the communities of the Harmon, Cesar Chavez, Palo Verde, Ocotillo and Desert Sage libraries. SGIA funds allow Phoenix Public Library to extend critical early literacy outreach into areas of the City identified with the most need.

Finally, a portion of the funds will be used to pay for employee mileage

incurred.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

This item was recommended for approval at the Community and Cultural Investment Subcommittee on Nov. 2, 2022, by a vote of 4-0.

Financial Impact

The amount allocated for Phoenix Public Library this year is \$74,440 and no matching funds are required. There is no impact to the general fund.

Location

Ocotillo Library and Workforce Literacy Center - 102 W. Southern Ave.

Harmon Library - 1325 S. 5th Ave.

Cesar Chavez Library - 3635 W. Baseline Road.

Palo Verde Library - 4402 N. 51st Ave.

Desert Sage Library - 7602 W. Encanto Blvd.

Council Districts: 5, 7, 8

This item was approved.

43 Maintenance of Environmental Units at Pueblo Grande Museum Requirements Contract - IFB 23-024 Request for Award (Ordinance S-49211)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Crawford Mechanical Services, LLC. to provide preventative maintenance services for the Parks and Recreation Department. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract will not exceed \$300,000.

Summary

This contract will provide the City of Phoenix Parks and Recreation Department's Pueblo Grande Museum with preventative maintenance and repairs for temperature control units installed at the museum. Collections and artifacts in the museum are best preserved when the environment is kept within professional best practices and standards. Preventative maintenance services for environmental units are crucial to maintaining the archaeological artifacts curated by the Pueblo Grande Museum.

Procurement Information

An Invitation for Bid procurement was processed in accordance with City of Phoenix Administrative Regulation 3.10.

One vendor submitted a bid deemed to be responsive to posted specifications and responsible to provide the required goods and services. Following an evaluation based on comparisons with market prices and previous contracts for these services, the procurement officer recommends award to the following vendor:

Crawford Mechanical Services, LLC

Contract Term

The term of the contract will be two years with three optional years to extend for a maximum of five years, beginning on or about Dec. 15, 2022.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$300,000. Funding is available in the Parks and Recreation Department's budget.

Location

The Pueblo Grande Museum is located at 4619 E. Washington St.
Council District: 8

This item was adopted.

44 First Things First Grant Application and IGA (Ordinance S-49230)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to apply for, accept, and expend First Things First (FTF) grant funds for both Phoenix Public Library's (PPL) Early Literacy Outreach Team (ELOT) and the City of Phoenix Youth and Education Office's Phoenix Families First Resource Centers and to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Arizona Early Childhood Development and Health Board regarding the same. PPL requests approval to apply for up to \$2.6 million total for the life of the agreement, to be expended over a four-year grant cycle (up to \$650,000 annually), to support ELOT efforts; and Phoenix Families

First Resource Centers requests approval to apply for up to \$3.2 million total for the life of the agreement, to be expended over a four-year grant cycle (up to \$800,000 annually), beginning in City of Phoenix Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-24.

Summary

Phoenix Public Library Early Literacy Outreach Team

Phoenix Public Library has maintained a productive partnership and received grant funding from Arizona's First Things First in support of PPL's Early Literacy Outreach Team since 2009. PPL/FTF outreach workshops for parents and caregivers focus on strategies to help children get ready to read by kindergarten. Hands-on workshops guide parents and caregivers in assisting children with critical pre-literacy skills such as letter knowledge, print awareness, developing a rich vocabulary and comprehension. In addition, when visiting neighborhood events and organizations, library staff present parents with a coupon that can be redeemed at any PPL location for a free children's book, further encouraging families to regularly visit the library and begin building a book collection for the home.

Phoenix Families First Resource Centers

The Human Services Department and Youth and Education Office entered into an intergovernmental agreement with First Things First in July 2018 to create four Family Resource Centers in current City facilities controlled by the Library, Housing, and Parks and Recreation departments. The Phoenix Families First Resource Centers increase families' access to concrete support and services in times of need; improve knowledge of parenting and child development; foster parental resilience and successfully connect families to support in the community; and promote social and emotional competence in children.

Contract Term

In January of 2023, First Things First will start the funding cycle for Arizona state fiscal years 2024 through 2027 by formally issuing requests for proposals. FTF Regional Councils vote to approve proposals by May and then all funding plans go to the First Things First State Board for final approval in June for contracts that will begin in July 2023 and end in June 2027.

Concurrent/Previous Council Action

This item was recommended for approval at the Community and Cultural Investment Subcommittee on Nov. 2, 2021, by a vote of 4-0.

Financial Impact

The amount of the two grants: PPL's ELOT up to \$2.6 million total and to be expended over a four-year grant cycle (up to \$650,000 annually) and Phoenix Families First Resource Centers up to \$3.2 million total and to be expended over a four-year grant cycle (up to \$800,000 annually) beginning in FY 2023-24, and no matching funds are required.

Location

Phoenix Public Library ELOT

Citywide

Phoenix Families First Resource Centers

Goelet A.C. Beuff Community Center - 3435 W. Pinnacle Peak Road

Burton Barr Central Library - 1221 N. Central Ave.

Cesar Chavez Library - 3635 W. Baseline Road

Aeroterra Housing Community - 675 N. 16th St.

Council Districts: 1, 7, and 8

This item was adopted.

**45 Community Development Block Grant Funded Housing
Rehabilitation Americans with Disabilities Act Modifications
Program Request For Proposal Issuance Request**

Request City Council approval to issue the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Housing Rehabilitation Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Modifications Program Request for Proposals (RFP). The total allocation available for the RFP is \$200,000.

Summary

On May 4, 2022, the City Council approved the 2022-23 Annual Action Plan, which outlined the broad activity areas for CDBG funding and included an allocation for the CDBG Home ADA Modifications Program. The program is intended to provide home accessibility modification

services to low- and moderate-income disabled residents of Phoenix.

Procurement Information

The proposed RFP seeks non-profits, neighborhood organizations, and faith-based organizations to provide accessibility modification services for income eligible physically, visually, and hearing impaired Phoenix residents. The RFP will be available for download December 2022. A review committee consisting of City of Phoenix employees and community stakeholders will evaluate each proposal using a 1,000 point scale. NSD will seek City Council approval to award and enter into an agreement with the panel recommended proposer.

Public Outreach

The CDBG Housing Rehabilitation ADA Modifications Program will be publicly advertised in local publications and online.

This item was approved.

**46 Flood Irrigation Repair and Replacement Services Contract
(Ordinance S-49225)**

Request retroactive authorization for the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a one-year contract with Premier Irrigation LLC to provide Flood Irrigation Repair and Replacement Services for the Parks and Recreation Department. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract will not exceed \$150,000.

Summary

The contract with Premier Irrigation, LLC is needed immediately to provide flood irrigation repair and replacement services due to the unexpected early termination of the current contract with Luebkin & Walker Enterprises, Inc dba Salt River Irrigation. These services are necessary to maintain the irrigation system for water flow to the turf, trees, shrubs and other plant material in City parks.

Procurement Information

This item was procured in accordance with AR 3.10. Premier Irrigation, LLC was the second lowest bidder in the previous competitive

procurement process in which the awarded contractor has since terminated their agreement.

Contract Term

The term of the contract will be retroactive to June 1, 2022, for a one-year term with no options to extend. The one-year agreement will give the Parks and Recreation Department time to begin a competitive procurement process.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value for will not exceed \$150,000 for the one-year aggregate term. Funding is available in the Parks and Recreation Department's Operating Budget.

This item was adopted.

48 Amend Ordinance - Redevelopment and Purchase Agreement (City Contract No. 147086) with High Street Fillmore, LLC. and High Street Fillmore Phase 2, LLC. (Ordinance S-49222)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to amend the Redevelopment and Purchase Agreement (RPA), City Contract 147086 (Agreement) with High Street Fillmore, LLC, and High Street Fillmore Phase 2, LLC, (Developer) to modify performance benchmarks and project descriptions as needed to allow for development of city-owned parcels located between 4th and 6th Avenues, on the south side of Fillmore Street in downtown Phoenix. There is no expense impact as a result of this action.

Summary

In November 2015, the Community and Economic Development Department issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the disposition and redevelopment of City-owned parcels located between 4th and 6th Avenues, on the south side of Fillmore Street (collectively "Fillmore Properties" or "Site") in downtown Phoenix. On July 6, 2017, the City Council authorized the City Manager to enter into the Agreement with the successful proposer for the sale and redevelopment of the Site through Ordinance S-43802 (Ordinance).

The Developer chose to develop the site in several phases: Phases 1 and 2, on the east and west side of 5th Avenue, north of Taylor Street, with approximately 659 market-rate units in a seven-story podium apartment building with ground-floor retail; Lot 4, on the west side of 5th Avenue and south of Taylor Street, with a use to be determined at a later date; and Lot 5 ("Condo Site") with "for sale" residential uses. The Developer completed construction of Phase 1, on the east side of 5th Avenue, and is working to commence Phase 2 construction, located on the west side of 5th Avenue, in the summer 2023. Development plans for the Condo Site, have been submitted to the Planning and Development Department and are currently under review. The Developer has also completed construction of Taylor Street, per the City's specifications for the Taylor Street Paseo concept, and has dedicated the street as public right-of-way.

The Developer intends to assign development rights to Lots 4 and 5 to Cardon Development Group (Cardon) which will construct six three-store townhomes on the west portion of the Condo Site (Lot 5 West), and a seven-story for-sale multifamily residential building on the east portion of the Condo Site (Lot 5 East). Cardon is the successor in interest to Metrowest Development, a partner in the RFP proposal. Cardon will work with the community and City staff to develop a development plan for Lot 4 which will be brought back before City Council at a later date. The Developer is nearing completion of the design and permitting of the Condo Site and has spent significant funds toward the redevelopment of the Site and on public right-of-way improvements. As plans are solidified for the future phases of the project, Developer has requested to amend the Agreement to better accommodate development of the Condo Site and Lot 4.

If approved, this amendment will:

Assign the Condo Site to Cardon Development Group, LLC.

Modify the project description for the Condo Site.

Modify Section 401.3 of the RPA to modify Condo Site construction commencement date.

Modify Section 401.1 of the RPA to change the Condo Site and Future Phase completion dates.

Amend Section 409.1 of the RPA to define Condo Site Completion.

Financial Impact

There is no expense impact as a result of this action.

Concurrence/Previous City Council Action

The City Council approved Ordinance S-43802 on July 6, 2017.

Location

Several properties located between 4th and 6th Avenues, south of Fillmore Street in downtown Phoenix. Parcel Nos.: 111-41-183, 111-41-184, 111-41-185, 111-41-186 and 111-41-187.

Council District: 7

This item was adopted.

49 Authorization to enter into a license with Steel & Spark, LLC for the installation of Temporary Structures for Activation of City-Owned Lots in Downtown Phoenix (Ordinance S-49226)

Authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a license and other agreements as necessary with Steel & Spark, LLC. for the installation of temporary structures on vacant City-owned property in downtown Phoenix between Jan. 1, 2023, and Jun. 30, 2023. Further request authorization for the City Treasurer to accept all necessary funds related to this item. No impact to the General Fund.

Summary

The Community and Economic Development Department (CEDD) manages three City-owned parcels in the heart of the Roosevelt Row Arts District in downtown Phoenix, on 2nd Street, north of Roosevelt Street. The parcels have been vacant since 2007 until they were developed as a temporary parking lot in 2016. CEDD is seeking to activate these lots so that they may contribute to the vitality of the downtown community. The installation of the temporary structures manufactured by a local downtown business, Steel & Spark LLC., has been identified as a solution to create short term activation of the site.

Steel & Spark, LLC. manufactures SPARKBOXES, which are building structures made from repurposed shipping containers. The company has

several successful installations in the City of Phoenix, including living spaces for residential back yards, to larger commercial developments such as The Churchill, located at the northeast corner of 1st Street and McKinley Street. The interior space of SPARKBOXES can be used for a variety of uses, such as living space, retail or restaurant space, art galleries, and many more.

The Community and Economic Development Department requests authorization to enter into a license to install these temporary structures on the three City-owned lots in downtown Phoenix to allow for a rotation of pop-up uses that will support the local downtown community and economy. Steel & Spark, LLC will be responsible for the installation, maintenance, and programming of the site.

Contract Term

The term of the license is between Jan. 1, 2023 through Jun. 30, 2023.

Financial Impact

The license fee will be based on market rent and/or other valuable consideration, and any fees received will go into the City's Downtown Community Reinvestment Fund.

Location

1016, 1020, and 1024 N. 2nd St.
Council District: 7

This item was adopted.

**50 Theatrical Spotlights Contract - IFB 20-006 Request for Award
(Ordinance S-49203)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Barbizon Light of the Rockies Inc. to provide Theatrical Spotlights for the Orpheum Theatre and Symphony Hall. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract will not exceed \$252,000.00.

Summary

This contract will provide replacement spotlights for current equipment that has exceeded the manufacture expected lifecycle. The spotlights are used in the Orpheum Theatre and Symphony Hall for stage performances. The spotlights are manually operated and used to "spotlight" performers as they move around the stage. The replacement units will be 50-75 percent brighter than the current equipment in order to better highlight specific areas and performers during live performances. Manually operated spotlights are a standard fixture in theatrical venues.

Procurement Information

An Invitation for Bid procurement was processed in accordance with City of Phoenix Administrative Regulation 3.10.

One vendor submitted a bid deemed to be responsive to the posted specifications and responsible to provide the required goods and services. Following an evaluation based on price, the procurement officer recommends award to the following vendor:

Barbizon Light of the Rockies, Inc.: \$190,511.20.

Contract Term

The contract will begin on or about Jan. 1, 2023, for a five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$252,000.00.

Funding is available in the Phoenix Convention Center Department's operating budget.

Location:

Orpheum Theatre, 203 W. Adams St.

Symphony Hall, 75 N. 2nd St.

Council District: 7

This item was adopted.

51 Operable Wall Inspection, Maintenance and Repair Contract - RFA

PCC 22-007 Request for Award (Ordinance S-49214)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Sunstate Installations, Inc. to provide operable walls maintenance, inspection, and repair for the Phoenix Convention Center Department (PCCD). Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract will not exceed \$750,000.

Summary

This contract will provide inspection, maintenance and repair services for operable walls in the South, West and North buildings on an as-needed basis. Operable walls are used inside meeting rooms, ballrooms and exhibit halls to divide space into multiple sections, which provides greater versatility of the space. Services include: labor, transportation, supplies, materials, parts, tools, machinery, lifts, employee safety equipment, equipment lubricant, and supervision.

Procurement Information

In accordance with AR 3.10, standard competition was waived as a result of an approved Determination Memo based on the following reason:

Emergency Procurement. PCCD has 85 operable walls which require annual maintenance and repairs on an as-needed basis to ensure safe and reliable operation. PCCD recently learned when scheduling annual inspection and maintenance, that the existing contractor, Hufcor, went out of business without notice. Due to the criticality of these services and the potential impact and loss of revenue to the City should the walls become inoperable, there is an urgent need to award a contract in lieu of the standard procurement process. We have been informed and have confirmed that Sunstate Installations, Inc. purchased Hufcor's equipment and hired most of Hufcor's technicians, making them a viable option to fill this urgent need.

Contract Term

The contract will begin on or about Dec. 12, 2022, for a five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$750,000 for the five-year aggregate term.

Funding is available in the Phoenix Convention Center Department's Operating budget.

Location

100 North 3rd. Street, Phoenix, AZ 85004.

Council District: 7 and 8

This item was adopted.

52 (CONTINUED FROM JUNE 1 AND SEPT. 21, 2022) - Sale of Unclaimed and Forfeited Firearms Contract - Requirements Contract - RFP 22-113 (Ordinance S-48689)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Sierra Tactical Auctions, Inc. to provide auctioneering services for unclaimed and forfeited firearms on an as-needed basis for the Phoenix Police Department (PPD). Further request to authorize the City Treasurer to accept funds related to this item.

Summary

This contract will provide auctioneering services to facilitate the sale of forfeited and unclaimed firearms. The City is required to sell forfeited and unclaimed firearms to a federally licensed firearms dealer pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-3105 and A.R.S. § 12-945, respectively, unless the firearms are prohibited from being sold under federal or state law. The contract will be paid through proceeds received from the sale of the City's property and revenue will be deposited to the City's General Fund account.

Procurement Information

RFP 22-113 was conducted in accordance with Administrative Regulation 3.10. There were three offers received by the Procurement Division on March 11, 2022. The notification was sent to 136 suppliers and was publicly posted and available for download from the City's website.

The proposals were scored by a three-member evaluation panel on the following criteria:

Experience and Qualifications: 400 points

Method of Approach: 350 points

Price: 250 points

After reaching consensus, the evaluation committee recommends award to the following vendor:

Sierra Tactical Auctions, Inc: 912.5 total points

The Assistant Finance Director recommends that the offer from Sierra Tactical Auctions, Inc. be accepted as the highest scored, responsive, and responsible offer most advantageous to the City.

Contract Term

The contract will begin on or about June 1, 2022, for a five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value of the revenue generated for the City of Phoenix is approximately \$750,000, with estimated annual revenues of \$150,000. No public funds will be expended.

This item was withdrawn.

53 Aircraft Parts and Services- IFB 18-137 - Amendment (Ordinance S-49197)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to allow additional expenditures under Contract 148992 with Airbus Helicopters, Inc.; Contract 148977 with Precision Heli-Support, LLC; Contract 148991 with AgustaWestland Philadelphia Corp.; and Contract 148978 with Able Aerospace Services for the purchase of various aircraft parts and services for the Phoenix Police Department. Further request authorization for the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$5,775,270.

Summary

This contract will provide aircraft parts and services to operate and maintain the fleet of Airbus AS350B3 (A-Star) helicopters. Currently, the Air Support Unit has five Airbus helicopters that provide airborne surveillance and patrol support to bureaus and precincts of the Police Department. In accordance with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) mandates, routine maintenance and overhauls must be performed to ensure the safe operation of the aircraft fleet. The protocols of routine preventative maintenance require scheduled and unscheduled aircraft maintenance on each of the helicopters. The additional funds for continued preventative maintenance and repair work is critical to prevent the helicopters, that are vital to public safety, from being grounded for long periods of time.

Contract Term

The contract term remains unchanged, ending on Nov. 30, 2023.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$5,775,270 in additional funds, the revised aggregate value of the contract will not exceed \$9,275,270. Funds are available in the Police Department's budget.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council approved the original request:

Aircraft Parts and Services - Contract 148992, 148991, 148977, 148978
(Ordinance S-45150) on Nov. 14, 2018.

This item was adopted.

**54 Fixed Wing Airplane Contract RFP 22-094 - Request for Award
(Ordinance S-49201)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Pilatus Business Aircraft, Ltd. for the purchase of a fixed wing airplane for the Police Department. Further request authorization for the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract will not exceed \$18 million.

Summary

This contract will provide the Police Department's Air Support Unit (ASU) with a new fixed wing airplane, including all necessary pilot and mechanic training to operate and maintain the aircraft. The contractor will manage and oversee completion of the aircraft and its installation of specific mission configurations. The new fixed wing airplane will be used in observation and apprehension of known violent offenders, transport of department personnel in furtherance of ongoing criminal investigations, and transport of City and department-owned cargo and property. This will replace ASU's two Cessna airplanes that can no longer provide the required level of service the City needs. The current airplanes have become expensive to operate due to increased maintenance and inspections resulting in reduced aircraft availability and mission effectiveness.

Procurement Information

A Request for Proposal (RFP) procurement was processed in accordance with City of Phoenix Administrative Regulation 3.10.

One vendor submitted a proposal deemed responsive and responsible. An evaluation committee of City staff evaluated the offer based on the following criteria with a maximum possible point total of 1,000:

Performance and Safety: 0- 275 points

Aircraft and Mission Configuration: 0-250 points

Experience and Qualifications: 0-200 points

Method of Approach: 0-150 points

Pricing: 0-125 points

After reaching consensus, the evaluation committee recommends awarding to Pilatus Business Aircraft, Ltd., which received 781 points.

Contract Term

The contract will begin on or about Dec. 1, 2022, for a five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$18 million. Funding is

available in the Police Department's budget.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

This request is based on the Council-approved Public Safety Aerial Fleet replacement plan. This plan was approved by Public Safety and Justice Subcommittee on Dec. 9, 2020 and City Council on Jan. 6, 2021.

This item was adopted.

55 Fire Department Automatic Aid Agreement (Ordinance S-49233)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) for automatic aid with 23 cities, towns, fire districts, and governmental jurisdictions in the Phoenix metropolitan area. If approved, the term of the agreement will be from Dec. 20, 2022, through Dec. 19, 2032.

Summary

The City of Phoenix has been a leader and participant in the automatic aid system since the 1970s and spearheaded a more formalized arrangement amongst the membership in 1997. Today, there are 23 fire response providers that are part of the automatic aid system, which is a system that is unique to the Phoenix metropolitan area. The automatic aid agreement calls for the automatic dispatch of fire apparatus and other fire resources to an emergency incident without regard to jurisdictional boundary.

Standards for Fire Department deployment, suppression, and emergency medical operations of career fire departments, including in Phoenix and surrounding communities, are established by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 1710. The standard addresses response times, numbers of personnel, minimum equipment required on various apparatus, and other critical aspects of fire response. In order to meet NFPA Standard 1710, amendments to the 1997 agreement are proposed to add certain requirements to the levels of apparatus staffing and equipment provided by each participant, in order to meet NFPA Standard 1710.

A 2011 Efficiency Study of the Fire Department, conducted by

Management Partners, Inc., recognized the Phoenix Fire Department's current automatic aid system as outstanding and stated, "If this system was diluted, Phoenix as well as other surrounding cities could have to expend more resources to obtain the same level of system performance."

Automatic aid systems result in significant savings to the taxpayers of participating jurisdictions through the sharing of resources and avoiding the need to build redundant capital facilities. In addition, residents also receive a standard level of response across jurisdictional boundaries.

The current Automatic Aid System participants include 23 regional Fire Departments:

Arizona Fire and Medical Authority, Avondale Fire and Medical, Buckeye Fire and Medical, Buckeye Valley Fire District, Chandler Fire, Health & Medical, Daisy Mountain Fire and Medical, El Mirage Fire Department, Goodyear Fire Department, Gilbert Fire Department, Glendale Fire Department, Guadalupe Fire Department, Maricopa Fire Department, Mesa Fire and Medical, Peoria Fire and Medical, Phoenix Fire Department, Queen Creek Fire Department, Rio Verde Fire District, Scottsdale Fire Department, Sun City Fire District, Superstition Fire and Medical District, Surprise Fire and Medical, Tempe Fire and Medical, and Tolleson Fire Department.

Contract Term

The term for the Automatic Aid Agreement will be from Dec. 20, 2022, through Dec. 19, 2032.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City to authorize the automatic aid agreement.

This item was adopted.

56 Intergovernmental Agreement with Maricopa County for Participation in the Securing the Cities Program (Ordinance S-49234)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an

intergovernmental agreement with Maricopa County for participation in the Maricopa County Securing the Cities (“STC”) Program. The term for the agreement will be upon execution by all the Principal Partners and remain in effect for the duration of the performance period of the grant.

Summary

This agreement establishes the formal commitment and active participation of participants in the Maricopa County Securing the Cities (“STC”) Program. The STC Program is a regional effort geared to enhance radiological and nuclear detection and interdiction (Preventative Radiological and Nuclear Detection or “PRND”) capabilities in Maricopa County. The Maricopa County STC Program is funded by the STC Program grant from the United States Department of Homeland Security Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office. The grant is awarded to Maricopa County to assist participants in acquiring equipment, training, and support to enhance PRND capabilities in Maricopa County. By entering into this agreement, each Participant agrees to commit personnel, equipment, and other support for the development and sustainment of the STC Program and to accomplish the goals of the program.

Participants include Maricopa County, Arizona State University, Arizona Department of Military Affairs, Arizona Department of Health Services, Arizona Department of Public Safety, Arizona Fire Medical Authority, Town of Gilbert, City of Buckeye, City of Tempe, and the City of Scottsdale. The agencies collectively are the Principal Partners or Participants.

As the primary applicant and recipient of the grant, Maricopa County shall be the fiscal agent and serve as the lead agency with regards to the use of grant funds for the STC Program. All fiscal matters regarding the grant funds and its use in the STC Program shall be managed and overseen by Maricopa County. Further, the procurement of any equipment and services, including trainings and exercises, acquired with grant funds shall be managed and overseen by Maricopa County.

Contract Term

The term of the agreement will begin upon execution by all the Principal

Partners and remain in effect for the duration of the performance period of the grant.

Financial Impact

As the primary applicant and recipient of the grant, Maricopa County shall be the fiscal agent and serve as the lead agency with regards to the use of grant funds in the STC Program. All fiscal matters regarding the grant funds and its use in the STC Program shall be managed and overseen by Maricopa County. There is no financial impact to the City.

This item was adopted.

58 Wiretap Intercept System - Requirements Contract - RFA 19-015 - Amendment (Ordinance S-49206)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to execute an amendment to Contract 149174 with JSI Telecom, Inc. to extend the contract term. Further request authorization for the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$126,571.

Summary

This contract will provide additional support and maintenance to include warranties on all software, hardware technical support, engineering support, and upgrades for the existing wire intercept system. The Police Department's Drug Enforcement Bureau utilizes wire intercept systems to conduct joint complex conspiracy investigations with the United States Drug Enforcement Administration and other federal, state, and local agencies. The wiretap system will provide the ability to continue utilizing the wire intercepts, geo-locate cellular phones, locate endangered citizens, and investigate a variety of crimes. The amendment is necessary to provide more time to prepare for re-solicitation.

The use of this system requires a department or agency to present evidence to a judge or magistrate and a search warrant to be issued. The request must include the criminal offense under investigation, the type of intercept device, the physical location of the device and the duration of intercept.

This item has been reviewed and approved by the Information Technology Services Department.

Contract Term

Upon approval, the contract will be extended through Dec. 12, 2023.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$126,571 in additional funds, the revised aggregate value of the contract will not exceed \$1,042,571. Funds are available in the Police Department's budget.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council previously reviewed this request: Wiretap Intercept System, Contract 149174 (Ordinance S-45216) on Dec. 5, 2018.

This item was adopted.

59 Hydrant Fueling System Modification (Ordinance S-49196)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a sale and purchase agreement and to amend Hydrant and Fueling Agreement Lease No 57871-ARL with the Arizona Fueling Facilities Corporation (AFFC) for the modification of the fuel line hydrant system at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport.

Summary

The AFFC is a consortium of airlines that operates under a ground lease for its piping system which allows the airlines to fuel their aircraft at the gates from hydrants tied to the system. The hydrant fueling system at Terminal 3 was modified and extended during the terminal modernization and inner ramp reconstruction projects to provide fueling service to six (6) additional gates on the South Concourse and to reconfigure the existing system on the North Concourse to accommodate a greater fleet mix. To facilitate the accelerated schedule for the projects, the Aviation Department included the design and construction of the hydrant fuel line into its building contract. Having completed both projects, the Aviation Department wishes to recover the cost of the improvements from AFFC through the sale of the assets.

Financial Impact

The total cost for design and construction is approximately \$4.9 million. Following receipt of final payment by AFFC, the Aviation Department will transfer pipeline ownership by a bill of sale.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council approved Ordinance S-38281 allowing the City to enter into amended and restated Lease Agreement No. 57871 with AFFC on Nov. 2, 2011.

The Business Development Subcommittee recommended approval of the item on Nov. 3, 2022 by a vote of 3-0.

The Phoenix Aviation Advisory Board recommended approval of this item on Nov. 17, 2022 by a vote of 9-0.

Location

Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport, 3400 E. Sky Harbor Blvd.
Council District: 8

This item was adopted.

60 Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning, Refrigeration Systems, Components, Equipment/Controls, Maintenance, Repair, and Installation Services - AVN RFP 19-009 - Amendment (Ordinance S-49221)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to allow additional expenditures under Contracts 149505 with Climatec, LLC and 149506 with Mesa Energy Systems, Inc. dba EMCOR Services Arizona for the purchase of Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration (HVACR) systems, components, equipment or controls or both, maintenance, repair, and installation services for the Aviation Department. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The additional expenditures will not exceed \$1,690,000.

Summary

These Contracts will provide HVACR systems, components, equipment or controls or both, maintenance, repair, and installation services on an

as-needed basis at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport, Phoenix Deer Valley Airport, and Phoenix Goodyear Airport. The Contracts will establish full-service maintenance of all three airports' HVACR systems and related equipment and controls, water treatment equipment and Building Automation Systems (BAS) to ensure the equipment operates at peak efficiency and optimal energy and water efficiency 24 hours-a-day, everyday, all year round.

The purpose of this request is to provide additional funds to pay for unforeseen expenditures for emergency repair or replacement or both of failed equipment, the installation of new equipment related to water conservation and energy management as part of the City's goal for the sustainability program, and increases in price due to inflation and rising costs of materials. Additionally, in the third year of the contracts, two additional Aviation-owned central plants were added to the monthly maintenance expenditures.

Contract Term

The contract terms for both Contracts remain unchanged, ending on March 31, 2023, with one additional option to extend the terms through March 31, 2024.

Financial Impact

Upon approval of \$1,690,000 in additional funds, the revised value of the contracts will not exceed \$9,790,000 for the aggregate contract terms. Funds are available in the Aviation Department's budget.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council previously reviewed this request:

- HVACR Systems, Components, Equipment/Controls, Maintenance, Repair, and Installation Services Contracts 149505, 149506 (Ordinance S-45454) on March 20, 2019.

Location

Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport - 2485 E. Buckeye Rd.

Council District: 8

Phoenix Deer Valley Airport - 702 W. Deer Valley Rd.

Council District: 1

Phoenix Goodyear Airport - 1658 S. Litchfield Rd., Goodyear

Council District: Out of City

This item was adopted.

61 Ground Lease with KOR Medical Arizona, LLC. (Ordinance S-49235)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a Ground Lease with KOR Medical Arizona, LLC (KOR Medical) for 56,628 square feet (1.3 acres) of Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport (PHX) owned vacant property at 120 S. & 130 S. 29th St., Phoenix, Ariz.

Summary

PHX acquired 120 S. 29th St. (APN 121-60-011) in October 2001 and 130 S. 29th St. (APN 121-60-013B) in January 2000. Since acquisition, both properties have been vacant. KOR Medical owns the building at 175 S. 29th St., a property across the street from the PHX owned property. KOR Medical is requesting to enter into a Ground Lease with PHX for the purpose of constructing a paved employee parking lot in support of KOR Medical's business. KOR Medical will have one year to construct a paved parking lot on the premises in strict compliance with the Phoenix City Code. KOR Medical's paved parking lot improvements are subject to the Aviation Department's Tenant Improvement Process.

Contract Term

The lease term will be two years, with five one-year options to renew, to be exercised at the sole discretion of the Director of Aviation Services. The term and rent shall commence upon the Tenant's receipt of a Certificate of Completion for the development of the parking lot.

Financial Impact

Rent for the first year of the lease will be approximately \$59,459.40 per year (\$1.05 per square foot). Rent will be adjusted annually commencing one year after the Rent Commencement date based on the Consumer Price Index for Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, not to exceed three percent during any given year. Total anticipated rent from this lease over the term, if all options are exercised, will be approximately \$416,215.80, not

including CPI.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The Business and Development Subcommittee recommended approval of this item on Nov. 3, 2022 by a vote of 3-0.

The Phoenix Aviation Advisory Board recommended approval of this item on Nov. 17, 2022 by a vote of 9-0.

Location

Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport - 120 S. & 130 S. 29th St.,
Phoenix, Ariz.

Council District: 8

This item was adopted.

**62 Geosynthetic Liner Purchase for State Route 85 Landfill, Cell 2 -
IFB 23-SW-019 Requirements Contract (Ordinance S-49199)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with PBR, Inc. dba SKAPS Industries, to provide various geosynthetic liners for the State Route 85 (SR 85) Landfill, Cell Two. Further request authorization for the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The aggregate value of the agreement will not exceed \$3,000,000.

Summary

The agreement will provide various layers of the geosynthetic liner for the SR 85 Landfill design and construction project for cell two, phases one and two. The various layers of the liner conform to all industry standards and meet federal and state requirements for groundwater monitoring. The geosynthetic liner consists of approximately 2,522,700 square feet and ensures landfill leachate does not contaminate groundwater sources.

Procurement Information

Invitation for Bid (IFB) 23-SW-019 was conducted in accordance with Administrative Regulation 3.10. Four offers were evaluated based on price for the estimated square footage of the project, responsiveness to all specifications, terms and conditions, and responsibility to provide the required goods. The offers submitted by PBR, Inc. dba SKAPS

Industries were deemed fair and reasonable.

See **Attachment A** for the bid summary of offers submitted for lines 1-4.

Contract Term

The agreement will begin after Council approval for an initial three-year term with two one-year options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate value of the agreement, including all option years, will not exceed \$3,000,000.

Funding is available in the Public Works Department's Capital Improvement Program budget.

Location

SR 85 Landfill, 28633 W. Patterson Road, Buckeye
Council District: Out of City

This item was adopted.

63 Fuel Terminal Services - Contract Recommendation (Ordinance S-49220)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with Caljet of America, LLC to provide fuel storage and pipeline space. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$432,000.

Summary

The City of Phoenix Public Works Department is responsible for procuring fuel for the majority of the City. This fuel must be moved through a pipeline, as well as stored and blended locally for fuel trucks to distribute to designated citywide fuel sites. This contract will provide pipeline receipts, guaranteed storage space, as well as loading services at the rack with additive injection capabilities.

Procurement Information

In accordance with Administrative Regulation 3.10, normal competition was waived as the result of a determination memo citing unusual nature. The City of Phoenix requests storage space, blending and loading capabilities that are only provided by a limited number of vendors, and due to the volume of fuel purchased, fuel storage terminal services connected to the pipeline are currently required.

Contract Term

This contract will begin on or about Dec.1, 2022, for a one-year term.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$432,000.

Funding is available in the Public Works Department's budget.

This item was adopted.

65 Answering and Dispatching Services - RFP 63-2307 - Request for Award (Ordinance S-49195)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Contact One Call Center, Inc., to provide answering and dispatch services for the Street Transportation, Public Works, Water Services, and Planning and Development departments. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract will not exceed \$320,000.

Summary

This contract will provide answering and dispatch services for emergency and non-emergency calls 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, as needed. These calls include but are not limited to traffic signal malfunctions at intersections; debris blocking the roadways or sidewalks; burst water pipes; construction noise complaints; and plumbing, electrical, and HVAC issues for Public Works buildings.

Procurement Information

The Street Transportation Department conducted a Request for Proposals (RFP) procurement in accordance with City of Phoenix Code

Chapter 43 and Administrative Regulation 3.10.

Three vendors submitted proposals deemed responsive and responsible. The panel consisted of staff from the Water Services, Public Works, Street Transportation, and Planning and Development departments. Each proposal was evaluated and scored on the following criteria (1,000 total possible points):

Experience and Qualifications (400 points)

Method of Approach (350 points)

References (100 points)

Price (150 points)

After reaching consensus, the evaluation committee recommends award to the following vendor:

Vendor Selected

Contact One Call Center, Inc.: Rank 1

Additional Proposers

Daupler, Inc.: Rank 2

Quik Pik, LLC.: Rank 3

Contract Term

The contract will begin on or about Jan. 1, 2023, for a maximum five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value will not exceed \$320,000. Funding is available in the Street Transportation, Planning and Development, Water Services, and Public Works departments' operating budgets.

This item was adopted.

66 Street Transportation Department Soils and Materials Testing On-Call Services for Calendar Years 2023-24 (Ordinance S-49217)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into separate agreements with the fifteen consultants listed on **Attachment A**

to provide Soils and Materials Testing On-Call services for the Street Transportation Department for projects citywide. Further request to authorize execution of amendments to the agreements as necessary within the Council-approved expenditure authority as provided below, and for the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total fee for services will not exceed \$22.5 million.

Additionally, request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to take all action as may be necessary or appropriate and to execute all design and construction agreements, licenses, permits, and requests for utility services relating to the development, design, and construction of the project. Such utility services include, but are not limited to, electrical; water; sewer; natural gas; telecommunications; cable television; railroads; and other modes of transportation. Further request the City Council to grant an exception pursuant to Phoenix City Code 42-20 to authorize inclusion in the documents pertaining to this transaction of indemnification and assumption of liability provisions that otherwise should be prohibited by Phoenix City Code 42-18. This authorization excludes any transaction involving an interest in real property.

Summary

The On-Call consultants will be responsible for providing On-Call Soils and Materials Testing services that include miscellaneous geotechnical, materials testing and laboratory services including, but not limited to, sampling; laboratory and field testing of soil, concrete and asphalt; asphalt, concrete and aggregate plan inspections; and analysis and preparation of reports. The consultants will have appropriate certifications such as Occupational Safety and Health Administration Trench Safety, Mine Safety Health Administration, American Concrete Institute, Concrete and Cement Reference Laboratory, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, and American Society for Testing and Materials.

Procurement Information

The selections were made using a qualifications-based selection process set forth in section 34-604 of the Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.). In accordance with A.R.S. section 34-604(H), the City may not

publicly release information on proposals received or the scoring results until an agreement is awarded. Twenty firms submitted proposals and are listed in **Attachment A**.

Contract Term

The term of each agreement is up to two years, or up to \$1.5 million, whichever occurs first. Work scope identified and incorporated into the agreement prior to the end of the term may be agreed to by the parties, and work may extend past the termination of the agreement. No additional changes may be executed after the end of the term.

Financial Impact

The agreement value for each of the On-Call consultants will not exceed \$1.5 million, including all subconsultant and reimbursable costs. The total fee for all services will not exceed \$22.5 million.

Funding is available in the Street Transportation Department's Capital Improvement Program and Operating budgets. The Budget and Research Department will review and approve funding availability prior to issuance of any On-Call task order of \$100,000 or more. Payments may be made up to agreement limits for all rendered agreement services, which may extend past the agreement termination.

This item was adopted.

**67 Salt River Project Construction License for Subsurface Utility
Excavation Purposes for Lift Station 48 Redundant Force Main -
WS90501007-1 (Ordinance S-49229)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a Construction License with Salt River Project for Substance Utility Excavation purposes. Further request the City Council to grant an exception pursuant to Phoenix City Code 42-20 to authorize inclusion in the pertaining to this transaction to indemnification and assumption of liability provisions that otherwise should be prohibited by Phoenix City Code 42-18.

Summary

The purpose of this license is to allow the City's on-call contractor to

perform Subsurface Utility Excavation (SUE) to determine the depth of Salt River Project irrigation facilities. It is expected that these facilities are within the scope of the City's design for project WS90501007-1 Lift Station 48 Redundant Force Main on Camelback Road from 99th and 105th avenues. Depth verification allows the City to design accordingly to avoid underground utility conflicts.

Contract Term

The term of the Construction License is one year, beginning when the Notice to Proceed date is issued to the on-call SUE contractor, on or about Dec. 16, 2022.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City of Phoenix.

Location

Camelback Road from 99th and 105th avenues.

Council District: 5

This item was adopted.

**68 Arizona Department of Transportation Off System Bridge Program
Call for Projects through Maricopa Association of Governments in
Federal Fiscal Year 2023 (Ordinance S-49236)**

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to apply for, accept, and if awarded, enter into agreements for fiscal year 2023 transportation funding through the Maricopa Association of Governments Call for Projects for the Arizona Department of Transportation Off System Bridge Program. Further request an exemption from the indemnification prohibition set forth in the Phoenix City Code section 42-18 for a governmental entity pursuant to Phoenix City Code section 42-20. Additionally request to authorize the City Treasurer to accept and the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. Funding for this grant opportunity is available through the Infrastructure Investment and Job Act, Federal Bipartisan Infrastructure Law. If awarded the maximum \$6.7 million, the City's estimated cost share would not exceed \$381,900.

Summary

On Oct. 3, 2022, the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) announced a call for projects for the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) Off System Bridge (OSB) Program. The purpose of the OSB Program is to fund the design and construction for replacement, rehabilitation, preservation, and protection of roadway bridges over waterways, other topographic barriers, other roadways, and railroads and canals for bridges specifically not on the Federal-aid highway system, which means bridges over local roads or minor rural collectors.

There are two separate funding programs available for the OSB Program: the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) and the Bridge Formula Program (BFP). Both opportunities utilize federal funding under the Infrastructure Investment and Job Act, Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, and the funding can be used for replacement, rehabilitation and/or strengthening a bridge in either poor or fair condition having a load capacity rating that requires the bridge to be posted for weight restrictions based on the National Bridge Inspection Standards. Funding can also be used for preservation, prevention maintenance, or protection measures. Under the STBG program, the local participation is 5.7 percent of the total project cost. Under the BFP program, no local match is required. The maximum award under the OSB Program is up to \$6.7 million of federal funds that can be used for the whole project, including both design and construction phases. All other funding must come from another source such as local funding. Staff is reviewing program details to determine which city bridge program would be most competitive.

Financial Impact

The maximum federal participation and the local match requirements vary with each program. For the STBG program, the City contribution is estimated at 5.7 percent of the total project cost. The total opportunity is up to \$6.7 million. If the City were to be awarded the full amount, the City share would be up to \$381,900. Funds are available in the Street Transportation Department's Capital Improvement Program. If BFP funds are pursued, there would be no local match required.

This item was adopted.

69 End Shores Contract - IFB 2223-WDD-512 - Request for Award (Ordinance S-49205)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an agreement with United Rentals (North America), Inc. to provide End Shores for the Water Services Department. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The agreement will not exceed \$3,000,000.

Summary

This agreement will provide hydraulic shoring systems which consist of end shores, corrugated sheeting, struts, and sheeting guides which will be used while performing excavations in trenches for the Water Services Department management of water for City of Phoenix residents.

Procurement Information

An Invitation for Bid procurement process was used in accordance with City of Phoenix Administrative Regulation 3.10.

Two vendors submitted bids. One vendor was deemed responsive and responsible, listed below.

Selected Bidder

United Rentals (North America), Inc.: \$2,446,148

Agreement Term

The agreement will begin on or about Dec. 1, 2022 for a five-year aggregate term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate value for United Rentals (North America), Inc. will not exceed \$3,000,000.

Funding is available in the Water Services Department operating budget.

This item was adopted.

70 Final Plat - Desert Park 5 - PLAT 220009 - Northwest Corner of

Desert Park Lane and 14th Street

Plat: 220009

Project: 20-4406

Name of Plat: Desert Park 5

Owner: Chadwic Gifford

Engineer: Thomas L. Rope, RLS

Request: A Five Lot Residential Plat

Reviewed by Staff: Nov. 9, 2022

Final Plat requires Formal Action Only

Summary

Staff requests that the above plat be approved by the City Council and certified by the City Clerk. Recording of the plat dedicates the streets and easements as shown to the public.

Location

Generally located at the northwest corner of Desert Park Lane and 14th Street

Council District: 6

This item was approved.

71 Final Plat - 7th St. Industrial-Phase 2 - PLAT 220021 - Northeast Corner of 10th Street and Hammond Lane

Plat: 220021

Project: 17-1149

Name of Plat: 7th St. Industrial-Phase 2

Owner: Prologis, LP

Engineer: James A. Brucci, RLS

Request: One-Lot Commercial Plat

Reviewed by Staff: Oct. 30, 2022

Final Plat requires Formal Action Only

Summary

Staff requests that the above plat be approved by the City Council and certified by the City Clerk. Recording of the plat dedicates the streets and easements as shown to the public.

Location

Generally located at the Northeast corner of 10th Street and Hammond Lane
Council District: 8

This item was approved.

72 Final Plat - Elevate on the Preserve Amended - PLAT 220098 - Southeast Corner of Central Avenue and Dobbins Road

Plat: 220098
Project: 19-1716
Name of Plat: Elevate on the Preserve
Owner: Alydar Ventures, LLC
Engineer: David S. Klein, RLS
Request: A 38-Lot Residential Plat
Reviewed by Staff: Nov. 15, 2022
Final Plat requires Formal Action Only.

Summary

Staff requests that the above plat be approved by the City Council and certified by the City Clerk. Recording of the plat dedicates the streets and easements as shown to the public.

Location

Generally located at the southeast corner of Central Avenue and Dobbins Road
Council District: 8

This item was approved.

75 Amend City Code - Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application Z-57-22-4 - Southeast Corner of 7th Street and Whitton Avenue (Ordinance G-7060)

Request to amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, Section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-57-22-4 and rezone the site from C-2 (Intermediate Commercial) and R1-6 (Approved P-1) (Single-Family Residence District, Approved Passenger Automobile Parking, Limited) to C-2 (Intermediate Commercial) and R-3 (Multifamily Residence District) to allow multifamily

residential.

Summary

Current Zoning: C-2 (0.76 acres) and R1-6 (Approved P-1) (0.15 acres)

Proposed Zoning: C-2 (0.76 acres), R-3 (0.15 acres)

Acreage: 0.91 acres

Proposal: Multifamily residential

Owner: Winnie Tang

Applicant: EAPC Architects Engineers

Representative: Michelle Bach

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Action: The Encanto Village Planning Committee heard this case on Oct. 10, 2022, and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation with an additional stipulation, by a vote of 14-0.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Nov. 3, 2022, and recommended approval, per the Encanto Village Planning Committee recommendation, by a vote of 9-0.

Location

Southeast corner of 7th Street and Whitton Avenue

Council District: 4

Parcel Address: 3543 N. 7th St.

This item was adopted.

**76 Amend City Code - Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application
Z-41-22-8 (2333 Thomas PUD) - Approximately 270 Feet West of the
Southwest Corner of 24th Street and Thomas Road (Ordinance
G-7057)**

Request to amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, Section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-41-22-8 and rezone the site from C-2 (Intermediate Commercial District) to PUD (Planned Unit Development) to allow multifamily residential and commercial uses.

Summary

Current Zoning: C-2

Proposed Zoning: PUD

Acreage: 3.79 acres

Proposal: Multifamily residential and commercial uses

Owner: Thomas 24 JL, LLC

Applicant: Jason Morris, Withey Morris, LLC

Representative: Jason Morris, Withey Morris, LLC

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Info: The Camelback East Village Planning Committee heard this case on Aug. 2, 2022 for information only.

VPC Action: The Camelback East Village Planning Committee heard this case on Oct. 11, 2022 and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation, by a vote of 15-0.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Nov. 3, 2022 and recommended approval, per the Camelback East Village Planning Committee recommendation, by a vote of 9-0.

Location

Approximately 270 feet west of the southwest corner of 24th Street and Thomas Road

Council District: 8

Parcel Address: 2323, 2333, and 2337 E. Thomas Rd.

This item was adopted.

**77 Amend City Code - Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application
Z-58-22-8 - Southeast Corner of 44th Street and Mckinley Street
(Ordinance G-7061)**

Request to amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, Section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-58-22-8 and rezone the site from C-2 M-R (Intermediate Commercial, Mid-Rise District), P-2 (Parking), and R-3 (Approved C-2 HR) (Multifamily Residence District, Approved Intermediate Commercial, High-Rise and High Density District) to WU Code T5:6 GW (Walkable Urban Code, Transect 5:6 District, Transit Gateway Character Area) to allow multifamily residential.

Summary

Current Zoning: C-2 M-R (1.30 acres), P-2 (1.82 acres), and R-3 (Approved C-2 HR) (3.02 acres)

Proposed Zoning: WU Code T5:6 GW

Acreage: 6.14 acres

Proposed Use: Multifamily residential

Owner: LDAC Holdings, LLLP

Applicant: Investment Development Management

Representative: Jason Morris, Withey Morris, PLC

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Action: The Camelback East Village Planning Committee heard this case on Oct. 11, 2022, and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation, by a vote of 15-0.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Nov. 3, 2022, and recommended approval, per the Camelback East Village Planning Committee recommendation, by a vote of 8-1.

Location

Southeast corner of 44th Street and McKinley Street

Council District: 8

Parcel Address: 4425, 4441, 4447, 4455, 4501, 4511, and 4525 E. McKinley St.

This item was adopted.

78 (CONTINUED FROM OCT. 12, 2022) - Public Hearing - Appeal of Hearing Officer Decision - Abandonment of Right-of-Way - ABND 220011 - 4640 East Camelback Heights Way

Abandonment: ABND 220011

Project: 99-3459

Abandonment Applicant: Lauren Proper Potter

Date of Abandonment Hearing Officer's Decision:

Appellant: Benjamin Graff, Quarles & Brady, LLP on Behalf of Kim Komando & Barry Young

Summary

Rationale: The subject of the abandonment is a portion of land at North Camelback Canyon Drive and East Camelback Heights Way, located directly across from the Appellant's home (the "Abandonment Area"). The abandonment was approved on April 14, 2022, despite opposition from the Appellant and a request to continue the case to allow for further discussions between the neighbors. The Applicant would not agree to a continuance and the Hearing Officer forwarded a recommendation of approval to the City Council. Since April 14, 2022, the entire neighborhood along Camelback Heights Way (six parcel owners in total), have expressed opposition. One hundred percent of the property owners located along Camelback Heights Way, with the exception of the Applicant, and an additional property owner on Camelback Canyon Drive (directly north of the Applicant's home) have joined in this appeal and respectfully request the Phoenix City Council overturn the Hearing Officer's approval and deny the requested abandonment.

Location

4640 East Camelback Heights Way
Council District: 6

This item was continued to the Feb. 15, 2023 City Council Formal Meeting.

**79 (CONTINUED FROM MARCH 2, APRIL 6, JUNE 1 AND SEPT. 7, 2022)
- Public Hearing and Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application
Z-20-21-4 - Approximately 1,300 Feet North of the Northeast Corner
of Central Avenue and Indian School Road (Ordinance G-6964)**

Request to hold a public hearing and amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, Section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-20-21-4 and rezone the site from UR TOD-1 (Urban Residential, Interim Transit-Oriented Zoning Overlay District One) to PUD (Planned Unit Development) to allow multifamily and single-family attached residential.

Summary

Current Zoning: UR TOD-1
Proposed Zoning: PUD

Acreage: 4.34 acres

Proposed Use: Multifamily and single-family attached residential

Owner: Midtown Central Hotel Corporation

Applicant: Cresleigh Homes Arizona, LLC

Representative: Alan Beaudoin, Norris Design

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Info: The Encanto Village Planning Committee heard this case on June 7, 2021, for information only.

VPC Action: The Encanto Village Planning Committee heard this case on Sept. 13, 2021, and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation, by a vote 11-3.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case and continued the item on Oct. 7, Nov. 4, Dec. 2, 2021; and Jan. 6, 2022. The Planning Commission heard this case on Feb. 3, 2022, and recommended approval, per the Addendum A Staff Report, with an additional and modified stipulation by a vote of 6-2.

CC Action: The City Council continued the request on March 2, 2022 to April 6, 2022 to allow the item to be posted for a Public Hearing.

Location

Approximately 1,300 feet north of the northeast corner of Central Avenue and Indian School Road

Council District: 4

Parcel Address: 4325 N. Central Ave.

This item was withdrawn.

20 Union Comments on Proposed Changes to Memoranda of Understanding

Under the terms of the Meet and Confer Ordinance, employee organizations are afforded an opportunity to comment after having submitted proposed changes to existing Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) by Dec. 1, 2022.

This item on the agenda allows the unions to inform the City Council as to

their priorities, concerns, and general goals for the Meet and Confer process.

The Meet and Confer Ordinance also requires that the public be given an opportunity to make comments on the union proposals at the Dec. 14, 2022, City Council meeting.

Discussion

Mayor Gallego asked if there were any union representatives in the audience that would like to make a comment.

Mayor Gallego noted that on December 14th, 2022 the public would have the opportunity to comment on the proposals from labor organizations.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman O'Brien, that this item be approved as revised. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

21 Proposed 35th Avenue and Carter Road Annexation - Public Hearing

A public hearing, as required by Arizona Revised Statutes section 9-471, on the proposed 35th Avenue and Carter Road Annexation. This public hearing allows the City Council to gather community input regarding this annexation proposal. The City Council will not act on the proposed annexation at this public hearing. Formal adoption of this proposed annexation will be considered at a later date.

Summary

This annexation was requested by Reese Anderson and Jon Gillespie with Pew & Lake, PLC for the purpose of receiving City of Phoenix services. The proposed annexation conforms to current City policies and complies with Arizona Revised Statutes section 9-471 regarding

annexation. Additionally, the annexation is recommended for adoption per the attached Task Force Analysis Report (**Attachment A**).

Public Outreach

Notification of the public hearing was published in the *Arizona Business Gazette* newspaper, and was posted in at least three conspicuous places in the area proposed to be annexed. Also, notice via first-class mail was sent to each property owner within the proposed annexation area.

Location

The proposed annexation area includes parcel 105-89-013L, located at 35th Avenue and Carter Road (**Attachment B**). The annexation area is approximately 4.93 acres (0.0077 sq. mi.) and the population estimate is zero individuals.

Council District: 7

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing open.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing closed.

The hearing was held.

31 Printing of Optical Scan Ballots and Ballot Packet Assembly Contract - EXC 20-060 - Request for Award (Ordinance S-49238)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into a contract with Runbeck Election Services Inc. to provide printing of optical scan ballots and ballot packet assembly for the City Clerk Department. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item. The total value of the contract[s] will not exceed \$10,000,000.

Summary

This contract will provide printing of optical scan ballots and ballot packet assembly for use in City of Phoenix elections. The ballots are issued to voters through mail ballot packets, in-person early voting and at voting centers. The optical scan ballots must be compatible with the State-certified ballot tabulation systems from Dominion Voting Systems

(DVS) that is currently being used for City of Phoenix elections. The vendor will also prepare early ballot packets, which contain an optical scan ballot, an affidavit envelope, a frequently asked questions (FAQ) flyer, and a voting instructions sheet, and will send to voters voting by mail.

Procurement Information

In accordance with AR 3.10, standard competition was waived as a result of an approved Determination Memo based on the following reason: Special Circumstances Without Competition. City conducted election ballots must be created to meet very unique specifications and requirements to be able to work with the existing tabulation equipment. Additionally, there are specific legal and technical packet assembly and mailing requirements. Contracting with Runbeck Election Services Inc. will ensure consistency and compatibility with current systems in place.

Contract Term

The contract will begin on or about Dec. 15, 2022, for a five-year term with no options to extend.

Financial Impact

The aggregate contract value for will not exceed \$10,000,000 for the five-year aggregate term.

Funding is available in the City Clerk Department's Operating budget.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman Ansari, that this item be adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 1 - Councilman Waring

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

37 Request to Amend Contract with Community Bridges, Inc for COVID-19 Related Homeless Services - Street Outreach

(Ordinance S-49213)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to amend Contract 154940 with Community Bridges, Inc. (CBI) for COVID-19 Related Homeless Services - Street Outreach to add \$595,670 for a new contract total of \$2,881,649. The additional funding is applicable for the period Oct. 1, 2022, to June 30, 2023. Funding is available from the Community Development Block Grant-CV. There is no impact to the General Fund.

Summary

CBI provides essential services to address issues related to homelessness at and around the area of the Human Services Campus. CBI provides case management, navigation services and transportation for participants in the new project as part of the continuing efforts to address unsheltered homelessness in the City of Phoenix. This funding provides multiple outreach teams, each paired with Emergency Medical Technicians (EMT) to immediately respond to health concerns of unsheltered individuals in the area around the Human Services Campus. Funding is used to prevent, prepare for, and mitigate the effects of the Coronavirus pandemic among individuals and/or families experiencing homelessness who are at greater risk of exposure and susceptibility to alleviate the impacts of COVID-19 within the community.

Contract Term

The contract term will remain unchanged, ending on Sept. 30, 2023.

Financial Impact

The initial authorization for Contract 154940 was for an expenditure not-to-exceed \$785,979. An additional \$1.5 million was authorized per Ordinance S-48547. This amendment will increase the authorization for the contract by an additional \$595,670, for a new total not-to-exceed aggregate contract value of \$2,881,649.

There is no impact to the General Funds. The additional funding is available from the Community Development Block Grant-CV.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The City Council approved Contracts 154922, 154923, 154921, 155179, 154939, 154940, 154968, 154969, 154962, and 154896 (Ordinance S-47793) on July 1, 2021.

The City Council approved additional funding for CBI 154940 (Ordinance S-48547) on April 20, 2022.

The City Council approved contract extension through Sept. 30, 2023, for Contracts 154922, 154923, 154921, 155179, 154939, 154940, 154968, 154969, 154962, and 154896 (Ordinance S-48975) on Sept. 7, 2022.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman Ansari, that this item be adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 1 - Councilman DiCiccio

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

39 Request to Enter into Agreements to Provide Refugee and Immigrant Services (Ordinance S-49227)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into agreements with the International Rescue Committee, Inc. (IRC), Arizona Immigration and Refugee Services, Inc. (AIRS), Catholic Charities Community Services, Inc. (Catholic Charities) and Lutheran Social Services of the Southwest (Lutheran Social Services) to provide refugee and immigrant services for an aggregate amount not to exceed \$5.3 million. Further request to authorize the City Controller to disburse all funds related to this item for the life of the contracts. Funding is available through the City's allocation of the American Rescue Act Plan (ARPA) funding received from the federal government and is under the City's Financial Assistance for Phoenix Refugee and Asylee Community.

Summary

Funding provided to IRC, AIRS, Catholic Charities and Lutheran Social Services will assist to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic by

maintaining critical humanitarian aid. Funding will provide support in areas where there are critical gaps in services to comprehensively address the needs of refugees and immigrants in the areas of housing, medical case management, employment, access to benefits, and legal aid. Many refugee and immigrant populations have been disproportionately impacted by the recent housing crisis with rising rent costs and lack of affordable housing. Those with medical, dental, or behavioral health needs need support in navigating complex systems to access care and financial assistance for non-Medicaid eligible services. Other services will include increasing access to English as Second Language (ESL) classes, citizenship education, and food assistance programs. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the need for these services has increased substantially.

Contract Term

The term for each contract will be for two years beginning on or about Dec. 1, 2022, and ending on Dec. 31, 2024.

Financial Impact

Aggregate expenditures will not exceed \$5.3 million over the life of the agreements. Funding breakdown for each agreement is as follows:

IRC: \$2.3 million;

AIRS: \$1 million;

Catholic Charities: \$1 million; and

Lutheran Social Services: \$ 1 million.

There is no impact to the General Fund. Funding is available through the City's allocation of ARPA funding received from the federal government and is under the City's Financial Assistance for Phoenix Refugee and Asylee Community.

Discussion

Councilwoman Ansari commented on her support for the item. She explained the partnership with the International Rescue Committee and similar organizations who would be beneficiaries of the agreement. She detailed that the agreement was for up to 5.3 million dollars of emergency funding to prevent homelessness and would provide critical

services like legal aide and medical case management. She stated that there was a large population of refugees in Phoenix and many who had arrived. She indicated the importance for Phoenix to be welcoming and inclusive for everyone.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman Ansari, that this item be adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

47 Arizona Office of Economic Opportunity AZQUEST Grant (Ordinance S-49218)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) with the Arizona Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) and accept up to \$6 million to administer the Arizona Quality Jobs, Equity, Strategy, and Training (AZQUEST) Disaster Recovery National Dislocated Worker Grant in Phoenix. Further request authorization to enter into a separate IGA with the Maricopa County Community College District (Maricopa Community Colleges) to implement the AZQUEST training and authorization for the City Treasurer to accept and the City Controller to disburse funds related to this item.

Summary

The U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) Employment and Training Administration issued \$199 million in Quality Jobs, Equity, Strategy, and Training (QUEST) Disaster Recovery National Dislocated Worker Grants to provide employment and training services to individuals and industries impacted by COVID-19. For these grants, OEO designed the AZQUEST program in partnership with staff from the City of Phoenix Business and Workforce Development (PBWD) Board in the Community and Economic Development Department and other local workforce area boards and was subsequently awarded a two-year \$15 million QUEST grant. With its allocation of AZQUEST funding, the City of Phoenix Local

Workforce Area will provide more than 900 eligible participants with tuition assistance at Maricopa Community Colleges, stipends to cover non-training expenses, and support services, and will fund administrative costs for managing the program. To assist with the implementation of this program, this request includes authorization to fund one full-time temporary Accountant II and the equivalent of one full-time Case Worker II, that may be filled as temporary full-time City staff positions or through the City's contracted employment services providers. AZQUEST grant dollars will also be used to pay 10 percent of the costs associated with the full-time Workforce Development Supervisor who will oversee this program.

The goal of the AZQUEST program is to enable individuals who have been adversely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, and the social and economic inequities that the pandemic exacerbated, to enter, return to, or advance in high-quality jobs in growth industries/infrastructure-related sectors, including manufacturing, construction, communications, transportation, distribution, and logistics. The program will serve individuals whose employment has been negatively impacted by the pandemic, including individuals from historically marginalized and under-served populations who have been disproportionately impacted. These include women, immigrants, lower-wage earners, people of color, people with disabilities, individuals who were formerly incarcerated, and others from historically marginalized communities that are more likely to face unsafe working conditions, be laid off, or forced to leave the workforce to protect the health and safety of themselves or care for family members.

This project supports and leverages Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) grant funding, which is supported by the USDOL. The long-term sustainability plan for AZQUEST is to integrate this service delivery model with the City's existing dislocated worker programs, for which the PBWD Board contracts with the Business and Workforce Development Division of the Human Services Department (HSD), to continue building an industry pipeline to meet businesses' post COVID-19 employment and hiring needs.

Procurement Information

IGAs are excluded from the Procurement Code per Administrative Regulation 3.10 Section I (2) (B) (2).

Contract Term

If approved, the contract term will be for two years: September 2022-24.

Financial Impact

No impact to the General Fund. OEO and WIOA grant funding will be used for this program.

Public Outreach

The PBWD Board approved the receipt of these funds at its Nov. 10 meeting.

Discussion

Mayor Gallego noted that Vice Mayor Pastor would not participate on the item.

A motion was made by Councilwoman Stark, seconded by Councilwoman O'Brien, that this item be adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Conflict: 1 - Vice Mayor Pastor

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

57 Add Sworn Positions in Fire Department for Staffing Relief (Ordinance S-49237)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to allow the Phoenix Fire Department to add positions to provide sworn staffing relief. The estimated annual cost of these additions is \$7,800,000.

Summary

As discussed at the October 12 and November 9 Public Safety and Justice Subcommittee meetings, the Phoenix Fire Department is working

to address sworn staffing challenges, high call volume, and longer than desired response times. Due to these challenges, a comprehensive plan was developed to address current and ongoing needs.

As part of this comprehensive plan, the City Council previously approved the addition of 19 sworn positions for the current FY 2022-23 budget and the award acceptance of the 2021 SAFER Grant providing an additional 32 sworn positions.

To continue addressing the Fire Department's current and ongoing needs, staff requests City Council authorization of funding to add a total of 58 sworn positions to the Fire Department's FY 2023-24 budget. This recommended funding request includes adding 31 new sworn positions and ongoing funding for 27 existing positions that were previously grant funded.

This recommendation will add 58 positions by:

Providing sworn staffing of Fire Station 62 with 24 new General Fund positions;

Funding seven new positions for Aviation to support 24-hour operation of Rescue 19; and

Authorizing General Fund funding to continue 27 positions currently being supported by the 2019 SAFER Grant.

If approved, the City Council will have authorized funding for an additional 109 sworn positions in total between FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24.

Financial Impact

The additional annual cost of \$7,800,000 will be added to the Fire Department's General Fund in development of the FY 2023-24 budget. This will be partially offset by \$900,000 from the Aviation Fund.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The Public Safety and Justice Subcommittee recommended approval for this item on Nov. 9, 2022, by a vote of 4-0.

Discussion

Councilwoman O'Brien discussed the importance of the item. She

expressed the difficulty the city had experienced, with the massive influx in population over the past ten years, in addressing the demand for new fire stations. She added that as chair of the Public Safety and Justice committee ensuring the staffing model adequately reflected the growth and demand was a critical conversation. She recalled conversations with the City Manager on updates needed to the staffing equation models. She also included that she met with the leadership of the Fire Department to start discussion on advocating for availability of funds. She noted that the GO Bond package included 83 million dollars to build new fire stations. She expressed support for the item and stated that as the city grows the city's public safety services should adequately grow as well.

Councilwoman Ansari expressed her support for the item. She thanked Councilwoman O'Brien for her leadership in the project as well as the Fire Department for their data. She also mentioned the data gathered from the Phoenix Fire Crisis Campaign. She explained that the GO Bond would include a 7.8 million dollar investment for 58 new positions, 24 of which would be new positions for the Fire Station No. 62 in District 7 that services the Estrella Region. She also mentioned the progress made with the tele-health program to help divert medical related calls.

Mayor Gallego thanked Councilwoman Ansari as well as City leadership. She noted that it was unusual to add such a high amount of positions at that point in the budget year but there was a need that was recognized and addressed. She also mentioned the data and work of United Phoenix Fire Fighters Association on the item. Mayor Gallego expressed her support for the item.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman O'Brien, that this item be adopted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

64 Amend City Code - Section 36-158, Schedule I, Local Speed Limits at 27 Locations (Ordinance G-7062)

Request to amend Phoenix City Code, section 36-158, Schedule I, Local Speed Limits at 27 locations due to record keeping and road and traffic conditions.

Summary

Speed limits are established under Arizona Revised Statutes, section 28-703, which requires an "engineering study and traffic investigation." The Phoenix City Code and Charter require that all local speed limits on City streets be approved by City Council in the form of an amendment to Phoenix City Code in **Attachment A**.

The Street Transportation Department (Streets) conducted a comprehensive review of the speed limit ordinance and is recommending record keeping and local speed limit changes at 27 locations summarized in **Attachment B**. Twenty-three changes are record keeping, where speed limits posted on City streets do not match the speed limits included in the current ordinance or where street segments included in the current ordinance are not maintained by the City. The four other changes are related to road and traffic conditions. As with all recommended speed limit changes, they are based on traffic investigations conducted with the engineering judgment of Streets staff.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

The Transportation, Infrastructure and Planning Subcommittee recommended approval of this item on Nov.16, 2022.

Discussion

Councilwoman Stark spoke in support of the item and thanked the staff at the Streets Department. She referenced the meeting with residents North of Thunderbird Road after two accidents that had occurred. She detailed that the portion of ThunderBird Road was between 7th Street and Cave Creek Road. She added that the amendment in the item included a reduction in the speed limit along Thunderbird.

A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman O'Brien, that this item be adopted. The motion carried

by the following vote:

Yes: 7 - Councilmember Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 1 - Councilman Waring

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

A motion was made by Councilwoman O'Brien, seconded by Vice Mayor Pastor, that items 73-74 be denied. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

73 Amend City Code - Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application Z-47-22-1 - Southwest Corner of 35th Avenue and Paradise Lane (Ordinance G-7058)

Request to amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, Section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-47-22-1 and rezone the site from C-1 (Neighborhood Retail) to C-2 (Intermediate Commercial) to allow commercial use. This is a companion case to Z-SP-5-22-1, and must be heard first, followed by Z-SP-5-22-1.

Summary

Current Zoning: C-1

Proposed Zoning: C-2

Acreage: 1.56 acres

Proposal: Commercial use

Owner: Sunrise 1, LLC

Applicant: David E. Richert

Representative: David E. Richert

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Action: The Deer Valley Village Planning Committee heard this case on Oct. 13, 2022, and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation, by a vote of 7-2.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Nov. 3, 2022, and recommended approval, per the staff memo dated Nov. 3, 2022, with a modification and a deletion of a stipulation, by a vote of 7-2.

Location

Southwest corner of 35th Ave. and Paradise Ln.

Council District: 1

Parcel Address: 16044 and 16100 N. 35th Ave.

Discussion

Applicant, Dave Richert spoke in favor of the item. He stated that there had been several attempts to reach out to the city for recommendations on an alternative for better land use which had not received a response. He stated that Councilwoman O'Brien's recommendation to allocate the space for multi-family housing was not receptive of the existing land uses. He explained that there had been a commercial property on the land for ten years and the land had been zoned for commercial use for twenty years. He also noted the lack of development on the land since the previous daycare that had been developed. He explained that the request was for a special permit to allow a single level self storage facility that had met opposition from the Council and its committees but had not met opposition from the neighbors in the area.

Councilwoman O'Brien explained her request for denial. She stated that she grew up in the neighborhood, and would like to see housing in the area amidst the housing crisis.

This item was denied.

74 Amend City Code - Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application Z-SP-5-22-1 - Southwest Corner of 35th Avenue and Paradise Lane (Ordinance G-7059)

Request to amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, Section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-SP-5-22-1 and rezone the site from C-1 (Pending C-2) (Neighborhood Retail, Pending Intermediate Commercial) to C-2 SP (Intermediate

Commercial, Special Permit) to allow a self-service storage facility. This is a companion case to Z-47-22-1, and must be heard immediately following.

Summary

Current Zoning: C-1 (Pending C-2)

Proposed Zoning: C-2 SP

Acreage: 1.56 acres

Proposal: Self-service storage facility

Owner: Sunrise 1, LLC

Applicant: David E. Richert

Representative: David E. Richert

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Action: The Deer Valley Village Planning Committee heard this case on Oct. 13, 2022, and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation, by a vote of 7-2.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Nov. 3, 2022, and recommended approval, per the staff memo dated Nov. 3, 2022, with a modification and a deletion of a stipulation, by a vote of 7-2.

Location

Southwest corner of 35th Avenue and Paradise Lane

Council District: 1

Parcel Address: 16044 and 16100 N. 35th Ave.

This item was denied.

80 (CONTINUED FROM NOV. 2, 2022) - Public Hearing and Ordinance Adoption - Planning Hearing Officer Application PHO-1-22-Z-26-15-4 - Northwest Corner of Central Avenue and Pierson Street (Ordinance G-7053)

Request to hold a public hearing and authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to consider the Planning Commission recommendation by the City Council on matters heard by the Planning Hearing Officer on Aug. 17, 2022, and the Planning Commission on Oct. 6, 2022.

Summary

Application: PHO-1-22--Z-26-15-4

Existing Zoning: PUD

Acreage: 2.95

Owner: Kim Sperry, Omninet Central, LP

Applicant and Representative: Ed Bull, Burch & Cracchiolo, P.A.

Proposal:

1. Modification of Stipulation 5 regarding conditional approval upon development commencing within seven years.
2. Technical correction for Stipulation 2.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

VPC Action: The Alhambra Village Planning Committee was scheduled to hear this request on July 26, 2022, but did not have a quorum.

PHO Action: The Planning Hearing Officer heard this case on Aug. 17, 2022, and recommended approval with additional stipulations.

Staff Recommendation: Approval, per the Planning Hearing Officer recommendation.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Oct. 6, 2022, and recommended approval, per the Planning Hearing Officer recommendation, with an additional stipulation. See **Attachment C (PC Summary)** for the list of Planning Commission recommended stipulations.

The Planning Commission recommendation was appealed by a community member on Oct. 11, 2022.

Location

Northwest Corner of Central Avenue and Pierson Street

Council District: 4

Parcel Address: 4800, 4806, and 4820 N. Central Ave.; 11, 15, 21, and 25 W. Mariposa St.; and 6, 8, and 10 W. Pierson St.

Discussion

Vice Mayor Pastor explained her suggested stipulation. She recalled that the item was one of her first zoning cases. She added that she worked towards compatibility with the area with a focus on the ground level area.

She stated that she approved the request for an extension with addition to the ground floor stipulation.

The hearing was held. A motion was made by Vice Mayor Pastor, seconded by Councilwoman Stark, that this item be approved per the Planning Commission recommendation with addition of Stipulation 9 to read as follows, "The ground floor area of the development shall include a minimum 14,300 square feet of non-residential uses. Non-residential uses shall not include lobby, exercise, reception areas, or other similar uses intended for exclusive use by residents. All non-residential uses shall front perimeter rights-of-way.", with adoption of the related ordinance. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

81 Public Hearing/Formal Action - PCD Major Amendment - Rezoning Application Z-91-C-99-2 - Approximately 815 Feet West of the Northwest Corner of North Valley Parkway and Dove Valley Road

Request to approve Rezoning Application Z-91-C-99-2 and rezone the site from PCD NBCOD (Planned Community District, North Black Canyon Overlay District), Approved C-2 HGT/WVR PCD NBCOD (Approved Intermediate Commercial, Height Waiver, Planned Community District, North Black Canyon Overlay District) to C-2 HGT/WVR DNS/WVR PCD NBCOD (Intermediate Commercial, Height Waiver, Density Waiver, Planned Community District, North Black Canyon Overlay District) for a major amendment to the Canyon Crossroads PCD to allow multifamily residential with a height and density waiver.

Summary

Current Zoning: PCD NBCOD (Approved C-2 HGT/WVR PCD NBCOD)

Proposed Zoning: C-2 HGT/WVR DNS/WVR PCD NBCOD

Acreage: 9.30 acres

Proposed Use: Major PCD amendment to the Canyon Crossroads PCD

to allow multifamily residential uses

Owner: David Beckham, Canyon Crossroads, LLC

Applicant: Bob Bussone, Oakdale Realty, LLC

Representative: Larry Lazarus, Lazarus & Silvyn, P.C.

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Action: The North Gateway Village Planning Committee heard this case on Oct. 13, 2022 and recommended approval, per the staff recommendation with modifications, by a vote of 6-0.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Nov. 3, 2022 and recommended approval, per the North Gateway Village Planning Committee recommendation with a modification, by a vote of 8-1.

The Planning Commission recommendation was appealed by the representative on Nov. 10, 2022 to allow the case to be heard as a public hearing item.

Location

Approximately 815 feet west of the northwest corner of North Valley Parkway and Dove Valley Road

Council District: 2

Parcel Address: 2850 W. Dove Valley Road.

Discussion

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing open.

Larry Lazarus wished to speak only if necessary.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing closed.

Councilman Waring expressed his appreciation for the applicant as well as the staff who had worked on the item.

The hearing was held. A motion was made by Councilman Waring, seconded by Councilwoman O'Brien, that this item be approved per

the Dec. 6, 2022 memo from the Planning and Development Assistant Director. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

82 Public Hearing and Ordinance Adoption - Rezoning Application Z-16-22-1 - Approximately 300 Feet South of the Southwest Corner of 31st Avenue and Dynamite Boulevard (Ordinance G-7063)

Request to hold a public hearing and amend the Phoenix Zoning Ordinance, section 601, the Zoning Map of the City of Phoenix, by adopting Rezoning Application Z-16-22-1 and rezone the site from S-1 (Ranch or Farm Residence) to R1-10 (Single-Family Residence District) to allow single-family residential.

Summary

Current Zoning: S-1

Proposed Zoning: R1-10

Acreage: 5.04 acres

Proposal: Single-family residential

Owner: Randy and Betty Schmille

Applicant: Ed Bull, Burch & Cracchiolo P.A.

Representative: Ed Bull, Burch & Cracchiolo P.A.

Staff Recommendation: Approval, subject to stipulations.

VPC Action: The Deer Valley Village Planning Committee heard this case on Aug. 11, 2022, and recommended denial, by a vote of 7-4.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Oct. 6, 2022, and recommended approval, per the Addendum A Staff Report with a modification, by a vote of 8-1.

Location

Approximately 300 feet south of the southwest corner of 31st Avenue

and Dynamite Boulevard

Council District: 1

Parcel Address: 28010 and 28020 N. 31st Ave.

Discussion

Mayor Gallego announced Planning and Development Director Joshua Bednarek would provide a brief staff report.

Joshua Bednarek stated that the item was for zoning case Z-16-22-1 which was a request to rezone a 5.04 acre site located approximately 300 feet south of the Southwest corner of 31st Avenue and Dynamite Boulevard from S-1 to R1-10 to allow for single-family residential in Council District 1. He displayed the area of the parcel as well as the surrounding zoning on the site. He stated that there was a S-1 classification in the immediate area, R1-6 to the east and R1-8 and R1-6 to the north. He also displayed the site plan and noted there was a significant reduction to the plan and also that it was tilted incorrectly. He stated that there were more homes proposed in the original version of the plan, adding that in response to concerns the developer reduced the size of the development. Mr. Benarek explained that there were stipulations in place that limited the height of the homes. He also displayed and recalled the denial from the Deer Valley Village Planning Committee in the August 11, 2022 meeting for the original plan as well as the approval per the addendum from the Planning Commission during their October 6, 2022 meeting. He stated that staff recommended approval per the Planning Commission recommendation, and adoption of the related ordinance.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing open.

Julie Greene spoke in opposition to the item. She stated that neighbors surrounding the project that are in the S-1 zoning classifications own an acre of land with a single house. She expressed her disapproval for the plan that she detailed would include nineteen houses on two new streets and six acres of land. She thanked the Deer Valley Planning Committee which agreed that the development was uncommon given the classification of the surrounding homes. She asked that the Council take into account that surrounding homes that are under the S-1 classification are limited to a single story home whereas the new development which is

at the center of several S-1 properties allowed for two-story homes. She explained her concern for the difference in requirements for this project that had not been present in similar projects. She also expressed her concern for the potential conflict with existing residents of the area who would potentially have blocked property views. Ms. Greene also explained the various infrastructure problems in the area and highlighted the importance of treating the surrounding land equally.

Jerrie Hughes stated that she lived directly across the street from the planned development and is directly affected by in the egress and ingress of the development. She explained she had been working with both the builders and the neighbors. She noted that she did not own a one acre home and had been working towards developing a solution for everyone. She asked the Council to consider making the development a gated community to help address the traffic on 31st street.

Ed Bull spoke in support of the item on behalf of Randy and Betty Schmile, property owners and single-family home builders. He stated that they were a small business that wanted to build 14 single-family homes on the property. He mentioned a presentation that was submitted and asked if it could be presented for the discussion. He noted that the project was in compliance with the City's General Plan which would allow double the density being requested. He added that there is R1-6, R1-8 and R1-10 to the East, North, and the Northwest of the property plan which requested an R1-10 classification for fourteen single-family homes. He added that per the recommendation and public request from the Village Planning Committee of the area, ten or eleven will be single story. He recounted that the project was in compliance with the General Plan and consistent with modern era developments in the area at higher densities. He stated the plan had fallen under three units per acre. He also emphasized that the acreage was shrunk at the request of the neighbors at a village planning committee meeting. He displayed the lots that Schmilles had agreed to limit to single-story housing. Mr. Bull explained that the site is not a farm, it is vacant land and not farmable. He explained that the lot plan was amended to eliminate the north-south street that would go up to Dynamite Boulevard and amend the configuration.

clarify the change as requested by the village planning committee.

Mr. Bull affirmed that three lots were eliminated on the east side of the north-south street

Councilwoman O'Brien asked for the amended plan to be displayed. She asked to confirm if the blue outline of the lots indicated single-story housing.

Mr. Bull affirmed that Councilwoman O'Brien was correct and the blue outline indicated a limit for the development to be single-story housing. He also explained an additional lot which was outlined in red and was requested to also be single-story housing due to solar panels on the home directly across the street from the development lot.

Councilwoman O'Brien recalled a meeting she had with Jerrie Hughes and Julie Greene as well as the community members on October 31, 2022 which resulted in the case being postponed to the November 2, 2022 meeting. She asked if the Schmilles would be amenable to making all fourteen lots single story housing.

Mr. Bull acknowledged that the Schmilles would be amenable to make all fourteen lots of the development single story housing.

Councilwoman O'Brien mentioned that Mr. Bull had contacted her in regard to making the development a gated community. She stated that she reached out to the Streets Department who noted that there would be significant loss of lot land given that the developer had already given up the development of three lots.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing closed.

The hearing was held. A motion was made by Councilwoman O'Brien, seconded by Councilwoman Stark, that this item be approved per the Planning Commission recommendation with a modification to Stipulation 3 to replace all of the stipulation language and have the updated stipulation read as follows, "All lots within the development shall be limited to 1 story and 20 feet in height, as approved by the Planning and Development Department.", with adoption of the related

ordinance. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio,
Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien,
Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor
Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

**83 (CONTINUED FROM NOV. 2, 2022) - Public Hearing and Ordinance
Adoption - Planning Hearing Officer Application PHO-2-22-
-Z-47-17-8 - Approximately 130 feet North of the Northwest Corner
of 19th Avenue and Latona Lane (Ordinance G-7054)**

Request to hold a public hearing and authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to consider the Planning Commission recommendation by the City Council on matters heard by the Planning Hearing Officer on Aug. 17, 2022, and the Planning Commission on Oct. 6, 2022.

Summary

Application: PHO-2-22--Z-47-17-8

Existing Zoning: R1-10

Acreage: 5.12

Owner: Bella Rosa Partners, LLC

Applicant and Representative: Terrascope Consulting, LLC

Proposal:

1. Modification of Stipulation 1 regarding general conformance with the site plan date stamped July 28, 2017.
2. Review of conceptual elevations by the Planning Hearing Officer per Stipulation 4.

Concurrence/Previous Council Action

VPC Action: The South Mountain Village Planning Committee heard this case on Aug. 9, 2022, and recommended approval by a vote of 7-4.

PHO Action: The Planning Hearing Officer heard this case on Aug. 17, 2022, and recommended approval with modifications and additional stipulations.

Staff Recommendation: Approval, per the Planning Hearing Officer recommendation.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Oct. 6, 2022, and recommended approval, per the Planning Hearing Officer recommendation.

The Planning Commission recommendation was appealed by a community member on Oct. 11, 2022.

Location

Approximately 130 feet north of the northwest corner of 19th Avenue and Latona Lane

Council District: 8

Parcel Address: 8030 S. 19th Ave.

Discussion

Mayor Gallego announced Planning and Development Director Joshua Bednarek would provide a brief staff report.

Joshua Bednarek stated that the item was for zoning case PHO-2-22-Z-47-17-8 a request to modify approved stipulation for a planning hearing officer decision. The Planning Commission approved the request on October 6, 2022. The subject property is a 5.2 acre site located approximately 130 feet North of the Northwest corner of 19th Avenue and Latona Lane. He stated that Council District 8 staff recommended approval per the December 7th , 2022 memo from the Planning and Development Department Assistant Director and adoption of the related ordinance.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing open.

Jewel Clark spoke in favor of the item. She stated that the community surrounding the development is one with a lot of open space with homes on S1 property, horse riders, and active agriculture. She stated that to preserve the area, the community of neighbors had organized to advocate for developments with the lowest density possible. She asked that the application's density not be considered a precedent for future applications. She expressed her gratitude for the developers in accepting

the new stipulations like the heat mitigation, fuller pavement, and higher energy standards as well as their agreement to make all the homes on the development single story. She also thanked Councilmember Garcia and his staff for their dedication and work on the item.

Mayor Gallego declared the public meeting closed.

Prior to her motion, Councilwoman Guardado thanked the applicant and the neighbors for coming together and being able to reach an agreement. She also thanked Councilmember Garcia on his work on the item.

Mayor Gallego noted that Kevin Zenk was registered to speak. She asked Kevin if he was comfortable with the motion after hearing it from Councilwoman Guardado.

Prior to calling Roll Call, Mayor Gallego affirmed to virtual meeting attendees that Kevin Zenk gave a thumbs up.

Mayor Gallego noted that votes of the December 7, 2022 Formal City Council Meeting had enabled 908 units of housing to be constructed. She also included that she and Vice Mayor Pastor had been working on scheduling an open meeting of the council related to housing policy around mobile homes.

The hearing was held. A motion was made by Councilwoman Guardado, seconded by Vice Mayor Pastor, that this item be approved as revised per the Dec. 7, 2022 memo from the Planning and Development Assistant Director, with and additional stipulation to read as follows, "The Developer shall pursue an alternative pavement for the street within the subdivision for the purpose of providing heat mitigation and be privately maintained by the homeowner association, subject to approval by the Street Transportation Department.", with adoption of the related ordinance. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

**84 Public Hearing and Resolution Adoption - General Plan
Amendment GPA-DSTV-3-22-2 - Mayo Boulevard between 40th
Street and the Loop 101 Freeway, and Tatum Boulevard between
Deer Valley Drive and Mayo Boulevard (Resolution 22087)**

Request to hold a public hearing on a General Plan Amendment for the following item to consider the Planning Commission's recommendation and the related resolution if approved. Request to amend the Street Classification Map by removing the Mayo Boulevard alignment between 40th Street and the Loop 101 Freeway and changing the designation of Tatum Boulevard between Deer Valley Drive and Mayo Boulevard from Major Arterial Cross Section A to Major Arterial Cross Section Z-A.

Summary

Application: GPA-DSTV-3-22-2

Current Designation: Arterial (Mayo Boulevard) and Major Arterial Cross Section A (Tatum Boulevard)

Proposed Designation: Removed (Mayo Boulevard) and Major Arterial Cross Section Z-A (Tatum Boulevard)

Applicant: City of Phoenix, Planning Commission

Representative: Arizona State Land Department

Staff Recommendation: Approval.

VPC Action: The Desert View Village Planning Committee heard this case on Nov. 29, 2022. The results will be provided at the Council meeting.

PC Action: The Planning Commission heard this case on Dec. 1, 2022. The results will be provided at the Council meeting.

Location

Mayo Boulevard between 40th Street and the Loop 101 Freeway, and
Tatum Boulevard between Deer Valley Drive and Mayo Boulevard

Council District: 2

Parcel Addresses: N/A

Discussion

Mayor Gallego stated that the item did not have a staff report. She also announced that there were three individuals registered to speak if necessary, all in support for the item. Mayor Gallego asked if anyone needed to speak in support.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing open.

Mayor Gallego declared the public hearing closed.

Councilman Waring began reading the motion for item 85.

Alan Stephenson explained that the incorrect item was read.

Councilman Waring apologized and read the motion for item 84.

The hearing was held. A motion was made by Councilman Waring, seconded by Vice Mayor Pastor, that this item be approved per the Planning Commission recommendation, with adoption of the related resolution. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

85 Intergovernmental Agreement with Arizona State Land Department to Require and Fund Street Improvements in North Phoenix (Ordinance S-49239)

Request to authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with the Arizona State Land Department (ASLD) to assign street improvements and funding to ASLD land auctions within the Mayo Flyover Study Area (Study Area).

Summary

ASLD, as the owner of undeveloped property within the Study Area, has

initiated a General Plan Amendment to the Street Classification Map for consideration of the removal of the future Mayo Boulevard Flyover (Mayo Flyover) connection over the State Route 101 Loop Freeway. ASLD has commissioned a transportation study, Mayo Flyover Traffic Impact Study (Traffic Study), dated September 2022 and prepared by CivTech, identifying primary and regional roadway segment mitigation construction in-lieu of the future Mayo Flyover. This IGA is being entered into to identify the roadway segments to be assigned to each respective part for construction and/or funding responsibility that implements the mitigation requirements identified in the Traffic Study through assignments of Regional Funding.

Traffic improvements in the IGA include the following improvements.

Intersection improvements at:

Black Mountain Boulevard and Deer Valley Drive;

40th Street and Deer Valley Drive;

Tatum and Mayo boulevards; and

56th Street and Pinnacle Peak Road.

Street improvements at:

Deer Valley Drive from 40th Street to Tatum Boulevard;

Tatum Boulevard from Mayo Boulevard to the Loop 101 on ramp;

Mayo Boulevard from Tatum Boulevard to 56th Street and a section just east of 64th Street;

56th Street from Mayo Boulevard to the Loop 101 on ramp;

64th Street from Mayo Boulevard to Reach 11; and

Cave Creek Road from the Central Arizona Project Bridge to Pinnacle Peak Road.

The City of Phoenix, through the zoning and development process, will provide construction improvements to Black Mountain Boulevard from Pinnacle Peak Road to Rough Rider Road and Tatum Boulevard from Pinnacle Peak Road to Deer Valley Road.

This IGA, coupled with General Plan Amendment (GPA-DSTV-3-22-2) also to be considered at the Dec. 7, 2022, Formal meeting, provides a greater level of certainty regarding funding and timing of street infrastructure for the Desert Ridge Area, within north Phoenix.

Contract Term

This Agreement shall continue until all State Trust land within the Study Area is sold at public auction. If parcels assigned Regional Funding have not been sold or the total Regional Funding has not been achieved within 30 years, both Parties agree to renegotiate this Agreement including a time extension prior to the expiration of this Agreement.

Location

The Mayo Flyover Study Area is generally one quarter mile west of Black Mountain Boulevard on the west, one quarter mile east of 64th Street on the east, Pinnacle Peak Road on the north, and approximately one quarter mile south of the Central Arizona Project (CAP) canal.

Council District: 2

Discussion

Mayor Gallego expressed her support for the item. She stated that there were many good partnerships with the State Land Department and emphasized the importance of working together for the benefits of the community. She thanked Joshua Bednarek, Eric Froberg, Chris Mackay, and Councilman Waring for their work on the item.

A motion was made by Councilman Waring, seconded by Councilwoman Ansari, that this item be adopted as revised per the Dec. 6, 2022 memo from the Planning and Development Assistant Director. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 8 - Councilwoman Ansari, Councilman DiCiccio, Councilwoman Guardado, Councilwoman O'Brien, Councilwoman Stark, Councilman Waring, Vice Mayor Pastor and Mayor Gallego

No: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Garcia

REPORTS FROM CITY MANAGER, COMMITTEES OR CITY OFFICIALS

None.

000 CITIZEN COMMENTS

City Attorney Julie Kriegh stated during Citizen Comments, members of the

public may address the City Council for up to three minutes on issues of interest or concern to them. She advised the Arizona Open Meeting Law permits the City Council to listen to the comments, but prohibits council members from discussing or acting on the matters presented.

Marcia Clarke-Campbell acknowledged the work the City Council had done in addressing the homelessness crisis. She noted the work done in the North Phoenix area with the Community Bridges Inc. location. She spoke on the accessibility to internet connectivity for seniors as well as the homeless population.

Martha Gutierrez, in Spanish, asked that the Council reconsider the denial of the petition to be added to the agenda. She stated that the petition tried to create a prohibited zone and added that there were approximately 45 families being affected by the displacement. Ms. Gutierrez thanked the Council.

Gonzalo Alvarez Garcia, In Spanish, stated that he spoke on behalf of Las Casitas and asked that the Council not turn down the petition submitted regarding mobile homes and the rezoning to prohibit mobile homes. He stated that they had already been displaced and requested that families in the future do not suffer the same displacement. He asked that the Council not ignore the petition and thanked the Council.

Carmen Prieto introduced her grand children and restated Mr. Garcia's request to not ignore or deny their petition. She noted that the residents of the mobile home park needed time. She asked the Council where residents would live with one thousand eight hundred dollars. She expressed the difficulty of the situation the members of the trailer park community experienced. Ms. Prieto emphasized the importance of making the land specifically zoned for mobile homes to address the anxiety residents felt with moving to a different trailer park to then be potentially displaced once more.

Alondra Ruiz stated she was from Periwinkle Mobile Home Parks and asked the Council to reconsider the denial that was emailed to Carmen Prieto regarding the Citizens Petition to be heard on the agenda. She noted that there was a lot of confusion on the legality of the process given that the individuals owned the mobile homes that were on the Grand Canyon University (GCU) land. She asked the Council to reconsider their petition and provide more legal advice to

the residents being displaced. She mentioned that there was no contract or lease agreement from GCU. She added that there were a total of 140 families that would be homeless in less than six months across the three mobile home parks affected by the development; Periwinkle Mobile Home Park, Las Casitas, and Weldon Mobile Home Park.

Mayor Gallego stated that she and Vice Mayor Pastor were working to put together a meeting related to the mobile home parks. She emphasized that there was strong support from the Council.

Mayor Gallego requested that her statement be translated.

Councilwoman Guardado translated the statement regarding the meeting in Spanish.

Ken Waters stated that he was not called to speak on item 80 despite having signed up to speak in opposition of the item. He noted that he was grateful for the stipulations presented by Vice Mayor Pastor. He commented on memberships the developers wanted to implement in the community at large. He also expressed his contempt for the 100% exclusive residential projects that the City is developing along Central Avenue. He referenced eleven projects from McDowell Road up to Camelback Road, two of which were mixed use. He thanked the Vice Mayor Pastor for the stipulation. He emphasized the need for the City to dedicate Central Avenue to dynamic mixed use projects.

Vice Mayor Pastor stated that the Council would be having a Central Phoenix meeting regarding the several developments in January or February.

Joel Castro acknowledged the Council and asked that the petition that was previously presented be taken into account. He stated that he was a resident of Weldon Court Mobile Home Park. He added that they were being kicked out of the trailers they had invested in to create a home.

Dominic Petsch presented musically his appreciation for the elections process in Maricopa County.

Mayor Gallego asked that the public comment be reserved to business handled by the Phoenix Municipal Government.

Dominic Petsch expressed his concern for the lack of a mandate for the vaccines.

ADJOURN

There being no further business to come before the Council, Mayor Gallego declared the meeting adjourned at 3:54 p.m.



MAYOR

ATTEST:



CITY CLERK

SLR

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the formal session of the City Council of the City of Phoenix held on the 7th day of December, 2022. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

Dated this 26th day of August, 2024.



CITY CLERK



CERTIFICATE OF ELECTION

The City Council of the City of Phoenix, Arizona, does hereby certify that a Council Election was held in the City of Phoenix on Tuesday, November 8, 2022, for the election of Council Members for Districts 2, 4, 6 and 8 for four-year terms that begin on April 17, 2023.

We further certify that the above referenced Council races appeared on the ballot in the General Election conducted by Maricopa County and that the County provided the unofficial election results for the Council races to the City, and that the whole number of votes cast were as follows:

FINAL OFFICIAL RESULTS

General Election

Maricopa County

November 8, 2022

Elector Group	Counting Group	Ballots	Voters	Registered Voters	Turnout
Total	EARLY VOTE	226,640	226,640	414,174	54.72%
	ELECTION DAY	39,447	39,447		9.52%
	PROVISIONAL	587	587		0.14%
	Total	266,674	266,674		64.39%

Precincts Reported: 0 of 181 (0.00%)

Registered Voters: 266,674 of 414,174 (64.39%)

Ballots Cast: 266,674

Phoenix Dist 2-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 0 of 49 (0.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	90,924 / 127,288	71.43%
Undervotes	28,314	
Overvotes	1,163	

Candidate	Party	Total	
EVANS, MATT	NON	10,645	17.32%
NIELSON, HELI	NON	18,201	29.62%
WARING, JIM	NON	32,444	52.80%
Write-in		157	0.26%
Total Votes		61,447	

	Total
--	-------

Phoenix Dist 4-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 0 of 32 (0.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	40,532 / 75,072	53.99%
Undervotes	13,644	
Overvotes	4	

Candidate	Party	Total	
PASTOR, LAURA	NON	26,226	97.55%
Write-in		658	2.45%
Total Votes		26,884	

	Total
--	-------

Phoenix Dist 6-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 0 of 64 (0.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	89,688 / 121,356	73.90%
Undervotes	26,166	
Overvotes	1,610	

Candidate	Party	Total	
CURTIN, HARRY	NON	3,917	6.33%
GREENE, JOAN	NON	10,036	16.21%
MOEREMANS, MARK	NON	6,234	10.07%
ROBINSON, KEVIN	NON	12,072	19.50%
SANCHEZ, MOSES	NON	10,098	16.31%
SCHOVILLE, JUAN	NON	1,291	2.09%
STONE, SAM	NON	10,548	17.04%
WILSON, KELLEN	NON	7,552	12.20%
Write-in		164	0.26%
Total Votes		61,912	

		Total
--	--	-------

Phoenix Dist 8-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 0 of 45 (0.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	45,530 / 90,458	50.33%
Undervotes	9,381	
Overvotes	848	

Candidate	Party	Total	
CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE	NON	4,057	11.49%
GARCIA, CARLOS	NON	13,896	39.36%
GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG"	NON	3,858	10.93%
HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA	NON	13,371	37.88%
Write-in		119	0.34%
Total Votes		35,301	

		Total
--	--	-------

**FINAL OFFICIAL RESULTS
STATEMENT OF VOTES CAST
General Election
Maricopa County
November 8, 2022**

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
County				
Election Wide				
0003 ACUNA				
EARLY VOTE	2,954	888	888	30.06%
ELECTION DAY	2,954	175	175	5.92%
PROVISIONAL	2,954	7	7	0.24%
Total	2,954	1,070	1,070	36.22%
0009 AHWATUKEE				
EARLY VOTE	3,685	2,604	2,604	70.66%
ELECTION DAY	3,685	309	309	8.39%
PROVISIONAL	3,685	0	0	0.00%
Total	3,685	2,913	2,913	79.05%
0023 ANNETTE				
EARLY VOTE	3,246	1,869	1,869	57.58%
ELECTION DAY	3,246	318	318	9.80%
PROVISIONAL	3,246	2	2	0.06%
Total	3,246	2,189	2,189	67.44%
0030 ARCADIA				
EARLY VOTE	2,946	1,943	1,943	65.95%
ELECTION DAY	2,946	269	269	9.13%
PROVISIONAL	2,946	1	1	0.03%
Total	2,946	2,213	2,213	75.12%
0031 ARDMORE				
EARLY VOTE	4,271	2,302	2,302	53.90%
ELECTION DAY	4,271	304	304	7.12%
PROVISIONAL	4,271	7	7	0.16%
Total	4,271	2,613	2,613	61.18%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0039 ASTER				
EARLY VOTE	2,153	1,425	1,425	66.19%
ELECTION DAY	2,153	279	279	12.96%
PROVISIONAL	2,153	2	2	0.09%
Total	2,153	1,706	1,706	79.24%
0043 AVIANO				
EARLY VOTE	3,983	2,641	2,641	66.31%
ELECTION DAY	3,983	365	365	9.16%
PROVISIONAL	3,983	1	1	0.03%
Total	3,983	3,007	3,007	75.50%
0049 BALSZ				
EARLY VOTE	3,474	1,069	1,069	30.77%
ELECTION DAY	3,474	322	322	9.27%
PROVISIONAL	3,474	12	12	0.35%
Total	3,474	1,403	1,403	40.39%
0057 BETHANY				
EARLY VOTE	1,613	1,177	1,177	72.97%
ELECTION DAY	1,613	151	151	9.36%
PROVISIONAL	1,613	2	2	0.12%
Total	1,613	1,330	1,330	82.46%
0059 BETHUNE				
EARLY VOTE	23	6	6	26.09%
ELECTION DAY	23	3	3	13.04%
PROVISIONAL	23	0	0	0.00%
Total	23	9	9	39.13%
0060 BILTMORE				
EARLY VOTE	2,466	1,856	1,856	75.26%
ELECTION DAY	2,466	208	208	8.43%
PROVISIONAL	2,466	4	4	0.16%
Total	2,466	2,068	2,068	83.86%
0070 BLUEFIELD				
EARLY VOTE	1,660	877	877	52.83%
ELECTION DAY	1,660	209	209	12.59%
PROVISIONAL	1,660	2	2	0.12%
Total	1,660	1,088	1,088	65.54%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0075 BOULDER MOUNTAIN				
EARLY VOTE	2,972	2,145	2,145	72.17%
ELECTION DAY	2,972	362	362	12.18%
PROVISIONAL	2,972	1	1	0.03%
Total	2,972	2,508	2,508	84.39%
0082 BUFFALO RIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	3,842	2,166	2,166	56.38%
ELECTION DAY	3,842	418	418	10.88%
PROVISIONAL	3,842	2	2	0.05%
Total	3,842	2,586	2,586	67.31%
0094 CAMBRIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	667	210	210	31.48%
ELECTION DAY	667	62	62	9.30%
PROVISIONAL	667	0	0	0.00%
Total	667	272	272	40.78%
0095 CAMELOT				
EARLY VOTE	4,843	3,229	3,229	66.67%
ELECTION DAY	4,843	558	558	11.52%
PROVISIONAL	4,843	2	2	0.04%
Total	4,843	3,789	3,789	78.24%
0112 CARVER				
EARLY VOTE	3,602	1,938	1,938	53.80%
ELECTION DAY	3,602	258	258	7.16%
PROVISIONAL	3,602	6	6	0.17%
Total	3,602	2,202	2,202	61.13%
0115 CAVE BUTTES				
EARLY VOTE	2,247	1,349	1,349	60.04%
ELECTION DAY	2,247	270	270	12.02%
PROVISIONAL	2,247	5	5	0.22%
Total	2,247	1,624	1,624	72.27%
0119 CENTRAL HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	2,771	1,422	1,422	51.32%
ELECTION DAY	2,771	280	280	10.10%
PROVISIONAL	2,771	5	5	0.18%
Total	2,771	1,707	1,707	61.60%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0124 CHEATHAM				
EARLY VOTE	2,354	1,149	1,149	48.81%
ELECTION DAY	2,354	172	172	7.31%
PROVISIONAL	2,354	2	2	0.08%
Total	2,354	1,323	1,323	56.20%
0130 CIELO GRANDE				
EARLY VOTE	2,743	1,463	1,463	53.34%
ELECTION DAY	2,743	300	300	10.94%
PROVISIONAL	2,743	4	4	0.15%
Total	2,743	1,767	1,767	64.42%
0131 CINCO				
EARLY VOTE	2	2	2	100.00%
ELECTION DAY	2	0	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	2	0	0	0.00%
Total	2	2	2	100.00%
0132 CITRUS				
EARLY VOTE	2,378	1,537	1,537	64.63%
ELECTION DAY	2,378	244	244	10.26%
PROVISIONAL	2,378	12	12	0.50%
Total	2,378	1,793	1,793	75.40%
0136 CLEARVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	3,382	2,222	2,222	65.70%
ELECTION DAY	3,382	332	332	9.82%
PROVISIONAL	3,382	5	5	0.15%
Total	3,382	2,559	2,559	75.67%
0138 CLIFFVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	1,785	1,219	1,219	68.29%
ELECTION DAY	1,785	192	192	10.76%
PROVISIONAL	1,785	2	2	0.11%
Total	1,785	1,413	1,413	79.16%
0142 CLUB WEST				
EARLY VOTE	5,593	3,532	3,532	63.15%
ELECTION DAY	5,593	702	702	12.55%
PROVISIONAL	5,593	2	2	0.04%
Total	5,593	4,236	4,236	75.74%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0148 COLONNADE				
EARLY VOTE	3,237	1,902	1,902	58.76%
ELECTION DAY	3,237	326	326	10.07%
PROVISIONAL	3,237	1	1	0.03%
Total	3,237	2,229	2,229	68.86%
0157 CONTENTION MINE				
EARLY VOTE	1,597	840	840	52.60%
ELECTION DAY	1,597	154	154	9.64%
PROVISIONAL	1,597	0	0	0.00%
Total	1,597	994	994	62.24%
0158 COPPER				
EARLY VOTE	2,652	1,778	1,778	67.04%
ELECTION DAY	2,652	291	291	10.97%
PROVISIONAL	2,652	5	5	0.19%
Total	2,652	2,074	2,074	78.21%
0178 COYOTE BASIN				
EARLY VOTE	2,611	1,720	1,720	65.88%
ELECTION DAY	2,611	279	279	10.69%
PROVISIONAL	2,611	2	2	0.08%
Total	2,611	2,001	2,001	76.64%
0183 CREIGHTON				
EARLY VOTE	3,467	1,452	1,452	41.88%
ELECTION DAY	3,467	241	241	6.95%
PROVISIONAL	3,467	4	4	0.12%
Total	3,467	1,697	1,697	48.95%
0191 CULVER				
EARLY VOTE	1,937	560	560	28.91%
ELECTION DAY	1,937	135	135	6.97%
PROVISIONAL	1,937	9	9	0.46%
Total	1,937	704	704	36.34%
0201 DEER VALLEY				
EARLY VOTE	9	6	6	66.67%
ELECTION DAY	9	0	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	9	0	0	0.00%
Total	9	6	6	66.67%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0227 DIXILETA				
EARLY VOTE	6,442	4,246	4,246	65.91%
ELECTION DAY	6,442	754	754	11.70%
PROVISIONAL	6,442	0	0	0.00%
Total	6,442	5,000	5,000	77.62%
0228 DOBBINS RANCH				
EARLY VOTE	2,095	1,038	1,038	49.55%
ELECTION DAY	2,095	207	207	9.88%
PROVISIONAL	2,095	4	4	0.19%
Total	2,095	1,249	1,249	59.62%
0234 DOVE VALLEY				
EARLY VOTE	2,624	1,379	1,379	52.55%
ELECTION DAY	2,624	349	349	13.30%
PROVISIONAL	2,624	3	3	0.11%
Total	2,624	1,731	1,731	65.97%
0237 DREAMY DRAW				
EARLY VOTE	266	196	196	73.68%
ELECTION DAY	266	25	25	9.40%
PROVISIONAL	266	0	0	0.00%
Total	266	221	221	83.08%
0242 DUNBAR				
EARLY VOTE	1,846	485	485	26.27%
ELECTION DAY	1,846	88	88	4.77%
PROVISIONAL	1,846	6	6	0.33%
Total	1,846	579	579	31.37%
0246 DYNAMITE				
EARLY VOTE	3,393	2,250	2,250	66.31%
ELECTION DAY	3,393	420	420	12.38%
PROVISIONAL	3,393	3	3	0.09%
Total	3,393	2,673	2,673	78.78%
0252 EDISON				
EARLY VOTE	2,091	773	773	36.97%
ELECTION DAY	2,091	155	155	7.41%
PROVISIONAL	2,091	4	4	0.19%
Total	2,091	932	932	44.57%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0254 EL DOMINGO				
EARLY VOTE	2,429	1,445	1,445	59.49%
ELECTION DAY	2,429	221	221	9.10%
PROVISIONAL	2,429	6	6	0.25%
Total	2,429	1,672	1,672	68.83%
0258 ELWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	4,044	1,588	1,588	39.27%
ELECTION DAY	4,044	252	252	6.23%
PROVISIONAL	4,044	6	6	0.15%
Total	4,044	1,846	1,846	45.65%
0261 ENCANTO				
EARLY VOTE	2,856	1,967	1,967	68.87%
ELECTION DAY	2,856	220	220	7.70%
PROVISIONAL	2,856	2	2	0.07%
Total	2,856	2,189	2,189	76.65%
0269 ESCUDA				
EARLY VOTE	1,479	704	704	47.60%
ELECTION DAY	1,479	139	139	9.40%
PROVISIONAL	1,479	1	1	0.07%
Total	1,479	844	844	57.07%
0272 EUCLID				
EARLY VOTE	3,804	2,304	2,304	60.57%
ELECTION DAY	3,804	282	282	7.41%
PROVISIONAL	3,804	3	3	0.08%
Total	3,804	2,589	2,589	68.06%
0273 EVANS				
EARLY VOTE	1,530	1,031	1,031	67.39%
ELECTION DAY	1,530	160	160	10.46%
PROVISIONAL	1,530	1	1	0.07%
Total	1,530	1,192	1,192	77.91%
0275 EXETER				
EARLY VOTE	1,472	1,023	1,023	69.50%
ELECTION DAY	1,472	170	170	11.55%
PROVISIONAL	1,472	2	2	0.14%
Total	1,472	1,195	1,195	81.18%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0300 GARDEN GROVES				
EARLY VOTE	3,302	1,537	1,537	46.55%
ELECTION DAY	3,302	315	315	9.54%
PROVISIONAL	3,302	3	3	0.09%
Total	3,302	1,855	1,855	56.18%
0301 GARDENS				
EARLY VOTE	1,099	347	347	31.57%
ELECTION DAY	1,099	99	99	9.01%
PROVISIONAL	1,099	3	3	0.27%
Total	1,099	449	449	40.86%
0304 GATEWAY				
EARLY VOTE	1,615	448	448	27.74%
ELECTION DAY	1,615	91	91	5.63%
PROVISIONAL	1,615	5	5	0.31%
Total	1,615	544	544	33.68%
0308 GEORGIA				
EARLY VOTE	850	426	426	50.12%
ELECTION DAY	850	99	99	11.65%
PROVISIONAL	850	3	3	0.35%
Total	850	528	528	62.12%
0312 GIDIYUP				
EARLY VOTE	4,124	2,087	2,087	50.61%
ELECTION DAY	4,124	495	495	12.00%
PROVISIONAL	4,124	4	4	0.10%
Total	4,124	2,586	2,586	62.71%
0320 GLENROSA				
EARLY VOTE	1,988	1,324	1,324	66.60%
ELECTION DAY	1,988	191	191	9.61%
PROVISIONAL	1,988	2	2	0.10%
Total	1,988	1,517	1,517	76.31%
0328 GRANADA				
EARLY VOTE	3,287	1,090	1,090	33.16%
ELECTION DAY	3,287	236	236	7.18%
PROVISIONAL	3,287	3	3	0.09%
Total	3,287	1,329	1,329	40.43%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0335 GREENFIELD				
EARLY VOTE	2,921	1,110	1,110	38.00%
ELECTION DAY	2,921	180	180	6.16%
PROVISIONAL	2,921	4	4	0.14%
Total	2,921	1,294	1,294	44.30%
0341 GROVERS				
EARLY VOTE	1,835	713	713	38.86%
ELECTION DAY	1,835	201	201	10.95%
PROVISIONAL	1,835	4	4	0.22%
Total	1,835	918	918	50.03%
0347 HALL				
EARLY VOTE	2,404	1,682	1,682	69.97%
ELECTION DAY	2,404	209	209	8.69%
PROVISIONAL	2,404	10	10	0.42%
Total	2,404	1,901	1,901	79.08%
0360 HAYDEN HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	2,543	659	659	25.91%
ELECTION DAY	2,543	134	134	5.27%
PROVISIONAL	2,543	2	2	0.08%
Total	2,543	795	795	31.26%
0363 HERMOSA				
EARLY VOTE	4,195	1,510	1,510	36.00%
ELECTION DAY	4,195	298	298	7.10%
PROVISIONAL	4,195	10	10	0.24%
Total	4,195	1,818	1,818	43.34%
0366 HIBISCUS				
EARLY VOTE	921	575	575	62.43%
ELECTION DAY	921	86	86	9.34%
PROVISIONAL	921	0	0	0.00%
Total	921	661	661	71.77%
0368 HIDALGO				
EARLY VOTE	4,353	1,730	1,730	39.74%
ELECTION DAY	4,353	343	343	7.88%
PROVISIONAL	4,353	6	6	0.14%
Total	4,353	2,079	2,079	47.76%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0372 HILLERY				
EARLY VOTE	1,464	1,028	1,028	70.22%
ELECTION DAY	1,464	138	138	9.43%
PROVISIONAL	1,464	0	0	0.00%
Total	1,464	1,166	1,166	79.64%
0373 HILLVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	3,228	2,137	2,137	66.20%
ELECTION DAY	3,228	346	346	10.72%
PROVISIONAL	3,228	2	2	0.06%
Total	3,228	2,485	2,485	76.98%
0374 HILTON				
EARLY VOTE	1,030	381	381	36.99%
ELECTION DAY	1,030	87	87	8.45%
PROVISIONAL	1,030	5	5	0.49%
Total	1,030	473	473	45.92%
0379 HOLLY				
EARLY VOTE	916	383	383	41.81%
ELECTION DAY	916	52	52	5.68%
PROVISIONAL	916	0	0	0.00%
Total	916	435	435	47.49%
0381 HOLMES				
EARLY VOTE	3,830	1,089	1,089	28.43%
ELECTION DAY	3,830	237	237	6.19%
PROVISIONAL	3,830	10	10	0.26%
Total	3,830	1,336	1,336	34.88%
0385 HOPI				
EARLY VOTE	2,665	1,751	1,751	65.70%
ELECTION DAY	2,665	337	337	12.65%
PROVISIONAL	2,665	2	2	0.08%
Total	2,665	2,090	2,090	78.42%
0386 HORIZON				
EARLY VOTE	4,866	3,109	3,109	63.89%
ELECTION DAY	4,866	580	580	11.92%
PROVISIONAL	4,866	5	5	0.10%
Total	4,866	3,694	3,694	75.91%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0397 ISAAC				
EARLY VOTE	1,434	394	394	27.48%
ELECTION DAY	1,434	96	96	6.69%
PROVISIONAL	1,434	1	1	0.07%
Total	1,434	491	491	34.24%
0399 JACKRABBIT				
EARLY VOTE	3,374	2,111	2,111	62.57%
ELECTION DAY	3,374	361	361	10.70%
PROVISIONAL	3,374	2	2	0.06%
Total	3,374	2,474	2,474	73.33%
0400 JANICE				
EARLY VOTE	2,368	1,232	1,232	52.03%
ELECTION DAY	2,368	278	278	11.74%
PROVISIONAL	2,368	4	4	0.17%
Total	2,368	1,514	1,514	63.94%
0413 JUSTINE				
EARLY VOTE	2,767	1,923	1,923	69.50%
ELECTION DAY	2,767	260	260	9.40%
PROVISIONAL	2,767	1	1	0.04%
Total	2,767	2,184	2,184	78.93%
0414 KACHINA				
EARLY VOTE	3,161	1,991	1,991	62.99%
ELECTION DAY	3,161	358	358	11.33%
PROVISIONAL	3,161	4	4	0.13%
Total	3,161	2,353	2,353	74.44%
0415 KAIBAB				
EARLY VOTE	702	504	504	71.79%
ELECTION DAY	702	64	64	9.12%
PROVISIONAL	702	1	1	0.14%
Total	702	569	569	81.05%
0424 KOKOPELLI				
EARLY VOTE	4,737	2,541	2,541	53.64%
ELECTION DAY	4,737	436	436	9.20%
PROVISIONAL	4,737	8	8	0.17%
Total	4,737	2,985	2,985	63.01%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0430 LAKEWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	3,987	2,565	2,565	64.33%
ELECTION DAY	3,987	430	430	10.79%
PROVISIONAL	3,987	2	2	0.05%
Total	3,987	2,997	2,997	75.17%
0431 LAMAR				
EARLY VOTE	1,700	1,124	1,124	66.12%
ELECTION DAY	1,700	193	193	11.35%
PROVISIONAL	1,700	4	4	0.24%
Total	1,700	1,321	1,321	77.71%
0439 LASSEN				
EARLY VOTE	3,567	1,347	1,347	37.76%
ELECTION DAY	3,567	213	213	5.97%
PROVISIONAL	3,567	5	5	0.14%
Total	3,567	1,565	1,565	43.87%
0442 LAVEEN MEADOWS				
EARLY VOTE	2,487	994	994	39.97%
ELECTION DAY	2,487	194	194	7.80%
PROVISIONAL	2,487	8	8	0.32%
Total	2,487	1,196	1,196	48.09%
0447 LEWIS				
EARLY VOTE	1,376	512	512	37.21%
ELECTION DAY	1,376	87	87	6.32%
PROVISIONAL	1,376	1	1	0.07%
Total	1,376	600	600	43.60%
0453 LIONS				
EARLY VOTE	867	645	645	74.39%
ELECTION DAY	867	79	79	9.11%
PROVISIONAL	867	0	0	0.00%
Total	867	724	724	83.51%
0457 LOLA				
EARLY VOTE	2,992	1,671	1,671	55.85%
ELECTION DAY	2,992	332	332	11.10%
PROVISIONAL	2,992	7	7	0.23%
Total	2,992	2,010	2,010	67.18%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0458 LOMA LINDA				
EARLY VOTE	2,529	1,313	1,313	51.92%
ELECTION DAY	2,529	211	211	8.34%
PROVISIONAL	2,529	2	2	0.08%
Total	2,529	1,526	1,526	60.34%
0461 LONE MOUNTAIN				
EARLY VOTE	777	599	599	77.09%
ELECTION DAY	777	70	70	9.01%
PROVISIONAL	777	0	0	0.00%
Total	777	669	669	86.10%
0467 LOOKOUT RIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	2,722	1,893	1,893	69.54%
ELECTION DAY	2,722	268	268	9.85%
PROVISIONAL	2,722	2	2	0.07%
Total	2,722	2,163	2,163	79.46%
0470 LOS OLIVOS				
EARLY VOTE	1,062	568	568	53.48%
ELECTION DAY	1,062	117	117	11.02%
PROVISIONAL	1,062	0	0	0.00%
Total	1,062	685	685	64.50%
0471 LOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	1,072	306	306	28.54%
ELECTION DAY	1,072	46	46	4.29%
PROVISIONAL	1,072	6	6	0.56%
Total	1,072	358	358	33.40%
0473 LUKE				
EARLY VOTE	1,975	877	877	44.41%
ELECTION DAY	1,975	174	174	8.81%
PROVISIONAL	1,975	5	5	0.25%
Total	1,975	1,056	1,056	53.47%
0476 LYNWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	2,478	776	776	31.32%
ELECTION DAY	2,478	168	168	6.78%
PROVISIONAL	2,478	1	1	0.04%
Total	2,478	945	945	38.14%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0477 MADISON				
EARLY VOTE	983	619	619	62.97%
ELECTION DAY	983	104	104	10.58%
PROVISIONAL	983	5	5	0.51%
Total	983	728	728	74.06%
0478 MADISON HEIGHTS				
EARLY VOTE	1,904	1,447	1,447	76.00%
ELECTION DAY	1,904	139	139	7.30%
PROVISIONAL	1,904	2	2	0.11%
Total	1,904	1,588	1,588	83.40%
0479 MADISON PARK				
EARLY VOTE	3,890	2,082	2,082	53.52%
ELECTION DAY	3,890	385	385	9.90%
PROVISIONAL	3,890	10	10	0.26%
Total	3,890	2,477	2,477	63.68%
0480 MADRID				
EARLY VOTE	2,948	848	848	28.77%
ELECTION DAY	2,948	142	142	4.82%
PROVISIONAL	2,948	8	8	0.27%
Total	2,948	998	998	33.85%
0482 MAGIC STONE				
EARLY VOTE	5,345	3,175	3,175	59.40%
ELECTION DAY	5,345	562	562	10.51%
PROVISIONAL	5,345	6	6	0.11%
Total	5,345	3,743	3,743	70.03%
0487 MARBLE CREEK				
EARLY VOTE	310	59	59	19.03%
ELECTION DAY	310	14	14	4.52%
PROVISIONAL	310	2	2	0.65%
Total	310	75	75	24.19%
0492 MARIVUE				
EARLY VOTE	4,026	1,200	1,200	29.81%
ELECTION DAY	4,026	230	230	5.71%
PROVISIONAL	4,026	9	9	0.22%
Total	4,026	1,439	1,439	35.74%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0499 MAYFLOWER				
EARLY VOTE	3,859	2,129	2,129	55.17%
ELECTION DAY	3,859	420	420	10.88%
PROVISIONAL	3,859	12	12	0.31%
Total	3,859	2,561	2,561	66.36%
0503 MCDOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	1,684	1,015	1,015	60.27%
ELECTION DAY	1,684	139	139	8.25%
PROVISIONAL	1,684	2	2	0.12%
Total	1,684	1,156	1,156	68.65%
0507 MEADOWBROOK				
EARLY VOTE	570	258	258	45.26%
ELECTION DAY	570	77	77	13.51%
PROVISIONAL	570	3	3	0.53%
Total	570	338	338	59.30%
0528 MONROE				
EARLY VOTE	1,641	704	704	42.90%
ELECTION DAY	1,641	146	146	8.90%
PROVISIONAL	1,641	1	1	0.06%
Total	1,641	851	851	51.86%
0536 MOUNTAIN GATE				
EARLY VOTE	2,949	1,830	1,830	62.05%
ELECTION DAY	2,949	296	296	10.04%
PROVISIONAL	2,949	1	1	0.03%
Total	2,949	2,127	2,127	72.13%
0540 MOYA				
EARLY VOTE	817	187	187	22.89%
ELECTION DAY	817	47	47	5.75%
PROVISIONAL	817	0	0	0.00%
Total	817	234	234	28.64%
0550 NORTERRA				
EARLY VOTE	4,147	2,487	2,487	59.97%
ELECTION DAY	4,147	450	450	10.85%
PROVISIONAL	4,147	3	3	0.07%
Total	4,147	2,940	2,940	70.89%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0552 NORTH HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	2,731	1,794	1,794	65.69%
ELECTION DAY	2,731	223	223	8.17%
PROVISIONAL	2,731	3	3	0.11%
Total	2,731	2,020	2,020	73.97%
0555 NVP 1				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0563 NVP 17				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0567 NVP 20				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0570 NVP 23				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0573 NVP 5				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0574 NVP 6				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0575 NVP 7				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0576 NVP 8				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0581 OAKTREE				
EARLY VOTE	3,211	2,174	2,174	67.70%
ELECTION DAY	3,211	330	330	10.28%
PROVISIONAL	3,211	3	3	0.09%
Total	3,211	2,507	2,507	78.08%
0587 OLNEY				
EARLY VOTE	859	435	435	50.64%
ELECTION DAY	859	98	98	11.41%
PROVISIONAL	859	2	2	0.23%
Total	859	535	535	62.28%
0590 ORANGE TREE				
EARLY VOTE	1,333	876	876	65.72%
ELECTION DAY	1,333	142	142	10.65%
PROVISIONAL	1,333	0	0	0.00%
Total	1,333	1,018	1,018	76.37%
0594 OREGON				
EARLY VOTE	2,968	1,692	1,692	57.01%
ELECTION DAY	2,968	257	257	8.66%
PROVISIONAL	2,968	11	11	0.37%
Total	2,968	1,960	1,960	66.04%
0601 PALM				
EARLY VOTE	660	302	302	45.76%
ELECTION DAY	660	51	51	7.73%
PROVISIONAL	660	3	3	0.45%
Total	660	356	356	53.94%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0608 PALMDALE				
EARLY VOTE	2,974	922	922	31.00%
ELECTION DAY	2,974	220	220	7.40%
PROVISIONAL	2,974	3	3	0.10%
Total	2,974	1,145	1,145	38.50%
0613 PALOMINO				
EARLY VOTE	4,040	1,685	1,685	41.71%
ELECTION DAY	4,040	360	360	8.91%
PROVISIONAL	4,040	9	9	0.22%
Total	4,040	2,054	2,054	50.84%
0614 PAPAGO PARK				
EARLY VOTE	1,779	705	705	39.63%
ELECTION DAY	1,779	181	181	10.17%
PROVISIONAL	1,779	2	2	0.11%
Total	1,779	888	888	49.92%
0615 PARADA				
EARLY VOTE	2,499	1,305	1,305	52.22%
ELECTION DAY	2,499	220	220	8.80%
PROVISIONAL	2,499	9	9	0.36%
Total	2,499	1,534	1,534	61.38%
0617 PARADISE PARK				
EARLY VOTE	2,564	1,310	1,310	51.09%
ELECTION DAY	2,564	336	336	13.10%
PROVISIONAL	2,564	5	5	0.20%
Total	2,564	1,651	1,651	64.39%
0618 PARK CENTRAL				
EARLY VOTE	5,143	3,000	3,000	58.33%
ELECTION DAY	5,143	470	470	9.14%
PROVISIONAL	5,143	11	11	0.21%
Total	5,143	3,481	3,481	67.68%
0635 PERRY PARK				
EARLY VOTE	669	261	261	39.01%
ELECTION DAY	669	64	64	9.57%
PROVISIONAL	669	1	1	0.15%
Total	669	326	326	48.73%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0638 PICADILLY				
EARLY VOTE	2,309	1,478	1,478	64.01%
ELECTION DAY	2,309	234	234	10.13%
PROVISIONAL	2,309	3	3	0.13%
Total	2,309	1,715	1,715	74.27%
0639 PIERCE				
EARLY VOTE	2,132	1,016	1,016	47.65%
ELECTION DAY	2,132	204	204	9.57%
PROVISIONAL	2,132	10	10	0.47%
Total	2,132	1,230	1,230	57.69%
0641 PILLAR				
EARLY VOTE	3,096	1,408	1,408	45.48%
ELECTION DAY	3,096	472	472	15.25%
PROVISIONAL	3,096	5	5	0.16%
Total	3,096	1,885	1,885	60.89%
0647 PINTO				
EARLY VOTE	3,283	2,360	2,360	71.89%
ELECTION DAY	3,283	268	268	8.16%
PROVISIONAL	3,283	2	2	0.06%
Total	3,283	2,630	2,630	80.11%
0653 POLK				
EARLY VOTE	224	111	111	49.55%
ELECTION DAY	224	26	26	11.61%
PROVISIONAL	224	1	1	0.45%
Total	224	138	138	61.61%
0664 PUEBLO GRANDE				
EARLY VOTE	1,486	448	448	30.15%
ELECTION DAY	1,486	102	102	6.86%
PROVISIONAL	1,486	3	3	0.20%
Total	1,486	553	553	37.21%
0667 QUAIL RUN				
EARLY VOTE	3,202	2,053	2,053	64.12%
ELECTION DAY	3,202	286	286	8.93%
PROVISIONAL	3,202	2	2	0.06%
Total	3,202	2,341	2,341	73.11%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0672 RANCHO				
EARLY VOTE	2,396	1,596	1,596	66.61%
ELECTION DAY	2,396	201	201	8.39%
PROVISIONAL	2,396	1	1	0.04%
Total	2,396	1,798	1,798	75.04%
0674 RANCHO PALOMA				
EARLY VOTE	2,695	1,819	1,819	67.50%
ELECTION DAY	2,695	365	365	13.54%
PROVISIONAL	2,695	2	2	0.07%
Total	2,695	2,186	2,186	81.11%
0684 RESERVE				
EARLY VOTE	1,460	927	927	63.49%
ELECTION DAY	1,460	165	165	11.30%
PROVISIONAL	1,460	0	0	0.00%
Total	1,460	1,092	1,092	74.79%
0700 ROCKLEDGE				
EARLY VOTE	3,929	2,664	2,664	67.80%
ELECTION DAY	3,929	401	401	10.21%
PROVISIONAL	3,929	4	4	0.10%
Total	3,929	3,069	3,069	78.11%
0701 ROCKWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	1,418	696	696	49.08%
ELECTION DAY	1,418	194	194	13.68%
PROVISIONAL	1,418	2	2	0.14%
Total	1,418	892	892	62.91%
0702 ROESER				
EARLY VOTE	4,778	1,899	1,899	39.74%
ELECTION DAY	4,778	304	304	6.36%
PROVISIONAL	4,778	4	4	0.08%
Total	4,778	2,207	2,207	46.19%
0710 ROVEY				
EARLY VOTE	1,721	1,103	1,103	64.09%
ELECTION DAY	1,721	185	185	10.75%
PROVISIONAL	1,721	2	2	0.12%
Total	1,721	1,290	1,290	74.96%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0712 RUBY				
EARLY VOTE	3,533	1,917	1,917	54.26%
ELECTION DAY	3,533	352	352	9.96%
PROVISIONAL	3,533	3	3	0.08%
Total	3,533	2,272	2,272	64.31%
0729 SANDIA				
EARLY VOTE	2,826	1,827	1,827	64.65%
ELECTION DAY	2,826	259	259	9.16%
PROVISIONAL	2,826	2	2	0.07%
Total	2,826	2,088	2,088	73.89%
0730 SANDPIPER				
EARLY VOTE	2,595	1,642	1,642	63.28%
ELECTION DAY	2,595	327	327	12.60%
PROVISIONAL	2,595	0	0	0.00%
Total	2,595	1,969	1,969	75.88%
0755 SIERRA PASS				
EARLY VOTE	4,704	3,215	3,215	68.35%
ELECTION DAY	4,704	421	421	8.95%
PROVISIONAL	4,704	5	5	0.11%
Total	4,704	3,641	3,641	77.40%
0757 SIERRA VISTA				
EARLY VOTE	2,946	1,271	1,271	43.14%
ELECTION DAY	2,946	216	216	7.33%
PROVISIONAL	2,946	6	6	0.20%
Total	2,946	1,493	1,493	50.68%
0758 SIESTA				
EARLY VOTE	1,482	845	845	57.02%
ELECTION DAY	1,482	168	168	11.34%
PROVISIONAL	1,482	1	1	0.07%
Total	1,482	1,014	1,014	68.42%
0761 SILVERADO				
EARLY VOTE	4,891	2,002	2,002	40.93%
ELECTION DAY	4,891	370	370	7.56%
PROVISIONAL	4,891	15	15	0.31%
Total	4,891	2,387	2,387	48.80%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0762 SIMIS				
EARLY VOTE	3,328	2,265	2,265	68.06%
ELECTION DAY	3,328	284	284	8.53%
PROVISIONAL	3,328	5	5	0.15%
Total	3,328	2,554	2,554	76.74%
0765 SLEEPY RANCH				
EARLY VOTE	2,884	1,754	1,754	60.82%
ELECTION DAY	2,884	317	317	10.99%
PROVISIONAL	2,884	2	2	0.07%
Total	2,884	2,073	2,073	71.88%
0766 SOLANO				
EARLY VOTE	1,419	986	986	69.49%
ELECTION DAY	1,419	118	118	8.32%
PROVISIONAL	1,419	0	0	0.00%
Total	1,419	1,104	1,104	77.80%
0767 SOLCITO				
EARLY VOTE	1,328	970	970	73.04%
ELECTION DAY	1,328	134	134	10.09%
PROVISIONAL	1,328	1	1	0.08%
Total	1,328	1,105	1,105	83.21%
0771 SOUTH MTN HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	2,738	911	911	33.27%
ELECTION DAY	2,738	165	165	6.03%
PROVISIONAL	2,738	14	14	0.51%
Total	2,738	1,090	1,090	39.81%
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK				
EARLY VOTE	292	199	199	68.15%
ELECTION DAY	292	32	32	10.96%
PROVISIONAL	292	0	0	0.00%
Total	292	231	231	79.11%
0773 SOUTH MTN PARK EAST				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0774 SOUTH MTN PARK NE				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0775 SOUTH MTN PARK SE				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0776 SOUTH MTN PARK SOUTH				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0777 SOUTH MTN PARK SW				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0778 SOUTHERN				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0785 ST FRANCIS				
EARLY VOTE	1,834	990	990	53.98%
ELECTION DAY	1,834	208	208	11.34%
PROVISIONAL	1,834	2	2	0.11%
Total	1,834	1,200	1,200	65.43%
0794 SUMMERSIDE				
EARLY VOTE	1,821	1,016	1,016	55.79%
ELECTION DAY	1,821	192	192	10.54%
PROVISIONAL	1,821	7	7	0.38%
Total	1,821	1,215	1,215	66.72%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0812 SUNNYSIDE				
EARLY VOTE	1,183	749	749	63.31%
ELECTION DAY	1,183	128	128	10.82%
PROVISIONAL	1,183	1	1	0.08%
Total	1,183	878	878	74.22%
0828 TATUM				
EARLY VOTE	3,175	2,120	2,120	66.77%
ELECTION DAY	3,175	360	360	11.34%
PROVISIONAL	3,175	2	2	0.06%
Total	3,175	2,482	2,482	78.17%
0830 TESSERA				
EARLY VOTE	729	285	285	39.09%
ELECTION DAY	729	95	95	13.03%
PROVISIONAL	729	8	8	1.10%
Total	729	388	388	53.22%
0831 THOMAS				
EARLY VOTE	824	497	497	60.32%
ELECTION DAY	824	92	92	11.17%
PROVISIONAL	824	0	0	0.00%
Total	824	589	589	71.48%
0832 THUNDERHILL				
EARLY VOTE	3,645	2,379	2,379	65.27%
ELECTION DAY	3,645	402	402	11.03%
PROVISIONAL	3,645	0	0	0.00%
Total	3,645	2,781	2,781	76.30%
0841 TOPEKA				
EARLY VOTE	1,789	1,128	1,128	63.05%
ELECTION DAY	1,789	162	162	9.06%
PROVISIONAL	1,789	1	1	0.06%
Total	1,789	1,291	1,291	72.16%
0847 TRAMONTO				
EARLY VOTE	3,739	2,094	2,094	56.00%
ELECTION DAY	3,739	465	465	12.44%
PROVISIONAL	3,739	2	2	0.05%
Total	3,739	2,561	2,561	68.49%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0856 TURNEY				
EARLY VOTE	3,895	2,232	2,232	57.30%
ELECTION DAY	3,895	459	459	11.78%
PROVISIONAL	3,895	10	10	0.26%
Total	3,895	2,701	2,701	69.35%
0860 UNO				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	N/A
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	N/A
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	N/A
Total	0	0	0	N/A
0864 VAN BUREN				
EARLY VOTE	576	158	158	27.43%
ELECTION DAY	576	25	25	4.34%
PROVISIONAL	576	1	1	0.17%
Total	576	184	184	31.94%
0874 VILLA RITA				
EARLY VOTE	2,227	1,288	1,288	57.84%
ELECTION DAY	2,227	248	248	11.14%
PROVISIONAL	2,227	4	4	0.18%
Total	2,227	1,540	1,540	69.15%
0875 VINEYARD				
EARLY VOTE	3,416	1,751	1,751	51.26%
ELECTION DAY	3,416	284	284	8.31%
PROVISIONAL	3,416	6	6	0.18%
Total	3,416	2,041	2,041	59.75%
0896 WESTERN STAR				
EARLY VOTE	3,064	1,825	1,825	59.56%
ELECTION DAY	3,064	288	288	9.40%
PROVISIONAL	3,064	3	3	0.10%
Total	3,064	2,116	2,116	69.06%
0899 WESTWARD HO				
EARLY VOTE	2,075	975	975	46.99%
ELECTION DAY	2,075	274	274	13.20%
PROVISIONAL	2,075	3	3	0.14%
Total	2,075	1,252	1,252	60.34%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0905 WHITTIER				
EARLY VOTE	1,638	868	868	52.99%
ELECTION DAY	1,638	98	98	5.98%
PROVISIONAL	1,638	1	1	0.06%
Total	1,638	967	967	59.04%
0912 WIKIEUP				
EARLY VOTE	1,706	876	876	51.35%
ELECTION DAY	1,706	253	253	14.83%
PROVISIONAL	1,706	3	3	0.18%
Total	1,706	1,132	1,132	66.35%
0913 WILDER				
EARLY VOTE	3,140	2,326	2,326	74.08%
ELECTION DAY	3,140	262	262	8.34%
PROVISIONAL	3,140	4	4	0.13%
Total	3,140	2,592	2,592	82.55%
0915 WILDWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	2,325	1,456	1,456	62.62%
ELECTION DAY	2,325	253	253	10.88%
PROVISIONAL	2,325	4	4	0.17%
Total	2,325	1,713	1,713	73.68%
0920 WILSHIRE				
EARLY VOTE	2,155	1,616	1,616	74.99%
ELECTION DAY	2,155	156	156	7.24%
PROVISIONAL	2,155	1	1	0.05%
Total	2,155	1,773	1,773	82.27%
0921 WINDMERE				
EARLY VOTE	4,338	2,279	2,279	52.54%
ELECTION DAY	4,338	456	456	10.51%
PROVISIONAL	4,338	2	2	0.05%
Total	4,338	2,737	2,737	63.09%
0928 XAVIER				
EARLY VOTE	2,500	1,201	1,201	48.04%
ELECTION DAY	2,500	333	333	13.32%
PROVISIONAL	2,500	2	2	0.08%
Total	2,500	1,536	1,536	61.44%

Precinct	Registered Voters	Cards Cast	Voters Cast	% Turnout
0929 YALE				
EARLY VOTE	1,676	719	719	42.90%
ELECTION DAY	1,676	162	162	9.67%
PROVISIONAL	1,676	2	2	0.12%
Total	1,676	883	883	52.68%
Election Wide - Total	414,174	266,674	266,674	64.39%
County - Total	414,174	266,674	266,674	64.39%
EARLY VOTE	414,174	226,640	226,640	54.72%
ELECTION DAY	414,174	39,447	39,447	9.52%
PROVISIONAL	414,174	587	587	0.14%

Phoenix Dist 2-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
County				
Election Wide				
0023 ANNETTE				
EARLY VOTE	1,869	3,246	529	26
ELECTION DAY	318	3,246	121	2
PROVISIONAL	2	3,246	2	0
Total	2,189	3,246	652	28
0039 ASTER				
EARLY VOTE	1,425	2,153	463	19
ELECTION DAY	279	2,153	86	4
PROVISIONAL	2	2,153	0	0
Total	1,706	2,153	549	23
0043 AVIANO				
EARLY VOTE	2,641	3,983	868	27
ELECTION DAY	365	3,983	133	5
PROVISIONAL	1	3,983	1	0
Total	3,007	3,983	1,002	32
0070 BLUEFIELD				
EARLY VOTE	877	1,660	215	18
ELECTION DAY	209	1,660	66	5
PROVISIONAL	2	1,660	1	0
Total	1,088	1,660	282	23
0075 BOULDER MOUNTAIN				
EARLY VOTE	2,145	2,972	658	31
ELECTION DAY	362	2,972	127	3
PROVISIONAL	1	2,972	1	0
Total	2,508	2,972	786	34

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
County				
Election Wide				
0023 ANNETTE				
EARLY VOTE	222	16.89%	451	34.32%
ELECTION DAY	37	18.97%	38	19.49%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	259	17.16%	489	32.41%
0039 ASTER				
EARLY VOTE	125	13.26%	270	28.63%
ELECTION DAY	29	15.34%	24	12.70%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	154	13.58%	295	26.01%
0043 AVIANO				
EARLY VOTE	248	14.20%	576	32.99%
ELECTION DAY	56	24.67%	30	13.22%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	304	15.41%	606	30.71%
0070 BLUEFIELD				
EARLY VOTE	114	17.70%	208	32.30%
ELECTION DAY	31	22.46%	27	19.57%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	146	18.65%	235	30.01%
0075 BOULDER MOUNTAIN				
EARLY VOTE	169	11.61%	471	32.35%
ELECTION DAY	30	12.93%	21	9.05%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	199	11.79%	492	29.15%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
County					
Election Wide					
0023 ANNETTE					
EARLY VOTE	640	48.71%	1	0.08%	1,314
ELECTION DAY	118	60.51%	2	1.03%	195
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	758	50.23%	3	0.20%	1,509
0039 ASTER					
EARLY VOTE	543	57.58%	5	0.53%	943
ELECTION DAY	134	70.90%	2	1.06%	189
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	678	59.79%	7	0.62%	1,134
0043 AVIANO					
EARLY VOTE	922	52.81%	0	0.00%	1,746
ELECTION DAY	140	61.67%	1	0.44%	227
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	1,062	53.83%	1	0.05%	1,973
0070 BLUEFIELD					
EARLY VOTE	317	49.22%	5	0.78%	644
ELECTION DAY	79	57.25%	1	0.72%	138
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	396	50.57%	6	0.77%	783
0075 BOULDER MOUNTAIN					
EARLY VOTE	814	55.91%	2	0.14%	1,456
ELECTION DAY	181	78.02%	0	0.00%	232
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	995	58.95%	2	0.12%	1,688

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0082 BUFFALO RIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	2,166	3,842	668	21
ELECTION DAY	418	3,842	161	10
PROVISIONAL	2	3,842	1	0
Total	2,586	3,842	830	31
0115 CAVE BUTTES				
EARLY VOTE	1,349	2,247	466	10
ELECTION DAY	270	2,247	93	6
PROVISIONAL	5	2,247	3	0
Total	1,624	2,247	562	16
0130 CIELO GRANDE				
EARLY VOTE	1,463	2,743	447	21
ELECTION DAY	300	2,743	120	1
PROVISIONAL	4	2,743	3	0
Total	1,767	2,743	570	22
0136 CLEARVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	2,222	3,382	704	23
ELECTION DAY	332	3,382	137	4
PROVISIONAL	5	3,382	4	0
Total	2,559	3,382	845	27
0157 CONTENTION MINE				
EARLY VOTE	840	1,597	236	19
ELECTION DAY	154	1,597	58	1
PROVISIONAL	0	1,597	0	0
Total	994	1,597	294	20
0158 COPPER				
EARLY VOTE	1,778	2,652	537	29
ELECTION DAY	291	2,652	106	3
PROVISIONAL	5	2,652	2	0
Total	2,074	2,652	645	32

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0082 BUFFALO RIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	268	18.14%	464	31.42%
ELECTION DAY	49	19.84%	45	18.22%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	317	18.38%	510	29.57%
0115 CAVE BUTTES				
EARLY VOTE	129	14.78%	265	30.36%
ELECTION DAY	32	18.71%	17	9.94%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	161	15.39%	283	27.06%
0130 CIELO GRANDE				
EARLY VOTE	202	20.30%	321	32.26%
ELECTION DAY	31	17.32%	35	19.55%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	234	19.91%	356	30.30%
0136 CLEARVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	266	17.79%	482	32.24%
ELECTION DAY	41	21.47%	28	14.66%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	307	18.20%	510	30.23%
0157 CONTENTION MINE				
EARLY VOTE	131	22.39%	168	28.72%
ELECTION DAY	19	20.00%	17	17.89%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	150	22.06%	185	27.21%
0158 COPPER				
EARLY VOTE	201	16.58%	369	30.45%
ELECTION DAY	42	23.08%	26	14.29%
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Total	244	17.47%	396	28.35%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0082 BUFFALO RIDGE					
EARLY VOTE	741	50.17%	4	0.27%	1,477
ELECTION DAY	153	61.94%	0	0.00%	247
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	894	51.83%	4	0.23%	1,725
0115 CAVE BUTTES					
EARLY VOTE	477	54.64%	2	0.23%	873
ELECTION DAY	122	71.35%	0	0.00%	171
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	600	57.36%	2	0.19%	1,046
0130 CIELO GRANDE					
EARLY VOTE	471	47.34%	1	0.10%	995
ELECTION DAY	111	62.01%	2	1.12%	179
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	582	49.53%	3	0.26%	1,175
0136 CLEARVIEW					
EARLY VOTE	745	49.83%	2	0.13%	1,495
ELECTION DAY	121	63.35%	1	0.52%	191
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	867	51.39%	3	0.18%	1,687
0157 CONTENTION MINE					
EARLY VOTE	285	48.72%	1	0.17%	585
ELECTION DAY	58	61.05%	1	1.05%	95
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	343	50.44%	2	0.29%	680
0158 COPPER					
EARLY VOTE	642	52.97%	0	0.00%	1,212
ELECTION DAY	112	61.54%	2	1.10%	182
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%	3
Total	754	53.97%	3	0.21%	1,397

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0178 COYOTE BASIN				
EARLY VOTE	1,720	2,611	548	11
ELECTION DAY	279	2,611	87	3
PROVISIONAL	2	2,611	1	0
Total	2,001	2,611	636	14
0201 DEER VALLEY				
EARLY VOTE	6	9	1	0
ELECTION DAY	0	9	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	9	0	0
Total	6	9	1	0
0227 DIXILETA				
EARLY VOTE	4,246	6,442	1,196	43
ELECTION DAY	754	6,442	255	12
PROVISIONAL	0	6,442	0	0
Total	5,000	6,442	1,451	55
0234 DOVE VALLEY				
EARLY VOTE	1,379	2,624	419	18
ELECTION DAY	349	2,624	129	6
PROVISIONAL	3	2,624	3	0
Total	1,731	2,624	551	24
0246 DYNAMITE				
EARLY VOTE	2,250	3,393	648	24
ELECTION DAY	420	3,393	122	4
PROVISIONAL	3	3,393	2	0
Total	2,673	3,393	772	28
0269 ESCUDA				
EARLY VOTE	704	1,479	173	15
ELECTION DAY	139	1,479	39	2
PROVISIONAL	1	1,479	0	1
Total	844	1,479	212	18

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0178 COYOTE BASIN				
EARLY VOTE	162	13.95%	480	41.34%
ELECTION DAY	31	16.40%	31	16.40%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	193	14.29%	511	37.82%
0201 DEER VALLEY				
EARLY VOTE	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
0227 DIXILETA				
EARLY VOTE	397	13.20%	917	30.50%
ELECTION DAY	77	15.81%	59	12.11%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	474	13.57%	976	27.93%
0234 DOVE VALLEY				
EARLY VOTE	156	16.56%	306	32.48%
ELECTION DAY	43	20.09%	20	9.35%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	199	17.21%	326	28.20%
0246 DYNAMITE				
EARLY VOTE	210	13.31%	499	31.62%
ELECTION DAY	37	12.59%	26	8.84%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	248	13.24%	525	28.03%
0269 ESCUDA				
EARLY VOTE	94	18.22%	153	29.65%
ELECTION DAY	22	22.45%	11	11.22%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	116	18.89%	164	26.71%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0178 COYOTE BASIN					
EARLY VOTE	517	44.53%	2	0.17%	1,161
ELECTION DAY	126	66.67%	1	0.53%	189
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	644	47.67%	3	0.22%	1,351
0201 DEER VALLEY					
EARLY VOTE	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	5
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	5
0227 DIXILETA					
EARLY VOTE	1,688	56.14%	5	0.17%	3,007
ELECTION DAY	348	71.46%	3	0.62%	487
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	2,036	58.27%	8	0.23%	3,494
0234 DOVE VALLEY					
EARLY VOTE	476	50.53%	4	0.42%	942
ELECTION DAY	150	70.09%	1	0.47%	214
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	626	54.15%	5	0.43%	1,156
0246 DYNAMITE					
EARLY VOTE	868	55.01%	1	0.06%	1,578
ELECTION DAY	231	78.57%	0	0.00%	294
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	1,099	58.68%	1	0.05%	1,873
0269 ESCUDA					
EARLY VOTE	269	52.13%	0	0.00%	516
ELECTION DAY	64	65.31%	1	1.02%	98
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	333	54.23%	1	0.16%	614

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0273 EVANS				
EARLY VOTE	1,031	1,530	274	16
ELECTION DAY	160	1,530	56	3
PROVISIONAL	1	1,530	1	0
Total	1,192	1,530	331	19
0312 GIDIYUP				
EARLY VOTE	2,087	4,124	624	40
ELECTION DAY	495	4,124	184	6
PROVISIONAL	4	4,124	3	0
Total	2,586	4,124	811	46
0341 GROVERS				
EARLY VOTE	713	1,835	186	24
ELECTION DAY	201	1,835	69	3
PROVISIONAL	4	1,835	1	0
Total	918	1,835	256	27
0372 HILLERY				
EARLY VOTE	1,028	1,464	295	17
ELECTION DAY	138	1,464	49	1
PROVISIONAL	0	1,464	0	0
Total	1,166	1,464	344	18
0373 HILLVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	2,137	3,228	565	21
ELECTION DAY	346	3,228	98	9
PROVISIONAL	2	3,228	1	0
Total	2,485	3,228	664	30
0386 HORIZON				
EARLY VOTE	3,109	4,866	1,091	24
ELECTION DAY	580	4,866	228	5
PROVISIONAL	5	4,866	2	0
Total	3,694	4,866	1,321	29

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0273 EVANS				
EARLY VOTE	124	16.73%	267	36.03%
ELECTION DAY	12	11.88%	21	20.79%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	136	16.15%	288	34.20%
0312 GIDIYUP				
EARLY VOTE	276	19.40%	482	33.87%
ELECTION DAY	83	27.21%	43	14.10%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	359	20.76%	525	30.36%
0341 GROVERS				
EARLY VOTE	111	22.07%	173	34.39%
ELECTION DAY	32	24.81%	34	26.36%
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
Total	144	22.68%	209	32.91%
0372 HILLERY				
EARLY VOTE	112	15.64%	194	27.09%
ELECTION DAY	15	17.05%	9	10.23%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	127	15.80%	203	25.25%
0373 HILLVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	227	14.64%	434	27.98%
ELECTION DAY	34	14.23%	35	14.64%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	261	14.57%	469	26.19%
0386 HORIZON				
EARLY VOTE	289	14.49%	584	29.29%
ELECTION DAY	57	16.43%	65	18.73%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
Total	346	14.76%	650	27.73%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0273 EVANS					
EARLY VOTE	348	46.96%	2	0.27%	741
ELECTION DAY	66	65.35%	2	1.98%	101
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	414	49.17%	4	0.48%	842
0312 GIDIYUP					
EARLY VOTE	660	46.38%	5	0.35%	1,423
ELECTION DAY	178	58.36%	1	0.33%	305
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	839	48.53%	6	0.35%	1,729
0341 GROVERS					
EARLY VOTE	218	43.34%	1	0.20%	503
ELECTION DAY	62	48.06%	1	0.78%	129
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
Total	280	44.09%	2	0.31%	635
0372 HILLERY					
EARLY VOTE	405	56.56%	5	0.70%	716
ELECTION DAY	64	72.73%	0	0.00%	88
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	469	58.33%	5	0.62%	804
0373 HILLVIEW					
EARLY VOTE	883	56.93%	7	0.45%	1,551
ELECTION DAY	170	71.13%	0	0.00%	239
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	1,054	58.85%	7	0.39%	1,791
0386 HORIZON					
EARLY VOTE	1,118	56.07%	3	0.15%	1,994
ELECTION DAY	222	63.98%	3	0.86%	347
PROVISIONAL	2	66.67%	0	0.00%	3
Total	1,342	57.25%	6	0.26%	2,344

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0399 JACKRABBIT				
EARLY VOTE	2,111	3,374	606	28
ELECTION DAY	361	3,374	142	2
PROVISIONAL	2	3,374	0	0
Total	2,474	3,374	748	30
0400 JANICE				
EARLY VOTE	1,232	2,368	352	14
ELECTION DAY	278	2,368	98	1
PROVISIONAL	4	2,368	2	0
Total	1,514	2,368	452	15
0413 JUSTINE				
EARLY VOTE	1,923	2,767	533	26
ELECTION DAY	260	2,767	72	2
PROVISIONAL	1	2,767	1	0
Total	2,184	2,767	606	28
0457 LOLA				
EARLY VOTE	1,671	2,992	471	22
ELECTION DAY	332	2,992	120	6
PROVISIONAL	7	2,992	3	0
Total	2,010	2,992	594	28
0461 LONE MOUNTAIN				
EARLY VOTE	599	777	228	8
ELECTION DAY	70	777	25	0
PROVISIONAL	0	777	0	0
Total	669	777	253	8
0536 MOUNTAIN GATE				
EARLY VOTE	1,830	2,949	585	30
ELECTION DAY	296	2,949	115	3
PROVISIONAL	1	2,949	1	0
Total	2,127	2,949	701	33

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0399 JACKRABBIT				
EARLY VOTE	216	14.62%	442	29.93%
ELECTION DAY	44	20.28%	33	15.21%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	260	15.33%	475	28.01%
0400 JANICE				
EARLY VOTE	201	23.21%	327	37.76%
ELECTION DAY	60	33.52%	31	17.32%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	261	24.93%	359	34.29%
0413 JUSTINE				
EARLY VOTE	177	12.98%	383	28.08%
ELECTION DAY	36	19.35%	22	11.83%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	213	13.74%	405	26.13%
0457 LOLA				
EARLY VOTE	251	21.31%	381	32.34%
ELECTION DAY	68	33.01%	33	16.02%
PROVISIONAL	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	323	23.27%	414	29.83%
0461 LONE MOUNTAIN				
EARLY VOTE	35	9.64%	132	36.36%
ELECTION DAY	4	8.89%	7	15.56%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	39	9.56%	139	34.07%
0536 MOUNTAIN GATE				
EARLY VOTE	235	19.34%	414	34.07%
ELECTION DAY	29	16.29%	13	7.30%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	264	18.95%	427	30.65%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0399 JACKRABBIT					
EARLY VOTE	814	55.11%	5	0.34%	1,477
ELECTION DAY	139	64.06%	1	0.46%	217
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	955	56.31%	6	0.35%	1,696
0400 JANICE					
EARLY VOTE	338	39.03%	0	0.00%	866
ELECTION DAY	87	48.60%	1	0.56%	179
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	426	40.69%	1	0.10%	1,047
0413 JUSTINE					
EARLY VOTE	799	58.58%	5	0.37%	1,364
ELECTION DAY	127	68.28%	1	0.54%	186
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	926	59.74%	6	0.39%	1,550
0457 LOLA					
EARLY VOTE	544	46.18%	2	0.17%	1,178
ELECTION DAY	101	49.03%	4	1.94%	206
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	645	46.47%	6	0.43%	1,388
0461 LONE MOUNTAIN					
EARLY VOTE	194	53.44%	2	0.55%	363
ELECTION DAY	34	75.56%	0	0.00%	45
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	228	55.88%	2	0.49%	408
0536 MOUNTAIN GATE					
EARLY VOTE	565	46.50%	1	0.08%	1,215
ELECTION DAY	136	76.40%	0	0.00%	178
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	701	50.32%	1	0.07%	1,393

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0550 NORTERRA				
EARLY VOTE	2,487	4,147	814	34
ELECTION DAY	450	4,147	175	5
PROVISIONAL	3	4,147	0	0
Total	2,940	4,147	989	39
0567 NVP 20				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0570 NVP 23				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0590 ORANGE TREE				
EARLY VOTE	876	1,333	335	13
ELECTION DAY	142	1,333	43	5
PROVISIONAL	0	1,333	0	0
Total	1,018	1,333	378	18
0613 PALOMINO				
EARLY VOTE	1,685	4,040	429	19
ELECTION DAY	360	4,040	118	3
PROVISIONAL	9	4,040	2	1
Total	2,054	4,040	549	23
0617 PARADISE PARK				
EARLY VOTE	1,310	2,564	356	9
ELECTION DAY	336	2,564	107	2
PROVISIONAL	5	2,564	4	0
Total	1,651	2,564	467	11

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0550 NORTERRA				
EARLY VOTE	259	15.80%	540	32.95%
ELECTION DAY	52	19.26%	37	13.70%
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Total	312	16.32%	578	30.23%
0567 NVP 20				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0570 NVP 23				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0590 ORANGE TREE				
EARLY VOTE	72	13.64%	150	28.41%
ELECTION DAY	16	17.02%	5	5.32%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	88	14.15%	155	24.92%
0613 PALOMINO				
EARLY VOTE	322	26.03%	393	31.77%
ELECTION DAY	72	30.13%	43	17.99%
PROVISIONAL	2	33.33%	1	16.67%
Total	396	26.72%	437	29.49%
0617 PARADISE PARK				
EARLY VOTE	192	20.32%	317	33.54%
ELECTION DAY	42	18.50%	29	12.78%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	235	20.03%	346	29.50%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0550 NORTERRA					
EARLY VOTE	833	50.82%	7	0.43%	1,639
ELECTION DAY	180	66.67%	1	0.37%	270
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	3
Total	1,014	53.03%	8	0.42%	1,912
0567 NVP 20					
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	0		0		0
0570 NVP 23					
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	0		0		0
0590 ORANGE TREE					
EARLY VOTE	305	57.77%	1	0.19%	528
ELECTION DAY	72	76.60%	1	1.06%	94
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	377	60.61%	2	0.32%	622
0613 PALOMINO					
EARLY VOTE	517	41.79%	5	0.40%	1,237
ELECTION DAY	121	50.63%	3	1.26%	239
PROVISIONAL	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	6
Total	641	43.25%	8	0.54%	1,482
0617 PARADISE PARK					
EARLY VOTE	430	45.50%	6	0.63%	945
ELECTION DAY	156	68.72%	0	0.00%	227
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	586	49.96%	6	0.51%	1,173

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0641 PILLAR				
EARLY VOTE	1,408	3,096	513	19
ELECTION DAY	472	3,096	218	4
PROVISIONAL	5	3,096	3	1
Total	1,885	3,096	734	24
0667 QUAIL RUN				
EARLY VOTE	2,053	3,202	538	25
ELECTION DAY	286	3,202	112	2
PROVISIONAL	2	3,202	2	0
Total	2,341	3,202	652	27
0674 RANCHO PALOMA				
EARLY VOTE	1,819	2,695	563	33
ELECTION DAY	365	2,695	117	4
PROVISIONAL	2	2,695	1	0
Total	2,186	2,695	681	37
0701 ROCKWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	696	1,418	177	10
ELECTION DAY	194	1,418	72	6
PROVISIONAL	2	1,418	1	0
Total	892	1,418	250	16
0730 SANDPIPER				
EARLY VOTE	1,642	2,595	500	24
ELECTION DAY	327	2,595	114	1
PROVISIONAL	0	2,595	0	0
Total	1,969	2,595	614	25
0755 SIERRA PASS				
EARLY VOTE	3,215	4,704	1,000	36
ELECTION DAY	421	4,704	163	6
PROVISIONAL	5	4,704	3	0
Total	3,641	4,704	1,166	42

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0641 PILLAR				
EARLY VOTE	177	20.21%	353	40.30%
ELECTION DAY	79	31.60%	38	15.20%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	256	22.72%	391	34.69%
0667 QUAIL RUN				
EARLY VOTE	271	18.19%	481	32.28%
ELECTION DAY	34	19.77%	22	12.79%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	305	18.35%	503	30.26%
0674 RANCHO PALOMA				
EARLY VOTE	173	14.15%	349	28.54%
ELECTION DAY	46	18.85%	26	10.66%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	219	14.92%	376	25.61%
0701 ROCKWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	132	25.93%	161	31.63%
ELECTION DAY	24	20.69%	25	21.55%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	156	24.92%	186	29.71%
0730 SANDPIPER				
EARLY VOTE	181	16.19%	311	27.82%
ELECTION DAY	40	18.87%	22	10.38%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	221	16.62%	333	25.04%
0755 SIERRA PASS				
EARLY VOTE	337	15.47%	787	36.12%
ELECTION DAY	50	19.84%	42	16.67%
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	388	15.95%	829	34.07%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0641 PILLAR					
EARLY VOTE	344	39.27%	2	0.23%	876
ELECTION DAY	132	52.80%	1	0.40%	250
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	477	42.32%	3	0.27%	1,127
0667 QUAIL RUN					
EARLY VOTE	737	49.46%	1	0.07%	1,490
ELECTION DAY	115	66.86%	1	0.58%	172
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	852	51.26%	2	0.12%	1,662
0674 RANCHO PALOMA					
EARLY VOTE	700	57.24%	1	0.08%	1,223
ELECTION DAY	172	70.49%	0	0.00%	244
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	872	59.40%	1	0.07%	1,468
0701 ROCKWOOD					
EARLY VOTE	216	42.44%	0	0.00%	509
ELECTION DAY	67	57.76%	0	0.00%	116
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	284	45.37%	0	0.00%	626
0730 SANDPIPER					
EARLY VOTE	626	55.99%	0	0.00%	1,118
ELECTION DAY	149	70.28%	1	0.47%	212
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	775	58.27%	1	0.08%	1,330
0755 SIERRA PASS					
EARLY VOTE	1,054	48.37%	1	0.05%	2,179
ELECTION DAY	160	63.49%	0	0.00%	252
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	2
Total	1,214	49.90%	2	0.08%	2,433

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0758 SIESTA				
EARLY VOTE	845	1,482	234	10
ELECTION DAY	168	1,482	56	0
PROVISIONAL	1	1,482	0	0
Total	1,014	1,482	290	10
0765 SLEEPY RANCH				
EARLY VOTE	1,754	2,884	522	21
ELECTION DAY	317	2,884	120	5
PROVISIONAL	2	2,884	2	0
Total	2,073	2,884	644	26
0812 SUNNYSIDE				
EARLY VOTE	749	1,183	190	18
ELECTION DAY	128	1,183	39	3
PROVISIONAL	1	1,183	1	0
Total	878	1,183	230	21
0828 TATUM				
EARLY VOTE	2,120	3,175	686	17
ELECTION DAY	360	3,175	163	1
PROVISIONAL	2	3,175	2	0
Total	2,482	3,175	851	18
0841 TOPEKA				
EARLY VOTE	1,128	1,789	337	16
ELECTION DAY	162	1,789	69	1
PROVISIONAL	1	1,789	0	0
Total	1,291	1,789	406	17
0847 TRAMONTO				
EARLY VOTE	2,094	3,739	663	35
ELECTION DAY	465	3,739	148	3
PROVISIONAL	2	3,739	0	0
Total	2,561	3,739	811	38

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0758 SIESTA				
EARLY VOTE	132	21.96%	156	25.96%
ELECTION DAY	11	9.82%	21	18.75%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	144	20.17%	177	24.79%
0765 SLEEPY RANCH				
EARLY VOTE	198	16.35%	428	35.34%
ELECTION DAY	47	24.48%	24	12.50%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	245	17.46%	452	32.22%
0812 SUNNYSIDE				
EARLY VOTE	106	19.59%	151	27.91%
ELECTION DAY	21	24.42%	11	12.79%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	127	20.26%	162	25.84%
0828 TATUM				
EARLY VOTE	204	14.40%	487	34.37%
ELECTION DAY	53	27.04%	25	12.76%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	257	15.93%	512	31.74%
0841 TOPEKA				
EARLY VOTE	143	18.45%	274	35.35%
ELECTION DAY	16	17.39%	14	15.22%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	160	18.43%	288	33.18%
0847 TRAMONTO				
EARLY VOTE	229	16.40%	477	34.17%
ELECTION DAY	75	23.89%	26	8.28%
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	305	17.82%	503	29.38%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0758 SIESTA					
EARLY VOTE	313	52.08%	0	0.00%	601
ELECTION DAY	80	71.43%	0	0.00%	112
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	393	55.04%	0	0.00%	714
0765 SLEEPY RANCH					
EARLY VOTE	583	48.14%	2	0.17%	1,211
ELECTION DAY	120	62.50%	1	0.52%	192
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	703	50.11%	3	0.21%	1,403
0812 SUNNYSIDE					
EARLY VOTE	284	52.50%	0	0.00%	541
ELECTION DAY	54	62.79%	0	0.00%	86
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	338	53.91%	0	0.00%	627
0828 TATUM					
EARLY VOTE	724	51.09%	2	0.14%	1,417
ELECTION DAY	118	60.20%	0	0.00%	196
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	842	52.20%	2	0.12%	1,613
0841 TOPEKA					
EARLY VOTE	353	45.55%	5	0.65%	775
ELECTION DAY	61	66.30%	1	1.09%	92
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	414	47.70%	6	0.69%	868
0847 TRAMONTO					
EARLY VOTE	688	49.28%	2	0.14%	1,396
ELECTION DAY	213	67.83%	0	0.00%	314
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	902	52.69%	2	0.12%	1,712

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0874 VILLA RITA				
EARLY VOTE	1,288	2,227	443	14
ELECTION DAY	248	2,227	91	3
PROVISIONAL	4	2,227	2	0
Total	1,540	2,227	536	17
0912 WIKIEUP				
EARLY VOTE	876	1,706	253	12
ELECTION DAY	253	1,706	90	4
PROVISIONAL	3	1,706	2	0
Total	1,132	1,706	345	16
Election Wide - Total	90,924	127,288	28,314	1,163
County - Total	90,924	127,288	28,314	1,163

Precinct	EVANS, MATT (NON)		NIELSON, HELI (NON)	
0874 VILLA RITA				
EARLY VOTE	144	17.33%	320	38.51%
ELECTION DAY	25	16.23%	32	20.78%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
Total	169	17.12%	354	35.87%
0912 WIKIEUP				
EARLY VOTE	170	27.82%	174	28.48%
ELECTION DAY	49	30.82%	23	14.47%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	220	28.53%	197	25.55%
Election Wide - Total	10,645	17.32%	18,201	29.62%
County - Total	10,645	17.32%	18,201	29.62%

Precinct	WARING, JIM (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0874 VILLA RITA					
EARLY VOTE	366	44.04%	1	0.12%	831
ELECTION DAY	97	62.99%	0	0.00%	154
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	463	46.91%	1	0.10%	987
0912 WIKIEUP					
EARLY VOTE	267	43.70%	0	0.00%	611
ELECTION DAY	83	52.20%	4	2.52%	159
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	350	45.40%	4	0.52%	771
Election Wide - Total	32,444	52.80%	157	0.26%	61,447
County - Total	32,444	52.80%	157	0.26%	61,447

Phoenix Dist 4-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
County				
Election Wide				
0003 ACUNA				
EARLY VOTE	888	2,954	194	0
ELECTION DAY	175	2,954	46	0
PROVISIONAL	7	2,954	3	0
Total	1,070	2,954	243	0
0094 CAMBRIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	210	667	69	0
ELECTION DAY	62	667	26	0
PROVISIONAL	0	667	0	0
Total	272	667	95	0
0119 CENTRAL HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	1,422	2,771	487	0
ELECTION DAY	280	2,771	117	0
PROVISIONAL	5	2,771	3	0
Total	1,707	2,771	607	0
0148 COLONNADE				
EARLY VOTE	498	894	236	0
ELECTION DAY	81	894	44	0
PROVISIONAL	1	894	1	0
Total	580	894	281	0
0191 CULVER				
EARLY VOTE	560	1,937	140	0
ELECTION DAY	135	1,937	55	0
PROVISIONAL	9	1,937	4	0
Total	704	1,937	199	0

Precinct	PASTOR, LAURA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
County					
Election Wide					
0003 ACUNA					
EARLY VOTE	686	98.85%	8	1.15%	694
ELECTION DAY	128	99.22%	1	0.78%	129
PROVISIONAL	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	818	98.91%	9	1.09%	827
0094 CAMBRIDGE					
EARLY VOTE	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	141
ELECTION DAY	34	94.44%	2	5.56%	36
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	173	97.74%	4	2.26%	177
0119 CENTRAL HIGH					
EARLY VOTE	904	96.68%	31	3.32%	935
ELECTION DAY	158	96.93%	5	3.07%	163
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	1,064	96.73%	36	3.27%	1,100
0148 COLONNADE					
EARLY VOTE	255	97.33%	7	2.67%	262
ELECTION DAY	36	97.30%	1	2.70%	37
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	291	97.32%	8	2.68%	299
0191 CULVER					
EARLY VOTE	418	99.52%	2	0.48%	420
ELECTION DAY	77	96.25%	3	3.75%	80
PROVISIONAL	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Total	500	99.01%	5	0.99%	505

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0261 ENCANTO				
EARLY VOTE	1,967	2,856	546	0
ELECTION DAY	220	2,856	110	0
PROVISIONAL	2	2,856	0	0
Total	2,189	2,856	656	0
0328 GRANADA				
EARLY VOTE	1,090	3,287	338	1
ELECTION DAY	236	3,287	68	0
PROVISIONAL	3	3,287	1	0
Total	1,329	3,287	407	1
0360 HAYDEN HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	659	2,543	180	0
ELECTION DAY	134	2,543	42	0
PROVISIONAL	2	2,543	2	0
Total	795	2,543	224	0
0381 HOLMES				
EARLY VOTE	1,089	3,830	327	0
ELECTION DAY	237	3,830	81	0
PROVISIONAL	10	3,830	4	0
Total	1,336	3,830	412	0
0397 ISAAC				
EARLY VOTE	394	1,434	107	0
ELECTION DAY	96	1,434	32	0
PROVISIONAL	1	1,434	1	0
Total	491	1,434	140	0
0447 LEWIS				
EARLY VOTE	512	1,376	174	1
ELECTION DAY	87	1,376	27	0
PROVISIONAL	1	1,376	0	0
Total	600	1,376	201	1

Precinct	PASTOR, LAURA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0261 ENCANTO					
EARLY VOTE	1,385	97.47%	36	2.53%	1,421
ELECTION DAY	100	90.91%	10	9.09%	110
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	1,487	97.00%	46	3.00%	1,533
0328 GRANADA					
EARLY VOTE	742	98.80%	9	1.20%	751
ELECTION DAY	161	95.83%	7	4.17%	168
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	905	98.26%	16	1.74%	921
0360 HAYDEN HIGH					
EARLY VOTE	475	99.16%	4	0.84%	479
ELECTION DAY	90	97.83%	2	2.17%	92
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	565	98.95%	6	1.05%	571
0381 HOLMES					
EARLY VOTE	750	98.43%	12	1.57%	762
ELECTION DAY	147	94.23%	9	5.77%	156
PROVISIONAL	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Total	903	97.73%	21	2.27%	924
0397 ISAAC					
EARLY VOTE	276	96.17%	11	3.83%	287
ELECTION DAY	62	96.88%	2	3.13%	64
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	338	96.30%	13	3.70%	351
0447 LEWIS					
EARLY VOTE	332	98.52%	5	1.48%	337
ELECTION DAY	56	93.33%	4	6.67%	60
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	389	97.74%	9	2.26%	398

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0458 LOMA LINDA				
EARLY VOTE	1,313	2,529	454	0
ELECTION DAY	211	2,529	101	0
PROVISIONAL	2	2,529	0	0
Total	1,526	2,529	555	0
0473 LUKE				
EARLY VOTE	877	1,975	281	0
ELECTION DAY	174	1,975	80	0
PROVISIONAL	5	1,975	3	0
Total	1,056	1,975	364	0
0476 LYNWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	776	2,478	212	0
ELECTION DAY	168	2,478	48	0
PROVISIONAL	1	2,478	0	0
Total	945	2,478	260	0
0479 MADISON PARK				
EARLY VOTE	2,082	3,890	702	0
ELECTION DAY	385	3,890	180	0
PROVISIONAL	10	3,890	7	0
Total	2,477	3,890	889	0
0480 MADRID				
EARLY VOTE	848	2,948	243	1
ELECTION DAY	142	2,948	41	0
PROVISIONAL	8	2,948	1	0
Total	998	2,948	285	1
0487 MARBLE CREEK				
EARLY VOTE	59	310	21	0
ELECTION DAY	14	310	7	0
PROVISIONAL	2	310	1	0
Total	75	310	29	0

Precinct	PASTOR, LAURA (NON)	Write-in	Total Votes
0458 LOMA LINDA			
EARLY VOTE	838 97.56%	21 2.44%	859
ELECTION DAY	104 94.55%	6 5.45%	110
PROVISIONAL	2 100.00%	0 0.00%	2
Total	944 97.22%	27 2.78%	971
0473 LUKE			
EARLY VOTE	577 96.81%	19 3.19%	596
ELECTION DAY	89 94.68%	5 5.32%	94
PROVISIONAL	2 100.00%	0 0.00%	2
Total	668 96.53%	24 3.47%	692
0476 LYNWOOD			
EARLY VOTE	554 98.23%	10 1.77%	564
ELECTION DAY	114 95.00%	6 5.00%	120
PROVISIONAL	1 100.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	669 97.66%	16 2.34%	685
0479 MADISON PARK			
EARLY VOTE	1,340 97.10%	40 2.90%	1,380
ELECTION DAY	201 98.05%	4 1.95%	205
PROVISIONAL	3 100.00%	0 0.00%	3
Total	1,544 97.23%	44 2.77%	1,588
0480 MADRID			
EARLY VOTE	600 99.34%	4 0.66%	604
ELECTION DAY	97 96.04%	4 3.96%	101
PROVISIONAL	7 100.00%	0 0.00%	7
Total	704 98.88%	8 1.12%	712
0487 MARBLE CREEK			
EARLY VOTE	38 100.00%	0 0.00%	38
ELECTION DAY	7 100.00%	0 0.00%	7
PROVISIONAL	1 100.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	46 100.00%	0 0.00%	46

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0492 MARIVUE				
EARLY VOTE	1,200	4,026	362	1
ELECTION DAY	230	4,026	97	0
PROVISIONAL	9	4,026	2	0
Total	1,439	4,026	461	1
0503 MCDOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	681	1,007	235	0
ELECTION DAY	89	1,007	37	0
PROVISIONAL	2	1,007	0	0
Total	772	1,007	272	0
0507 MEADOWBROOK				
EARLY VOTE	258	570	103	0
ELECTION DAY	77	570	37	0
PROVISIONAL	3	570	1	0
Total	338	570	141	0
0540 MOYA				
EARLY VOTE	187	817	34	0
ELECTION DAY	47	817	11	0
PROVISIONAL	0	817	0	0
Total	234	817	45	0
0552 NORTH HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	1,794	2,731	570	0
ELECTION DAY	223	2,731	93	0
PROVISIONAL	3	2,731	1	0
Total	2,020	2,731	664	0
0594 OREGON				
EARLY VOTE	1,692	2,968	599	0
ELECTION DAY	257	2,968	131	0
PROVISIONAL	11	2,968	7	0
Total	1,960	2,968	737	0

Precinct	PASTOR, LAURA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0492 MARIVUE					
EARLY VOTE	826	98.69%	11	1.31%	837
ELECTION DAY	130	97.74%	3	2.26%	133
PROVISIONAL	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Total	963	98.57%	14	1.43%	977
0503 MCDOWELL					
EARLY VOTE	442	99.10%	4	0.90%	446
ELECTION DAY	51	98.08%	1	1.92%	52
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	495	99.00%	5	1.00%	500
0507 MEADOWBROOK					
EARLY VOTE	150	96.77%	5	3.23%	155
ELECTION DAY	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	192	97.46%	5	2.54%	197
0540 MOYA					
EARLY VOTE	151	98.69%	2	1.31%	153
ELECTION DAY	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	187	98.94%	2	1.06%	189
0552 NORTH HIGH					
EARLY VOTE	1,194	97.55%	30	2.45%	1,224
ELECTION DAY	124	95.38%	6	4.62%	130
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	1,320	97.35%	36	2.65%	1,356
0594 OREGON					
EARLY VOTE	1,064	97.35%	29	2.65%	1,093
ELECTION DAY	122	96.83%	4	3.17%	126
PROVISIONAL	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	1,190	97.30%	33	2.70%	1,223

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0615 PARADA				
EARLY VOTE	1,305	2,499	405	0
ELECTION DAY	220	2,499	100	0
PROVISIONAL	9	2,499	8	0
Total	1,534	2,499	513	0
0618 PARK CENTRAL				
EARLY VOTE	3,000	5,143	956	0
ELECTION DAY	470	5,143	228	0
PROVISIONAL	11	5,143	4	0
Total	3,481	5,143	1,188	0
0672 RANCHO				
EARLY VOTE	581	726	199	0
ELECTION DAY	46	726	16	0
PROVISIONAL	0	726	0	0
Total	627	726	215	0
0761 SILVERADO				
EARLY VOTE	2,002	4,891	623	0
ELECTION DAY	370	4,891	164	0
PROVISIONAL	15	4,891	5	0
Total	2,387	4,891	792	0
0766 SOLANO				
EARLY VOTE	543	827	194	0
ELECTION DAY	70	827	43	0
PROVISIONAL	0	827	0	0
Total	613	827	237	0
0856 TURNEY				
EARLY VOTE	2,232	3,895	836	0
ELECTION DAY	459	3,895	202	0
PROVISIONAL	10	3,895	5	0
Total	2,701	3,895	1,043	0

Precinct	PASTOR, LAURA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0615 PARADA					
EARLY VOTE	874	97.11%	26	2.89%	900
ELECTION DAY	115	95.83%	5	4.17%	120
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	990	96.96%	31	3.04%	1,021
0618 PARK CENTRAL					
EARLY VOTE	2,006	98.14%	38	1.86%	2,044
ELECTION DAY	237	97.93%	5	2.07%	242
PROVISIONAL	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Total	2,250	98.12%	43	1.88%	2,293
0672 RANCHO					
EARLY VOTE	366	95.81%	16	4.19%	382
ELECTION DAY	28	93.33%	2	6.67%	30
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	394	95.63%	18	4.37%	412
0761 SILVERADO					
EARLY VOTE	1,347	97.68%	32	2.32%	1,379
ELECTION DAY	199	96.60%	7	3.40%	206
PROVISIONAL	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Total	1,556	97.55%	39	2.45%	1,595
0766 SOLANO					
EARLY VOTE	338	96.85%	11	3.15%	349
ELECTION DAY	24	88.89%	3	11.11%	27
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	362	96.28%	14	3.72%	376
0856 TURNEY					
EARLY VOTE	1,350	96.70%	46	3.30%	1,396
ELECTION DAY	246	95.72%	11	4.28%	257
PROVISIONAL	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Total	1,601	96.56%	57	3.44%	1,658

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0905 WHITTIER				
EARLY VOTE	868	1,638	298	0
ELECTION DAY	98	1,638	31	0
PROVISIONAL	1	1,638	0	0
Total	967	1,638	329	0
0920 WILSHIRE				
EARLY VOTE	1,616	2,155	468	0
ELECTION DAY	156	2,155	70	0
PROVISIONAL	1	2,155	1	0
Total	1,773	2,155	539	0
0928 XAVIER				
EARLY VOTE	1,201	2,500	453	0
ELECTION DAY	333	2,500	168	0
PROVISIONAL	2	2,500	0	0
Total	1,536	2,500	621	0
Election Wide - Total	40,532	75,072	13,644	4
County - Total	40,532	75,072	13,644	4

Precinct	PASTOR, LAURA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0905 WHITTIER					
EARLY VOTE	557	97.72%	13	2.28%	570
ELECTION DAY	64	95.52%	3	4.48%	67
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	622	97.49%	16	2.51%	638
0920 WILSHIRE					
EARLY VOTE	1,117	97.30%	31	2.70%	1,148
ELECTION DAY	83	96.51%	3	3.49%	86
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0
Total	1,200	97.24%	34	2.76%	1,234
0928 XAVIER					
EARLY VOTE	731	97.73%	17	2.27%	748
ELECTION DAY	163	98.79%	2	1.21%	165
PROVISIONAL	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	896	97.92%	19	2.08%	915
Election Wide - Total	26,226	97.55%	658	2.45%	26,884
County - Total	26,226	97.55%	658	2.45%	26,884

Phoenix Dist 6-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
County				
Election Wide				
0009 AHWATUKEE				
EARLY VOTE	2,604	3,685	639	64
ELECTION DAY	309	3,685	102	11
PROVISIONAL	0	3,685	0	0
Total	2,913	3,685	741	75
0030 ARCADIA				
EARLY VOTE	1,943	2,946	572	28
ELECTION DAY	269	2,946	117	7
PROVISIONAL	1	2,946	1	0
Total	2,213	2,946	690	35
0057 BETHANY				
EARLY VOTE	1,177	1,613	364	18
ELECTION DAY	151	1,613	51	2
PROVISIONAL	2	1,613	0	0
Total	1,330	1,613	415	20
0060 BILTMORE				
EARLY VOTE	1,856	2,466	569	32
ELECTION DAY	208	2,466	78	1
PROVISIONAL	4	2,466	2	0
Total	2,068	2,466	649	33
0095 CAMELOT				
EARLY VOTE	3,229	4,843	823	76
ELECTION DAY	558	4,843	171	20
PROVISIONAL	2	4,843	1	0
Total	3,789	4,843	995	96

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
County				
Election Wide				
0009 AHWATUKEE				
EARLY VOTE	101	5.31%	424	22.30%
ELECTION DAY	12	6.12%	30	15.31%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	113	5.39%	454	21.65%
0030 ARCADIA				
EARLY VOTE	130	9.68%	164	12.21%
ELECTION DAY	22	15.17%	13	8.97%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	152	10.22%	177	11.90%
0057 BETHANY				
EARLY VOTE	64	8.05%	94	11.82%
ELECTION DAY	9	9.18%	6	6.12%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
Total	73	8.16%	102	11.40%
0060 BILTMORE				
EARLY VOTE	120	9.56%	171	13.63%
ELECTION DAY	21	16.28%	7	5.43%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	141	10.17%	179	12.91%
0095 CAMELOT				
EARLY VOTE	109	4.68%	360	15.45%
ELECTION DAY	19	5.18%	31	8.45%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	128	4.74%	391	14.49%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
County														
Election Wide														
0009 AHWATUKEE														
EARLY VOTE	134	7.05%	305	16.04%	355	18.67%	19	1.00%	264	13.89%	297	15.62%	2	0.11%
ELECTION DAY	10	5.10%	17	8.67%	45	22.96%	3	1.53%	65	33.16%	14	7.14%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	144	6.87%	322	15.36%	400	19.07%	22	1.05%	329	15.69%	311	14.83%	2	0.10%
0030 ARCADIA														
EARLY VOTE	193	14.37%	367	27.33%	135	10.05%	21	1.56%	180	13.40%	151	11.24%	2	0.15%
ELECTION DAY	15	10.34%	26	17.93%	19	13.10%	4	2.76%	35	24.14%	10	6.90%	1	0.69%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	208	13.98%	393	26.41%	154	10.35%	25	1.68%	215	14.45%	161	10.82%	3	0.20%
0057 BETHANY														
EARLY VOTE	114	14.34%	221	27.80%	72	9.06%	12	1.51%	145	18.24%	72	9.06%	1	0.13%
ELECTION DAY	12	12.24%	19	19.39%	16	16.33%	1	1.02%	32	32.65%	3	3.06%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	126	14.08%	240	26.82%	88	9.83%	13	1.45%	177	19.78%	75	8.38%	1	0.11%
0060 BILTMORE														
EARLY VOTE	130	10.36%	356	28.37%	153	12.19%	17	1.35%	232	18.49%	73	5.82%	3	0.24%
ELECTION DAY	3	2.33%	24	18.60%	23	17.83%	1	0.78%	45	34.88%	5	3.88%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	133	9.60%	380	27.42%	176	12.70%	18	1.30%	277	19.99%	79	5.70%	3	0.22%
0095 CAMELOT														
EARLY VOTE	170	7.30%	394	16.91%	611	26.22%	20	0.86%	413	17.73%	252	10.82%	1	0.04%
ELECTION DAY	15	4.09%	46	12.53%	141	38.42%	4	1.09%	91	24.80%	19	5.18%	1	0.27%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	185	6.86%	440	16.31%	752	27.87%	24	0.89%	505	18.72%	271	10.04%	2	0.07%

Precinct	Total Votes
County	
Election Wide	
0009 AHWATUKEE	
EARLY VOTE	1,901
ELECTION DAY	196
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	2,097
0030 ARCADIA	
EARLY VOTE	1,343
ELECTION DAY	145
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	1,488
0057 BETHANY	
EARLY VOTE	795
ELECTION DAY	98
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	895
0060 BILTMORE	
EARLY VOTE	1,255
ELECTION DAY	129
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	1,386
0095 CAMELOT	
EARLY VOTE	2,330
ELECTION DAY	367
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	2,698

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0131 CINCO				
EARLY VOTE	2	2	2	0
ELECTION DAY	0	2	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	2	0	0
Total	2	2	2	0
0132 CITRUS				
EARLY VOTE	1,537	2,378	448	16
ELECTION DAY	244	2,378	95	6
PROVISIONAL	12	2,378	5	0
Total	1,793	2,378	548	22
0138 CLIFFVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	1,219	1,785	361	15
ELECTION DAY	192	1,785	83	2
PROVISIONAL	2	1,785	1	0
Total	1,413	1,785	445	17
0142 CLUB WEST				
EARLY VOTE	3,532	5,593	934	70
ELECTION DAY	702	5,593	240	32
PROVISIONAL	2	5,593	1	0
Total	4,236	5,593	1,175	102
0148 COLONNADE				
EARLY VOTE	1,404	2,343	472	12
ELECTION DAY	245	2,343	117	4
PROVISIONAL	0	2,343	0	0
Total	1,649	2,343	589	16
0237 DREAMY DRAW				
EARLY VOTE	196	266	46	1
ELECTION DAY	25	266	9	0
PROVISIONAL	0	266	0	0
Total	221	266	55	1

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0131 CINCO				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0132 CITRUS				
EARLY VOTE	59	5.50%	195	18.17%
ELECTION DAY	9	6.29%	19	13.29%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	68	5.56%	214	17.50%
0138 CLIFFVIEW				
EARLY VOTE	62	7.35%	148	17.56%
ELECTION DAY	8	7.48%	20	18.69%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	70	7.36%	169	17.77%
0142 CLUB WEST				
EARLY VOTE	154	6.09%	467	18.47%
ELECTION DAY	37	8.60%	55	12.79%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	191	6.45%	522	17.64%
0148 COLONNADE				
EARLY VOTE	65	7.07%	175	19.02%
ELECTION DAY	14	11.29%	14	11.29%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	79	7.57%	189	18.10%
0237 DREAMY DRAW				
EARLY VOTE	8	5.37%	27	18.12%
ELECTION DAY	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	8	4.85%	27	16.36%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0131 CINCO														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0132 CITRUS														
EARLY VOTE	132	12.30%	227	21.16%	93	8.67%	21	1.96%	93	8.67%	250	23.30%	3	0.28%
ELECTION DAY	11	7.69%	23	16.08%	20	13.99%	10	6.99%	27	18.88%	23	16.08%	1	0.70%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	14.29%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Total	143	11.69%	251	20.52%	117	9.57%	31	2.53%	120	9.81%	275	22.49%	4	0.33%
0138 CLIFFVIEW														
EARLY VOTE	111	13.17%	190	22.54%	113	13.40%	20	2.37%	107	12.69%	91	10.79%	1	0.12%
ELECTION DAY	6	5.61%	19	17.76%	16	14.95%	5	4.67%	24	22.43%	8	7.48%	1	0.93%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	117	12.30%	209	21.98%	129	13.56%	25	2.63%	131	13.77%	99	10.41%	2	0.21%
0142 CLUB WEST														
EARLY VOTE	198	7.83%	408	16.14%	553	21.88%	32	1.27%	408	16.14%	306	12.10%	2	0.08%
ELECTION DAY	17	3.95%	49	11.40%	122	28.37%	7	1.63%	120	27.91%	21	4.88%	2	0.47%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	215	7.27%	457	15.44%	675	22.81%	39	1.32%	529	17.88%	327	11.05%	4	0.14%
0148 COLONNADE														
EARLY VOTE	133	14.46%	218	23.70%	99	10.76%	29	3.15%	114	12.39%	86	9.35%	1	0.11%
ELECTION DAY	13	10.48%	18	14.52%	22	17.74%	9	7.26%	26	20.97%	8	6.45%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	146	13.98%	236	22.61%	121	11.59%	38	3.64%	140	13.41%	94	9.00%	1	0.10%
0237 DREAMY DRAW														
EARLY VOTE	11	7.38%	37	24.83%	17	11.41%	3	2.01%	17	11.41%	25	16.78%	4	2.68%
ELECTION DAY	2	12.50%	3	18.75%	4	25.00%	0	0.00%	5	31.25%	2	12.50%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	13	7.88%	40	24.24%	21	12.73%	3	1.82%	22	13.33%	27	16.36%	4	2.42%

Precinct	Total Votes
0131 CINCO	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0132 CITRUS	
EARLY VOTE	1,073
ELECTION DAY	143
PROVISIONAL	7
Total	1,223
0138 CLIFFVIEW	
EARLY VOTE	843
ELECTION DAY	107
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	951
0142 CLUB WEST	
EARLY VOTE	2,528
ELECTION DAY	430
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	2,959
0148 COLONNADE	
EARLY VOTE	920
ELECTION DAY	124
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	1,044
0237 DREAMY DRAW	
EARLY VOTE	149
ELECTION DAY	16
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	165

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0254 EL DOMINGO				
EARLY VOTE	1,445	2,429	369	19
ELECTION DAY	221	2,429	70	5
PROVISIONAL	6	2,429	4	0
Total	1,672	2,429	443	24
0275 EXETER				
EARLY VOTE	1,023	1,472	305	5
ELECTION DAY	170	1,472	74	3
PROVISIONAL	2	1,472	1	0
Total	1,195	1,472	380	8
0300 GARDEN GROVES				
EARLY VOTE	562	1,006	178	4
ELECTION DAY	117	1,006	38	5
PROVISIONAL	1	1,006	0	0
Total	680	1,006	216	9
0301 GARDENS				
EARLY VOTE	347	1,099	83	4
ELECTION DAY	99	1,099	42	2
PROVISIONAL	3	1,099	2	0
Total	449	1,099	127	6
0308 GEORGIA				
EARLY VOTE	426	850	129	8
ELECTION DAY	99	850	46	1
PROVISIONAL	3	850	0	0
Total	528	850	175	9
0320 GLENROSA				
EARLY VOTE	1,324	1,988	391	23
ELECTION DAY	191	1,988	89	4
PROVISIONAL	2	1,988	1	0
Total	1,517	1,988	481	27

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0254 EL DOMINGO				
EARLY VOTE	54	5.11%	186	17.60%
ELECTION DAY	13	8.90%	23	15.75%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	67	5.56%	209	17.34%
0275 EXETER				
EARLY VOTE	72	10.10%	76	10.66%
ELECTION DAY	12	12.90%	4	4.30%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	84	10.41%	81	10.04%
0300 GARDEN GROVES				
EARLY VOTE	25	6.58%	89	23.42%
ELECTION DAY	8	10.81%	14	18.92%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	33	7.25%	103	22.64%
0301 GARDENS				
EARLY VOTE	11	4.23%	47	18.08%
ELECTION DAY	2	3.64%	7	12.73%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	14	4.43%	54	17.09%
0308 GEORGIA				
EARLY VOTE	35	12.11%	56	19.38%
ELECTION DAY	5	9.62%	6	11.54%
PROVISIONAL	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Total	42	12.21%	63	18.31%
0320 GLENROSA				
EARLY VOTE	72	7.91%	148	16.26%
ELECTION DAY	10	10.20%	18	18.37%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	82	8.13%	167	16.55%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0254 EL DOMINGO														
EARLY VOTE	131	12.39%	179	16.93%	121	11.45%	50	4.73%	113	10.69%	219	20.72%	4	0.38%
ELECTION DAY	14	9.59%	20	13.70%	15	10.27%	9	6.16%	33	22.60%	19	13.01%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	145	12.03%	200	16.60%	136	11.29%	60	4.98%	146	12.12%	238	19.75%	4	0.33%
0275 EXETER														
EARLY VOTE	49	6.87%	217	30.43%	74	10.38%	4	0.56%	173	24.26%	47	6.59%	1	0.14%
ELECTION DAY	0	0.00%	13	13.98%	13	13.98%	2	2.15%	43	46.24%	5	5.38%	1	1.08%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	49	6.07%	230	28.50%	87	10.78%	6	0.74%	216	26.77%	52	6.44%	2	0.25%
0300 GARDEN GROVES														
EARLY VOTE	33	8.68%	79	20.79%	54	14.21%	9	2.37%	46	12.11%	43	11.32%	2	0.53%
ELECTION DAY	2	2.70%	10	13.51%	25	33.78%	3	4.05%	7	9.46%	5	6.76%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	35	7.69%	89	19.56%	80	17.58%	12	2.64%	53	11.65%	48	10.55%	2	0.44%
0301 GARDENS														
EARLY VOTE	22	8.46%	38	14.62%	64	24.62%	16	6.15%	20	7.69%	40	15.38%	2	0.77%
ELECTION DAY	4	7.27%	11	20.00%	12	21.82%	7	12.73%	6	10.91%	6	10.91%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	26	8.23%	49	15.51%	76	24.05%	23	7.28%	26	8.23%	46	14.56%	2	0.63%
0308 GEORGIA														
EARLY VOTE	46	15.92%	43	14.88%	32	11.07%	10	3.46%	23	7.96%	42	14.53%	2	0.69%
ELECTION DAY	4	7.69%	5	9.62%	14	26.92%	2	3.85%	5	9.62%	11	21.15%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	50	14.53%	48	13.95%	46	13.37%	12	3.49%	28	8.14%	53	15.41%	2	0.58%
0320 GLENROSA														
EARLY VOTE	101	11.10%	211	23.19%	107	11.76%	20	2.20%	128	14.07%	121	13.30%	2	0.22%
ELECTION DAY	4	4.08%	8	8.16%	21	21.43%	4	4.08%	24	24.49%	8	8.16%	1	1.02%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	105	10.41%	219	21.70%	128	12.69%	24	2.38%	152	15.06%	129	12.78%	3	0.30%

Precinct	Total Votes
0254 EL DOMINGO	
EARLY VOTE	1,057
ELECTION DAY	146
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	1,205
0275 EXETER	
EARLY VOTE	713
ELECTION DAY	93
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	807
0300 GARDEN GROVES	
EARLY VOTE	380
ELECTION DAY	74
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	455
0301 GARDENS	
EARLY VOTE	260
ELECTION DAY	55
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	316
0308 GEORGIA	
EARLY VOTE	289
ELECTION DAY	52
PROVISIONAL	3
Total	344
0320 GLENROSA	
EARLY VOTE	910
ELECTION DAY	98
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	1,009

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0347 HALL				
EARLY VOTE	1,682	2,404	448	23
ELECTION DAY	209	2,404	75	3
PROVISIONAL	10	2,404	3	0
Total	1,901	2,404	526	26
0366 HIBISCUS				
EARLY VOTE	575	921	195	12
ELECTION DAY	86	921	35	1
PROVISIONAL	0	921	0	0
Total	661	921	230	13
0385 HOPI				
EARLY VOTE	1,751	2,665	587	22
ELECTION DAY	337	2,665	126	9
PROVISIONAL	2	2,665	2	0
Total	2,090	2,665	715	31
0414 KACHINA				
EARLY VOTE	1,991	3,161	609	32
ELECTION DAY	358	3,161	157	6
PROVISIONAL	4	3,161	3	0
Total	2,353	3,161	769	38
0415 KAIBAB				
EARLY VOTE	504	702	169	9
ELECTION DAY	64	702	33	2
PROVISIONAL	1	702	1	0
Total	569	702	203	11
0424 KOKOPELLI				
EARLY VOTE	2,541	4,737	685	55
ELECTION DAY	436	4,737	118	18
PROVISIONAL	8	4,737	3	0
Total	2,985	4,737	806	73

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0347 HALL				
EARLY VOTE	59	4.87%	150	12.39%
ELECTION DAY	15	11.45%	14	10.69%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	14.29%
Total	74	5.49%	165	12.23%
0366 HIBISCUS				
EARLY VOTE	34	9.24%	70	19.02%
ELECTION DAY	8	16.00%	12	24.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	42	10.05%	82	19.62%
0385 HOPI				
EARLY VOTE	92	8.06%	153	13.40%
ELECTION DAY	22	10.89%	15	7.43%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	114	8.48%	168	12.50%
0414 KACHINA				
EARLY VOTE	96	7.11%	215	15.93%
ELECTION DAY	17	8.72%	22	11.28%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	113	7.31%	237	15.33%
0415 KAIBAB				
EARLY VOTE	35	10.74%	36	11.04%
ELECTION DAY	3	10.34%	1	3.45%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	38	10.70%	37	10.42%
0424 KOKOPELLI				
EARLY VOTE	71	3.94%	360	19.99%
ELECTION DAY	18	6.00%	53	17.67%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	89	4.23%	413	19.61%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0347 HALL														
EARLY VOTE	154	12.72%	380	31.38%	98	8.09%	18	1.49%	112	9.25%	237	19.57%	3	0.25%
ELECTION DAY	6	4.58%	34	25.95%	21	16.03%	5	3.82%	24	18.32%	9	6.87%	3	2.29%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%	1	14.29%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Total	160	11.86%	416	30.84%	120	8.90%	23	1.70%	137	10.16%	248	18.38%	6	0.44%
0366 HIBISCUS														
EARLY VOTE	48	13.04%	77	20.92%	34	9.24%	8	2.17%	56	15.22%	41	11.14%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	1	2.00%	5	10.00%	5	10.00%	3	6.00%	13	26.00%	3	6.00%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	49	11.72%	82	19.62%	39	9.33%	11	2.63%	69	16.51%	44	10.53%	0	0.00%
0385 HOPI														
EARLY VOTE	130	11.38%	236	20.67%	61	5.34%	17	1.49%	339	29.68%	112	9.81%	2	0.18%
ELECTION DAY	12	5.94%	19	9.41%	21	10.40%	1	0.50%	89	44.06%	21	10.40%	2	0.99%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	142	10.57%	255	18.97%	82	6.10%	18	1.34%	428	31.85%	133	9.90%	4	0.30%
0414 KACHINA														
EARLY VOTE	164	12.15%	362	26.81%	124	9.19%	33	2.44%	197	14.59%	156	11.56%	3	0.22%
ELECTION DAY	12	6.15%	40	20.51%	32	16.41%	8	4.10%	57	29.23%	7	3.59%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	176	11.38%	402	26.00%	157	10.16%	41	2.65%	254	16.43%	163	10.54%	3	0.19%
0415 KAIBAB														
EARLY VOTE	15	4.60%	62	19.02%	33	10.12%	2	0.61%	78	23.93%	65	19.94%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	1	3.45%	6	20.69%	1	3.45%	2	6.90%	10	34.48%	5	17.24%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	16	4.51%	68	19.15%	34	9.58%	4	1.13%	88	24.79%	70	19.72%	0	0.00%
0424 KOKOPELLI														
EARLY VOTE	190	10.55%	339	18.82%	338	18.77%	49	2.72%	251	13.94%	197	10.94%	6	0.33%
ELECTION DAY	12	4.00%	50	16.67%	76	25.33%	15	5.00%	58	19.33%	16	5.33%	2	0.67%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	1	20.00%
Total	202	9.59%	391	18.57%	415	19.71%	64	3.04%	309	14.67%	214	10.16%	9	0.43%

Precinct	Total Votes
0347 HALL	
EARLY VOTE	1,211
ELECTION DAY	131
PROVISIONAL	7
Total	1,349
0366 HIBISCUS	
EARLY VOTE	368
ELECTION DAY	50
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	418
0385 HOPI	
EARLY VOTE	1,142
ELECTION DAY	202
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	1,344
0414 KACHINA	
EARLY VOTE	1,350
ELECTION DAY	195
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	1,546
0415 KAIBAB	
EARLY VOTE	326
ELECTION DAY	29
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	355
0424 KOKOPELLI	
EARLY VOTE	1,801
ELECTION DAY	300
PROVISIONAL	5
Total	2,106

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0430 LAKEWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	2,565	3,987	666	51
ELECTION DAY	430	3,987	138	18
PROVISIONAL	2	3,987	1	0
Total	2,997	3,987	805	69
0431 LAMAR				
EARLY VOTE	1,124	1,700	318	9
ELECTION DAY	193	1,700	89	1
PROVISIONAL	4	1,700	3	0
Total	1,321	1,700	410	10
0453 LIONS				
EARLY VOTE	645	867	205	5
ELECTION DAY	79	867	35	2
PROVISIONAL	0	867	0	0
Total	724	867	240	7
0467 LOOKOUT RIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	1,893	2,722	591	29
ELECTION DAY	268	2,722	102	5
PROVISIONAL	2	2,722	0	0
Total	2,163	2,722	693	34
0470 LOS OLIVOS				
EARLY VOTE	568	1,062	203	6
ELECTION DAY	117	1,062	54	1
PROVISIONAL	0	1,062	0	0
Total	685	1,062	257	7
0477 MADISON				
EARLY VOTE	619	983	180	12
ELECTION DAY	104	983	47	0
PROVISIONAL	5	983	4	0
Total	728	983	231	12

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0430 LAKEWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	93	5.03%	378	20.45%
ELECTION DAY	15	5.47%	39	14.23%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	108	5.09%	417	19.64%
0431 LAMAR				
EARLY VOTE	48	6.02%	98	12.30%
ELECTION DAY	10	9.71%	7	6.80%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	59	6.55%	105	11.65%
0453 LIONS				
EARLY VOTE	54	12.41%	55	12.64%
ELECTION DAY	13	30.95%	1	2.38%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	67	14.05%	56	11.74%
0467 LOOKOUT RIDGE				
EARLY VOTE	117	9.19%	177	13.90%
ELECTION DAY	17	10.56%	22	13.66%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	134	9.33%	200	13.93%
0470 LOS OLIVOS				
EARLY VOTE	22	6.13%	70	19.50%
ELECTION DAY	5	8.06%	8	12.90%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	27	6.41%	78	18.53%
0477 MADISON				
EARLY VOTE	22	5.15%	72	16.86%
ELECTION DAY	2	3.51%	6	10.53%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	24	4.95%	78	16.08%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0430 LAKEWOOD														
EARLY VOTE	123	6.66%	244	13.20%	405	21.92%	41	2.22%	348	18.83%	211	11.42%	5	0.27%
ELECTION DAY	10	3.65%	18	6.57%	72	26.28%	11	4.01%	87	31.75%	21	7.66%	1	0.36%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	133	6.26%	262	12.34%	477	22.47%	52	2.45%	435	20.49%	233	10.98%	6	0.28%
0431 LAMAR														
EARLY VOTE	85	10.66%	187	23.46%	91	11.42%	16	2.01%	113	14.18%	157	19.70%	2	0.25%
ELECTION DAY	9	8.74%	12	11.65%	22	21.36%	1	0.97%	22	21.36%	17	16.50%	3	2.91%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	94	10.43%	199	22.09%	113	12.54%	17	1.89%	135	14.98%	174	19.31%	5	0.55%
0453 LIONS														
EARLY VOTE	65	14.94%	101	23.22%	44	10.11%	3	0.69%	77	17.70%	35	8.05%	1	0.23%
ELECTION DAY	1	2.38%	3	7.14%	4	9.52%	0	0.00%	17	40.48%	1	2.38%	2	4.76%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	66	13.84%	104	21.80%	48	10.06%	3	0.63%	94	19.71%	36	7.55%	3	0.63%
0467 LOOKOUT RIDGE														
EARLY VOTE	151	11.86%	301	23.64%	80	6.28%	26	2.04%	290	22.78%	129	10.13%	2	0.16%
ELECTION DAY	12	7.45%	25	15.53%	19	11.80%	2	1.24%	57	35.40%	6	3.73%	1	0.62%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	163	11.35%	327	22.77%	99	6.89%	28	1.95%	347	24.16%	135	9.40%	3	0.21%
0470 LOS OLIVOS														
EARLY VOTE	43	11.98%	89	24.79%	46	12.81%	10	2.79%	39	10.86%	39	10.86%	1	0.28%
ELECTION DAY	4	6.45%	8	12.90%	12	19.35%	4	6.45%	12	19.35%	9	14.52%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	47	11.16%	97	23.04%	58	13.78%	14	3.33%	51	12.11%	48	11.40%	1	0.24%
0477 MADISON														
EARLY VOTE	61	14.29%	84	19.67%	28	6.56%	24	5.62%	39	9.13%	94	22.01%	3	0.70%
ELECTION DAY	7	12.28%	10	17.54%	8	14.04%	0	0.00%	11	19.30%	11	19.30%	2	3.51%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	68	14.02%	95	19.59%	36	7.42%	24	4.95%	50	10.31%	105	21.65%	5	1.03%

Precinct	Total Votes
0430 LAKEWOOD	
EARLY VOTE	1,848
ELECTION DAY	274
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	2,123
0431 LAMAR	
EARLY VOTE	797
ELECTION DAY	103
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	901
0453 LIONS	
EARLY VOTE	435
ELECTION DAY	42
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	477
0467 LOOKOUT RIDGE	
EARLY VOTE	1,273
ELECTION DAY	161
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	1,436
0470 LOS OLIVOS	
EARLY VOTE	359
ELECTION DAY	62
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	421
0477 MADISON	
EARLY VOTE	427
ELECTION DAY	57
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	485

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0478 MADISON HEIGHTS				
EARLY VOTE	1,447	1,904	415	13
ELECTION DAY	139	1,904	62	2
PROVISIONAL	2	1,904	0	0
Total	1,588	1,904	477	15
0482 MAGIC STONE				
EARLY VOTE	3,175	5,345	810	82
ELECTION DAY	562	5,345	171	18
PROVISIONAL	6	5,345	0	0
Total	3,743	5,345	981	100
0499 MAYFLOWER				
EARLY VOTE	1,189	1,936	349	11
ELECTION DAY	215	1,936	111	2
PROVISIONAL	4	1,936	2	0
Total	1,408	1,936	462	13
0555 NVP 1				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0563 NVP 17				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0573 NVP 5				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0478 MADISON HEIGHTS				
EARLY VOTE	69	6.77%	137	13.44%
ELECTION DAY	8	10.67%	9	12.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	77	7.03%	147	13.41%
0482 MAGIC STONE				
EARLY VOTE	104	4.56%	474	20.76%
ELECTION DAY	18	4.83%	65	17.43%
PROVISIONAL	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Total	124	4.66%	539	20.25%
0499 MAYFLOWER				
EARLY VOTE	70	8.44%	98	11.82%
ELECTION DAY	11	10.78%	11	10.78%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	81	8.68%	109	11.68%
0555 NVP 1				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0563 NVP 17				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0573 NVP 5				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0478 MADISON HEIGHTS														
EARLY VOTE	125	12.27%	325	31.89%	92	9.03%	10	0.98%	179	17.57%	81	7.95%	1	0.10%
ELECTION DAY	5	6.67%	19	25.33%	14	18.67%	0	0.00%	17	22.67%	3	4.00%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	130	11.86%	344	31.39%	106	9.67%	10	0.91%	197	17.97%	84	7.66%	1	0.09%
0482 MAGIC STONE														
EARLY VOTE	177	7.75%	349	15.29%	475	20.81%	48	2.10%	343	15.02%	304	13.32%	9	0.39%
ELECTION DAY	16	4.29%	40	10.72%	95	25.47%	6	1.61%	101	27.08%	32	8.58%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Total	195	7.33%	389	14.61%	570	21.41%	54	2.03%	445	16.72%	337	12.66%	9	0.34%
0499 MAYFLOWER														
EARLY VOTE	114	13.75%	210	25.33%	90	10.86%	22	2.65%	95	11.46%	128	15.44%	2	0.24%
ELECTION DAY	4	3.92%	18	17.65%	16	15.69%	10	9.80%	23	22.55%	7	6.86%	2	1.96%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	118	12.65%	229	24.54%	107	11.47%	32	3.43%	118	12.65%	135	14.47%	4	0.43%
0555 NVP 1														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0563 NVP 17														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0573 NVP 5														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	

Precinct	Total Votes
0478 MADISON HEIGHTS	
EARLY VOTE	1,019
ELECTION DAY	75
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	1,096
0482 MAGIC STONE	
EARLY VOTE	2,283
ELECTION DAY	373
PROVISIONAL	6
Total	2,662
0499 MAYFLOWER	
EARLY VOTE	829
ELECTION DAY	102
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	933
0555 NVP 1	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0563 NVP 17	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0573 NVP 5	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0576 NVP 8				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0581 OAKTREE				
EARLY VOTE	2,174	3,211	670	12
ELECTION DAY	330	3,211	143	8
PROVISIONAL	3	3,211	0	0
Total	2,507	3,211	813	20
0614 PAPAGO PARK				
EARLY VOTE	705	1,779	206	9
ELECTION DAY	181	1,779	68	4
PROVISIONAL	2	1,779	2	0
Total	888	1,779	276	13
0638 PICADILLY				
EARLY VOTE	1,478	2,309	397	20
ELECTION DAY	234	2,309	89	3
PROVISIONAL	3	2,309	1	1
Total	1,715	2,309	487	24
0647 PINTO				
EARLY VOTE	2,360	3,283	603	50
ELECTION DAY	268	3,283	80	14
PROVISIONAL	2	3,283	0	0
Total	2,630	3,283	683	64
0672 RANCHO				
EARLY VOTE	1,015	1,670	303	19
ELECTION DAY	155	1,670	68	4
PROVISIONAL	1	1,670	0	0
Total	1,171	1,670	371	23

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0576 NVP 8				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0581 OAKTREE				
EARLY VOTE	123	8.24%	165	11.06%
ELECTION DAY	27	15.08%	24	13.41%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	150	8.96%	189	11.29%
0614 PAPAGO PARK				
EARLY VOTE	19	3.88%	95	19.39%
ELECTION DAY	2	1.83%	20	18.35%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	21	3.51%	115	19.20%
0638 PICADILLY				
EARLY VOTE	63	5.94%	112	10.56%
ELECTION DAY	18	12.68%	14	9.86%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	81	6.73%	126	10.47%
0647 PINTO				
EARLY VOTE	71	4.16%	277	16.23%
ELECTION DAY	16	9.20%	13	7.47%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	87	4.62%	291	15.45%
0672 RANCHO				
EARLY VOTE	28	4.04%	106	15.30%
ELECTION DAY	8	9.64%	8	9.64%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	36	4.63%	115	14.80%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0576 NVP 8														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0581 OAKTREE														
EARLY VOTE	258	17.29%	336	22.52%	161	10.79%	34	2.28%	243	16.29%	168	11.26%	4	0.27%
ELECTION DAY	12	6.70%	29	16.20%	24	13.41%	6	3.35%	43	24.02%	13	7.26%	1	0.56%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	270	16.13%	365	21.80%	185	11.05%	40	2.39%	289	17.26%	181	10.81%	5	0.30%
0614 PAPAGO PARK														
EARLY VOTE	63	12.86%	82	16.73%	83	16.94%	21	4.29%	58	11.84%	66	13.47%	3	0.61%
ELECTION DAY	10	9.17%	24	22.02%	18	16.51%	12	11.01%	13	11.93%	9	8.26%	1	0.92%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	73	12.19%	106	17.70%	101	16.86%	33	5.51%	71	11.85%	75	12.52%	4	0.67%
0638 PICADILLY														
EARLY VOTE	139	13.10%	263	24.79%	86	8.11%	8	0.75%	194	18.28%	193	18.19%	3	0.28%
ELECTION DAY	8	5.63%	19	13.38%	19	13.38%	5	3.52%	45	31.69%	14	9.86%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	147	12.21%	282	23.42%	105	8.72%	13	1.08%	240	19.93%	207	17.19%	3	0.25%
0647 PINTO														
EARLY VOTE	115	6.74%	295	17.28%	402	23.55%	15	0.88%	375	21.97%	155	9.08%	2	0.12%
ELECTION DAY	5	2.87%	9	5.17%	48	27.59%	8	4.60%	58	33.33%	15	8.62%	2	1.15%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	120	6.37%	304	16.14%	451	23.95%	23	1.22%	433	23.00%	170	9.03%	4	0.21%
0672 RANCHO														
EARLY VOTE	93	13.42%	152	21.93%	78	11.26%	18	2.60%	73	10.53%	145	20.92%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	6	7.23%	5	6.02%	19	22.89%	5	6.02%	17	20.48%	14	16.87%	1	1.20%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	99	12.74%	157	20.21%	97	12.48%	23	2.96%	90	11.58%	159	20.46%	1	0.13%

Precinct	Total Votes
0576 NVP 8	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0581 OAKTREE	
EARLY VOTE	1,492
ELECTION DAY	179
PROVISIONAL	3
Total	1,674
0614 PAPAGO PARK	
EARLY VOTE	490
ELECTION DAY	109
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	599
0638 PICADILLY	
EARLY VOTE	1,061
ELECTION DAY	142
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	1,204
0647 PINTO	
EARLY VOTE	1,707
ELECTION DAY	174
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	1,883
0672 RANCHO	
EARLY VOTE	693
ELECTION DAY	83
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	777

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0684 RESERVE				
EARLY VOTE	927	1,460	223	34
ELECTION DAY	165	1,460	49	10
PROVISIONAL	0	1,460	0	0
Total	1,092	1,460	272	44
0700 ROCKLEDGE				
EARLY VOTE	2,664	3,929	685	53
ELECTION DAY	401	3,929	136	9
PROVISIONAL	4	3,929	1	0
Total	3,069	3,929	822	62
0710 ROVEY				
EARLY VOTE	1,103	1,721	318	7
ELECTION DAY	185	1,721	72	5
PROVISIONAL	2	1,721	1	0
Total	1,290	1,721	391	12
0712 RUBY				
EARLY VOTE	1,065	2,078	297	16
ELECTION DAY	213	2,078	81	8
PROVISIONAL	3	2,078	1	0
Total	1,281	2,078	379	24
0729 SANDIA				
EARLY VOTE	1,827	2,826	425	36
ELECTION DAY	259	2,826	86	7
PROVISIONAL	2	2,826	0	0
Total	2,088	2,826	511	43
0762 SIMIS				
EARLY VOTE	2,265	3,328	683	26
ELECTION DAY	284	3,328	117	2
PROVISIONAL	5	3,328	4	0
Total	2,554	3,328	804	28

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0684 RESERVE				
EARLY VOTE	34	5.07%	121	18.06%
ELECTION DAY	1	0.94%	5	4.72%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	35	4.51%	126	16.24%
0700 ROCKLEDGE				
EARLY VOTE	79	4.10%	387	20.09%
ELECTION DAY	14	5.47%	18	7.03%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	93	4.26%	405	18.54%
0710 ROVEY				
EARLY VOTE	34	4.37%	118	15.17%
ELECTION DAY	6	5.56%	17	15.74%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	41	4.62%	135	15.22%
0712 RUBY				
EARLY VOTE	42	5.59%	120	15.96%
ELECTION DAY	13	10.48%	15	12.10%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	55	6.26%	135	15.38%
0729 SANDIA				
EARLY VOTE	60	4.39%	366	26.79%
ELECTION DAY	5	3.01%	34	20.48%
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	66	4.30%	400	26.08%
0762 SIMIS				
EARLY VOTE	80	5.14%	241	15.49%
ELECTION DAY	14	8.48%	23	13.94%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	94	5.46%	264	15.33%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0684 RESERVE														
EARLY VOTE	45	6.72%	85	12.69%	199	29.70%	4	0.60%	130	19.40%	51	7.61%	1	0.15%
ELECTION DAY	6	5.66%	17	16.04%	44	41.51%	4	3.77%	26	24.53%	3	2.83%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	51	6.57%	102	13.14%	243	31.31%	8	1.03%	156	20.10%	54	6.96%	1	0.13%
0700 ROCKLEDGE														
EARLY VOTE	161	8.36%	319	16.56%	392	20.35%	23	1.19%	394	20.46%	169	8.77%	2	0.10%
ELECTION DAY	12	4.69%	21	8.20%	91	35.55%	3	1.17%	83	32.42%	13	5.08%	1	0.39%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Total	173	7.92%	340	15.56%	484	22.15%	26	1.19%	478	21.88%	183	8.38%	3	0.14%
0710 ROVEY														
EARLY VOTE	104	13.37%	171	21.98%	67	8.61%	17	2.19%	120	15.42%	143	18.38%	4	0.51%
ELECTION DAY	11	10.19%	20	18.52%	26	24.07%	4	3.70%	16	14.81%	7	6.48%	1	0.93%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	115	12.97%	191	21.53%	93	10.48%	21	2.37%	136	15.33%	150	16.91%	5	0.56%
0712 RUBY														
EARLY VOTE	122	16.22%	133	17.69%	87	11.57%	35	4.65%	78	10.37%	129	17.15%	6	0.80%
ELECTION DAY	9	7.26%	12	9.68%	23	18.55%	13	10.48%	21	16.94%	17	13.71%	1	0.81%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	131	14.92%	145	16.51%	111	12.64%	49	5.58%	99	11.28%	146	16.63%	7	0.80%
0729 SANDIA														
EARLY VOTE	91	6.66%	202	14.79%	261	19.11%	29	2.12%	245	17.94%	112	8.20%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	4	2.41%	17	10.24%	37	22.29%	5	3.01%	51	30.72%	13	7.83%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	95	6.19%	219	14.28%	298	19.43%	35	2.28%	296	19.30%	125	8.15%	0	0.00%
0762 SIMIS														
EARLY VOTE	239	15.36%	328	21.08%	120	7.71%	34	2.19%	162	10.41%	346	22.24%	6	0.39%
ELECTION DAY	11	6.67%	22	13.33%	28	16.97%	5	3.03%	44	26.67%	18	10.91%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	250	14.52%	350	20.33%	148	8.59%	40	2.32%	206	11.96%	364	21.14%	6	0.35%

Precinct	Total Votes
0684 RESERVE	
EARLY VOTE	670
ELECTION DAY	106
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	776
0700 ROCKLEDGE	
EARLY VOTE	1,926
ELECTION DAY	256
PROVISIONAL	3
Total	2,185
0710 ROVEY	
EARLY VOTE	778
ELECTION DAY	108
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	887
0712 RUBY	
EARLY VOTE	752
ELECTION DAY	124
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	878
0729 SANDIA	
EARLY VOTE	1,366
ELECTION DAY	166
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	1,534
0762 SIMIS	
EARLY VOTE	1,556
ELECTION DAY	165
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	1,722

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0766 SOLANO				
EARLY VOTE	443	592	117	0
ELECTION DAY	48	592	18	1
PROVISIONAL	0	592	0	0
Total	491	592	135	1
0767 SOLCITO				
EARLY VOTE	970	1,328	270	8
ELECTION DAY	134	1,328	50	4
PROVISIONAL	1	1,328	0	0
Total	1,105	1,328	320	12
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK				
EARLY VOTE	130	183	38	1
ELECTION DAY	21	183	8	0
PROVISIONAL	0	183	0	0
Total	151	183	46	1
0773 SOUTH MTN PARK EAST				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0774 SOUTH MTN PARK NE				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0775 SOUTH MTN PARK SE				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0766 SOLANO				
EARLY VOTE	6	1.84%	37	11.35%
ELECTION DAY	4	13.79%	4	13.79%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	10	2.82%	41	11.55%
0767 SOLCITO				
EARLY VOTE	45	6.50%	85	12.28%
ELECTION DAY	9	11.25%	9	11.25%
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	55	7.12%	94	12.16%
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK				
EARLY VOTE	3	3.30%	8	8.79%
ELECTION DAY	0	0.00%	1	7.69%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	3	2.88%	9	8.65%
0773 SOUTH MTN PARK EAST				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0774 SOUTH MTN PARK NE				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0775 SOUTH MTN PARK SE				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0766 SOLANO														
EARLY VOTE	75	23.01%	61	18.71%	23	7.06%	5	1.53%	63	19.33%	56	17.18%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	2	6.90%	4	13.79%	8	27.59%	1	3.45%	4	13.79%	2	6.90%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	77	21.69%	65	18.31%	31	8.73%	6	1.69%	67	18.87%	58	16.34%	0	0.00%
0767 SOLCITO														
EARLY VOTE	104	15.03%	230	33.24%	56	8.09%	8	1.16%	83	11.99%	80	11.56%	1	0.14%
ELECTION DAY	1	1.25%	21	26.25%	16	20.00%	1	1.25%	14	17.50%	9	11.25%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	105	13.58%	251	32.47%	72	9.31%	9	1.16%	97	12.55%	89	11.51%	1	0.13%
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK														
EARLY VOTE	9	9.89%	35	38.46%	17	18.68%	2	2.20%	9	9.89%	8	8.79%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	1	7.69%	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	10	9.62%	37	35.58%	21	20.19%	2	1.92%	13	12.50%	8	7.69%	1	0.96%
0773 SOUTH MTN PARK EAST														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0774 SOUTH MTN PARK NE														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0775 SOUTH MTN PARK SE														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	

Precinct	Total Votes
0766 SOLANO	
EARLY VOTE	326
ELECTION DAY	29
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	355
0767 SOLCITO	
EARLY VOTE	692
ELECTION DAY	80
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	773
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK	
EARLY VOTE	91
ELECTION DAY	13
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	104
0773 SOUTH MTN PARK EAST	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0774 SOUTH MTN PARK NE	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0775 SOUTH MTN PARK SE	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0776 SOUTH MTN PARK SOUTH				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0777 SOUTH MTN PARK SW				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0785 ST FRANCIS				
EARLY VOTE	990	1,834	314	15
ELECTION DAY	208	1,834	109	3
PROVISIONAL	2	1,834	0	0
Total	1,200	1,834	423	18
0830 TESSERA				
EARLY VOTE	256	629	78	5
ELECTION DAY	81	629	28	0
PROVISIONAL	7	629	2	0
Total	344	629	108	5
0831 THOMAS				
EARLY VOTE	497	824	109	2
ELECTION DAY	92	824	36	1
PROVISIONAL	0	824	0	0
Total	589	824	145	3
0832 THUNDERHILL				
EARLY VOTE	2,379	3,645	603	55
ELECTION DAY	402	3,645	131	10
PROVISIONAL	0	3,645	0	0
Total	2,781	3,645	734	65

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0776 SOUTH MTN PARK SOUTH				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0777 SOUTH MTN PARK SW				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0785 ST FRANCIS				
EARLY VOTE	44	6.66%	105	15.89%
ELECTION DAY	9	9.38%	13	13.54%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	53	6.98%	119	15.68%
0830 TESSERA				
EARLY VOTE	17	9.83%	30	17.34%
ELECTION DAY	5	9.43%	8	15.09%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	20.00%
Total	22	9.52%	39	16.88%
0831 THOMAS				
EARLY VOTE	22	5.70%	61	15.80%
ELECTION DAY	1	1.82%	5	9.09%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	23	5.22%	66	14.97%
0832 THUNDERHILL				
EARLY VOTE	59	3.43%	295	17.14%
ELECTION DAY	14	5.36%	28	10.73%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	73	3.68%	323	16.30%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0776 SOUTH MTN PARK SOUTH														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0777 SOUTH MTN PARK SW														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0785 ST FRANCIS														
EARLY VOTE	86	13.01%	136	20.57%	96	14.52%	9	1.36%	89	13.46%	94	14.22%	2	0.30%
ELECTION DAY	11	11.46%	16	16.67%	23	23.96%	3	3.13%	15	15.63%	6	6.25%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	98	12.91%	152	20.03%	119	15.68%	12	1.58%	104	13.70%	100	13.18%	2	0.26%
0830 TESSERA														
EARLY VOTE	22	12.72%	21	12.14%	21	12.14%	17	9.83%	18	10.40%	27	15.61%	0	0.00%
ELECTION DAY	3	5.66%	2	3.77%	15	28.30%	10	18.87%	6	11.32%	4	7.55%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Total	26	11.26%	23	9.96%	38	16.45%	27	11.69%	24	10.39%	32	13.85%	0	0.00%
0831 THOMAS														
EARLY VOTE	59	15.28%	65	16.84%	42	10.88%	6	1.55%	52	13.47%	78	20.21%	1	0.26%
ELECTION DAY	3	5.45%	6	10.91%	12	21.82%	4	7.27%	14	25.45%	10	18.18%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	62	14.06%	71	16.10%	54	12.24%	10	2.27%	66	14.97%	88	19.95%	1	0.23%
0832 THUNDERHILL														
EARLY VOTE	100	5.81%	228	13.25%	534	31.03%	14	0.81%	303	17.61%	187	10.87%	1	0.06%
ELECTION DAY	8	3.07%	19	7.28%	102	39.08%	3	1.15%	75	28.74%	12	4.60%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	108	5.45%	247	12.46%	636	32.09%	17	0.86%	378	19.07%	199	10.04%	1	0.05%

Precinct	Total Votes
0776 SOUTH MTN PARK SOUTH	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0777 SOUTH MTN PARK SW	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0785 ST FRANCIS	
EARLY VOTE	661
ELECTION DAY	96
PROVISIONAL	2
Total	759
0830 TESSERA	
EARLY VOTE	173
ELECTION DAY	53
PROVISIONAL	5
Total	231
0831 THOMAS	
EARLY VOTE	386
ELECTION DAY	55
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	441
0832 THUNDERHILL	
EARLY VOTE	1,721
ELECTION DAY	261
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	1,982

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0860 UNO				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0896 WESTERN STAR				
EARLY VOTE	1,825	3,064	467	41
ELECTION DAY	288	3,064	101	10
PROVISIONAL	3	3,064	2	0
Total	2,116	3,064	570	51
0913 WILDER				
EARLY VOTE	2,326	3,140	655	16
ELECTION DAY	262	3,140	115	4
PROVISIONAL	4	3,140	0	0
Total	2,592	3,140	770	20
0915 WILDWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	1,456	2,325	385	30
ELECTION DAY	253	2,325	69	8
PROVISIONAL	4	2,325	1	0
Total	1,713	2,325	455	38
0921 WINDMERE				
EARLY VOTE	2,279	4,338	584	66
ELECTION DAY	456	4,338	134	14
PROVISIONAL	2	4,338	2	0
Total	2,737	4,338	720	80
Election Wide - Total	89,688	121,356	26,166	1,610
County - Total	89,688	121,356	26,166	1,610

Precinct	CURTIN, HARRY (NON)		GREENE, JOAN (NON)	
0860 UNO				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0896 WESTERN STAR				
EARLY VOTE	81	6.15%	287	21.79%
ELECTION DAY	10	5.65%	27	15.25%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	91	6.09%	314	21.00%
0913 WILDER				
EARLY VOTE	110	6.65%	203	12.27%
ELECTION DAY	8	5.59%	10	6.99%
PROVISIONAL	1	25.00%	1	25.00%
Total	119	6.60%	214	11.88%
0915 WILDWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	60	5.76%	182	17.48%
ELECTION DAY	12	6.82%	18	10.23%
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Total	73	5.98%	201	16.48%
0921 WINDMERE				
EARLY VOTE	98	6.02%	347	21.30%
ELECTION DAY	22	7.14%	36	11.69%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	120	6.20%	383	19.77%
Election Wide - Total	3,917	6.33%	10,036	16.21%
County - Total	3,917	6.33%	10,036	16.21%

Precinct	MOEREMANS, MARK (NON)		ROBINSON, KEVIN (NON)		SANCHEZ, MOSES (NON)		SCHOVILLE, JUAN (NON)		STONE, SAM (NON)		WILSON, KELLEN (NON)		Write-in	
0860 UNO														
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
0896 WESTERN STAR														
EARLY VOTE	129	9.79%	244	18.53%	232	17.62%	24	1.82%	160	12.15%	157	11.92%	3	0.23%
ELECTION DAY	12	6.78%	19	10.73%	53	29.94%	6	3.39%	37	20.90%	13	7.34%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	141	9.43%	263	17.59%	285	19.06%	30	2.01%	197	13.18%	171	11.44%	3	0.20%
0913 WILDER														
EARLY VOTE	249	15.05%	477	28.82%	133	8.04%	16	0.97%	265	16.01%	197	11.90%	5	0.30%
ELECTION DAY	12	8.39%	25	17.48%	27	18.88%	4	2.80%	38	26.57%	18	12.59%	1	0.70%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Total	261	14.48%	503	27.91%	160	8.88%	20	1.11%	303	16.81%	216	11.99%	6	0.33%
0915 WILDWOOD														
EARLY VOTE	88	8.45%	143	13.74%	225	21.61%	15	1.44%	188	18.06%	136	13.06%	4	0.38%
ELECTION DAY	5	2.84%	14	7.95%	48	27.27%	4	2.27%	67	38.07%	8	4.55%	0	0.00%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	93	7.62%	158	12.95%	273	22.38%	19	1.56%	255	20.90%	144	11.80%	4	0.33%
0921 WINDMERE														
EARLY VOTE	124	7.61%	241	14.79%	373	22.90%	46	2.82%	235	14.43%	162	9.94%	3	0.18%
ELECTION DAY	6	1.95%	37	12.01%	73	23.70%	12	3.90%	92	29.87%	27	8.77%	3	0.97%
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total	130	6.71%	278	14.35%	446	23.03%	58	2.99%	327	16.88%	189	9.76%	6	0.31%
Election Wide - Total	6,234	10.07%	12,072	19.50%	10,098	16.31%	1,291	2.09%	10,548	17.04%	7,552	12.20%	164	0.26%
County - Total	6,234	10.07%	12,072	19.50%	10,098	16.31%	1,291	2.09%	10,548	17.04%	7,552	12.20%	164	0.26%

Precinct	Total Votes
0860 UNO	
EARLY VOTE	0
ELECTION DAY	0
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	0
0896 WESTERN STAR	
EARLY VOTE	1,317
ELECTION DAY	177
PROVISIONAL	1
Total	1,495
0913 WILDER	
EARLY VOTE	1,655
ELECTION DAY	143
PROVISIONAL	4
Total	1,802
0915 WILDWOOD	
EARLY VOTE	1,041
ELECTION DAY	176
PROVISIONAL	3
Total	1,220
0921 WINDMERE	
EARLY VOTE	1,629
ELECTION DAY	308
PROVISIONAL	0
Total	1,937
Election Wide - Total	61,912
County - Total	61,912

Phoenix Dist 8-Councilmember (Vote for 1)

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
County				
Election Wide				
0031 ARDMORE				
EARLY VOTE	2,302	4,271	400	41
ELECTION DAY	304	4,271	81	11
PROVISIONAL	7	4,271	1	1
Total	2,613	4,271	482	53
0049 BALSZ				
EARLY VOTE	1,069	3,474	252	8
ELECTION DAY	322	3,474	98	2
PROVISIONAL	12	3,474	4	0
Total	1,403	3,474	354	10
0059 BETHUNE				
EARLY VOTE	6	23	0	0
ELECTION DAY	3	23	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	23	0	0
Total	9	23	0	0
0112 CARVER				
EARLY VOTE	1,938	3,602	425	57
ELECTION DAY	258	3,602	65	6
PROVISIONAL	6	3,602	1	0
Total	2,202	3,602	491	63
0124 CHEATHAM				
EARLY VOTE	1,149	2,354	218	43
ELECTION DAY	172	2,354	44	4
PROVISIONAL	2	2,354	1	0
Total	1,323	2,354	263	47

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
County				
Election Wide				
0031 ARDMORE				
EARLY VOTE	174	9.35%	661	35.52%
ELECTION DAY	28	13.21%	57	26.89%
PROVISIONAL	1	20.00%	1	20.00%
Total	203	9.77%	719	34.60%
0049 BALSZ				
EARLY VOTE	62	7.66%	330	40.79%
ELECTION DAY	32	14.41%	70	31.53%
PROVISIONAL	4	50.00%	1	12.50%
Total	98	9.43%	401	38.59%
0059 BETHUNE				
EARLY VOTE	0	0.00%	5	83.33%
ELECTION DAY	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0	0.00%	6	66.67%
0112 CARVER				
EARLY VOTE	166	11.40%	464	31.87%
ELECTION DAY	42	22.46%	45	24.06%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	3	60.00%
Total	208	12.62%	512	31.07%
0124 CHEATHAM				
EARLY VOTE	122	13.74%	277	31.19%
ELECTION DAY	19	15.32%	39	31.45%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	141	13.92%	316	31.19%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
County							
Election Wide							
0031 ARDMORE							
EARLY VOTE	188	10.10%	831	44.65%	7	0.38%	1,861
ELECTION DAY	44	20.75%	79	37.26%	4	1.89%	212
PROVISIONAL	1	20.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	5
Total	233	11.21%	912	43.89%	11	0.53%	2,078
0049 BALSZ							
EARLY VOTE	86	10.63%	330	40.79%	1	0.12%	809
ELECTION DAY	46	20.72%	72	32.43%	2	0.90%	222
PROVISIONAL	1	12.50%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%	8
Total	133	12.80%	404	38.88%	3	0.29%	1,039
0059 BETHUNE							
EARLY VOTE	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	6
ELECTION DAY	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	3
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	1	11.11%	2	22.22%	0	0.00%	9
0112 CARVER							
EARLY VOTE	205	14.08%	619	42.51%	2	0.14%	1,456
ELECTION DAY	42	22.46%	58	31.02%	0	0.00%	187
PROVISIONAL	1	20.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	5
Total	248	15.05%	678	41.14%	2	0.12%	1,648
0124 CHEATHAM							
EARLY VOTE	119	13.40%	368	41.44%	2	0.23%	888
ELECTION DAY	25	20.16%	40	32.26%	1	0.81%	124
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	144	14.22%	409	40.38%	3	0.30%	1,013

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0183 CREIGHTON				
EARLY VOTE	1,452	3,467	312	17
ELECTION DAY	241	3,467	69	4
PROVISIONAL	4	3,467	2	0
Total	1,697	3,467	383	21
0228 DOBBINS RANCH				
EARLY VOTE	1,038	2,095	184	28
ELECTION DAY	207	2,095	33	12
PROVISIONAL	4	2,095	2	0
Total	1,249	2,095	219	40
0242 DUNBAR				
EARLY VOTE	485	1,846	74	4
ELECTION DAY	88	1,846	21	1
PROVISIONAL	6	1,846	3	1
Total	579	1,846	98	6
0252 EDISON				
EARLY VOTE	773	2,091	137	11
ELECTION DAY	155	2,091	40	1
PROVISIONAL	4	2,091	0	0
Total	932	2,091	177	12
0258 ELWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	1,588	4,044	279	29
ELECTION DAY	252	4,044	68	9
PROVISIONAL	6	4,044	2	0
Total	1,846	4,044	349	38
0272 EUCLID				
EARLY VOTE	2,304	3,804	509	32
ELECTION DAY	282	3,804	99	6
PROVISIONAL	3	3,804	1	0
Total	2,589	3,804	609	38

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0183 CREIGHTON				
EARLY VOTE	101	8.99%	577	51.38%
ELECTION DAY	24	14.29%	62	36.90%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	125	9.67%	640	49.50%
0228 DOBBINS RANCH				
EARLY VOTE	91	11.02%	254	30.75%
ELECTION DAY	23	14.20%	44	27.16%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
Total	114	11.52%	300	30.30%
0242 DUNBAR				
EARLY VOTE	38	9.34%	203	49.88%
ELECTION DAY	8	12.12%	34	51.52%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	46	9.68%	237	49.89%
0252 EDISON				
EARLY VOTE	39	6.24%	350	56.00%
ELECTION DAY	9	7.89%	52	45.61%
PROVISIONAL	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Total	50	6.73%	404	54.37%
0258 ELWOOD				
EARLY VOTE	164	12.81%	624	48.75%
ELECTION DAY	34	19.43%	74	42.29%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	198	13.57%	698	47.84%
0272 EUCLID				
EARLY VOTE	163	9.25%	592	33.58%
ELECTION DAY	39	22.03%	42	23.73%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	202	10.40%	634	32.65%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0183 CREIGHTON							
EARLY VOTE	104	9.26%	334	29.74%	7	0.62%	1,123
ELECTION DAY	30	17.86%	50	29.76%	2	1.19%	168
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	134	10.36%	385	29.78%	9	0.70%	1,293
0228 DOBBINS RANCH							
EARLY VOTE	132	15.98%	348	42.13%	1	0.12%	826
ELECTION DAY	42	25.93%	52	32.10%	1	0.62%	162
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	174	17.58%	400	40.40%	2	0.20%	990
0242 DUNBAR							
EARLY VOTE	16	3.93%	150	36.86%	0	0.00%	407
ELECTION DAY	4	6.06%	20	30.30%	0	0.00%	66
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	20	4.21%	172	36.21%	0	0.00%	475
0252 EDISON							
EARLY VOTE	70	11.20%	165	26.40%	1	0.16%	625
ELECTION DAY	15	13.16%	37	32.46%	1	0.88%	114
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	85	11.44%	202	27.19%	2	0.27%	743
0258 ELWOOD							
EARLY VOTE	99	7.73%	390	30.47%	3	0.23%	1,280
ELECTION DAY	24	13.71%	43	24.57%	0	0.00%	175
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	123	8.43%	437	29.95%	3	0.21%	1,459
0272 EUCLID							
EARLY VOTE	201	11.40%	805	45.66%	2	0.11%	1,763
ELECTION DAY	43	24.29%	52	29.38%	1	0.56%	177
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	244	12.56%	859	44.23%	3	0.15%	1,942

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0300 GARDEN GROVES				
EARLY VOTE	975	2,296	245	12
ELECTION DAY	198	2,296	57	1
PROVISIONAL	2	2,296	1	0
Total	1,175	2,296	303	13
0304 GATEWAY				
EARLY VOTE	448	1,615	68	7
ELECTION DAY	91	1,615	18	0
PROVISIONAL	5	1,615	1	1
Total	544	1,615	87	8
0335 GREENFIELD				
EARLY VOTE	1,110	2,921	214	22
ELECTION DAY	180	2,921	46	7
PROVISIONAL	4	2,921	1	0
Total	1,294	2,921	261	29
0363 HERMOSA				
EARLY VOTE	1,510	4,195	242	28
ELECTION DAY	298	4,195	70	5
PROVISIONAL	10	4,195	3	0
Total	1,818	4,195	315	33
0368 HIDALGO				
EARLY VOTE	1,730	4,353	287	33
ELECTION DAY	343	4,353	88	6
PROVISIONAL	6	4,353	1	1
Total	2,079	4,353	376	40
0374 HILTON				
EARLY VOTE	381	1,030	56	6
ELECTION DAY	87	1,030	16	3
PROVISIONAL	5	1,030	1	1
Total	473	1,030	73	10

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0300 GARDEN GROVES				
EARLY VOTE	98	13.65%	249	34.68%
ELECTION DAY	30	21.43%	41	29.29%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	128	14.90%	290	33.76%
0304 GATEWAY				
EARLY VOTE	45	12.06%	215	57.64%
ELECTION DAY	8	10.96%	37	50.68%
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
Total	54	12.03%	254	56.57%
0335 GREENFIELD				
EARLY VOTE	89	10.18%	418	47.83%
ELECTION DAY	26	20.47%	45	35.43%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
Total	115	11.45%	464	46.22%
0363 HERMOSA				
EARLY VOTE	99	7.98%	411	33.15%
ELECTION DAY	29	13.00%	72	32.29%
PROVISIONAL	1	14.29%	4	57.14%
Total	129	8.78%	487	33.13%
0368 HIDALGO				
EARLY VOTE	137	9.72%	540	38.30%
ELECTION DAY	49	19.68%	92	36.95%
PROVISIONAL	1	25.00%	1	25.00%
Total	187	11.24%	633	38.06%
0374 HILTON				
EARLY VOTE	36	11.29%	177	55.49%
ELECTION DAY	10	14.71%	31	45.59%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
Total	46	11.79%	209	53.59%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0300 GARDEN GROVES							
EARLY VOTE	89	12.40%	277	38.58%	5	0.70%	718
ELECTION DAY	21	15.00%	48	34.29%	0	0.00%	140
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	111	12.92%	325	37.83%	5	0.58%	859
0304 GATEWAY							
EARLY VOTE	25	6.70%	88	23.59%	0	0.00%	373
ELECTION DAY	13	17.81%	15	20.55%	0	0.00%	73
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
Total	38	8.46%	103	22.94%	0	0.00%	449
0335 GREENFIELD							
EARLY VOTE	55	6.29%	312	35.70%	0	0.00%	874
ELECTION DAY	20	15.75%	36	28.35%	0	0.00%	127
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	3
Total	76	7.57%	349	34.76%	0	0.00%	1,004
0363 HERMOSA							
EARLY VOTE	61	4.92%	665	53.63%	4	0.32%	1,240
ELECTION DAY	31	13.90%	89	39.91%	2	0.90%	223
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	7
Total	92	6.26%	756	51.43%	6	0.41%	1,470
0368 HIDALGO							
EARLY VOTE	131	9.29%	602	42.70%	0	0.00%	1,410
ELECTION DAY	31	12.45%	75	30.12%	2	0.80%	249
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	162	9.74%	679	40.83%	2	0.12%	1,663
0374 HILTON							
EARLY VOTE	28	8.78%	77	24.14%	1	0.31%	319
ELECTION DAY	6	8.82%	21	30.88%	0	0.00%	68
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%	3
Total	34	8.72%	100	25.64%	1	0.26%	390

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0379 HOLLY				
EARLY VOTE	383	916	75	1
ELECTION DAY	52	916	13	0
PROVISIONAL	0	916	0	0
Total	435	916	88	1
0439 LASSEN				
EARLY VOTE	1,347	3,567	228	26
ELECTION DAY	213	3,567	44	4
PROVISIONAL	5	3,567	2	0
Total	1,565	3,567	274	30
0442 LAVEEN MEADOWS				
EARLY VOTE	994	2,487	173	27
ELECTION DAY	194	2,487	55	8
PROVISIONAL	8	2,487	3	0
Total	1,196	2,487	231	35
0471 LOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	306	1,072	46	2
ELECTION DAY	46	1,072	9	1
PROVISIONAL	6	1,072	1	0
Total	358	1,072	56	3
0499 MAYFLOWER				
EARLY VOTE	940	1,923	285	11
ELECTION DAY	205	1,923	69	2
PROVISIONAL	8	1,923	6	0
Total	1,153	1,923	360	13
0503 MCDOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	334	677	76	3
ELECTION DAY	50	677	17	0
PROVISIONAL	0	677	0	0
Total	384	677	93	3

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0379 HOLLY				
EARLY VOTE	33	10.75%	166	54.07%
ELECTION DAY	5	12.82%	15	38.46%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	38	10.98%	181	52.31%
0439 LASSEN				
EARLY VOTE	121	11.07%	542	49.59%
ELECTION DAY	34	20.61%	68	41.21%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	155	12.29%	610	48.37%
0442 LAVEEN MEADOWS				
EARLY VOTE	64	8.06%	244	30.73%
ELECTION DAY	36	27.48%	33	25.19%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	20.00%
Total	100	10.75%	278	29.89%
0471 LOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	26	10.08%	138	53.49%
ELECTION DAY	6	16.67%	18	50.00%
PROVISIONAL	2	40.00%	1	20.00%
Total	34	11.37%	157	52.51%
0499 MAYFLOWER				
EARLY VOTE	63	9.78%	239	37.11%
ELECTION DAY	16	11.94%	52	38.81%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	79	10.13%	292	37.44%
0503 MCDOWELL				
EARLY VOTE	21	8.24%	124	48.63%
ELECTION DAY	2	6.06%	11	33.33%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	23	7.99%	135	46.88%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0379 HOLLY							
EARLY VOTE	34	11.07%	73	23.78%	1	0.33%	307
ELECTION DAY	7	17.95%	8	20.51%	4	10.26%	39
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	41	11.85%	81	23.41%	5	1.45%	346
0439 LASSEN							
EARLY VOTE	107	9.79%	317	29.00%	6	0.55%	1,093
ELECTION DAY	23	13.94%	39	23.64%	1	0.61%	165
PROVISIONAL	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	3
Total	132	10.47%	357	28.31%	7	0.56%	1,261
0442 LAVEEN MEADOWS							
EARLY VOTE	101	12.72%	383	48.24%	2	0.25%	794
ELECTION DAY	22	16.79%	40	30.53%	0	0.00%	131
PROVISIONAL	1	20.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	5
Total	124	13.33%	426	45.81%	2	0.22%	930
0471 LOWELL							
EARLY VOTE	8	3.10%	82	31.78%	4	1.55%	258
ELECTION DAY	3	8.33%	7	19.44%	2	5.56%	36
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	5
Total	11	3.68%	91	30.43%	6	2.01%	299
0499 MAYFLOWER							
EARLY VOTE	87	13.51%	253	39.29%	2	0.31%	644
ELECTION DAY	25	18.66%	39	29.10%	2	1.49%	134
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	113	14.49%	292	37.44%	4	0.51%	780
0503 MCDOWELL							
EARLY VOTE	15	5.88%	94	36.86%	1	0.39%	255
ELECTION DAY	5	15.15%	15	45.45%	0	0.00%	33
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	20	6.94%	109	37.85%	1	0.35%	288

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0528 MONROE				
EARLY VOTE	704	1,641	125	5
ELECTION DAY	146	1,641	42	2
PROVISIONAL	1	1,641	0	0
Total	851	1,641	167	7
0574 NVP 6				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0575 NVP 7				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0587 OLNEY				
EARLY VOTE	435	859	75	17
ELECTION DAY	98	859	22	4
PROVISIONAL	2	859	0	0
Total	535	859	97	21
0601 PALM				
EARLY VOTE	302	660	69	3
ELECTION DAY	51	660	16	0
PROVISIONAL	3	660	1	0
Total	356	660	86	3
0608 PALMDALE				
EARLY VOTE	922	2,974	155	15
ELECTION DAY	220	2,974	53	4
PROVISIONAL	3	2,974	0	0
Total	1,145	2,974	208	19

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0528 MONROE				
EARLY VOTE	60	10.45%	240	41.81%
ELECTION DAY	18	17.65%	30	29.41%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	78	11.52%	270	39.88%
0574 NVP 6				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0575 NVP 7				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0587 OLNEY				
EARLY VOTE	44	12.83%	130	37.90%
ELECTION DAY	17	23.61%	18	25.00%
PROVISIONAL	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Total	62	14.87%	149	35.73%
0601 PALM				
EARLY VOTE	19	8.26%	104	45.22%
ELECTION DAY	6	17.14%	16	45.71%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	25	9.36%	121	45.32%
0608 PALMDALE				
EARLY VOTE	63	8.38%	369	49.07%
ELECTION DAY	28	17.18%	67	41.10%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
Total	91	9.91%	437	47.60%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0528 MONROE							
EARLY VOTE	40	6.97%	232	40.42%	2	0.35%	574
ELECTION DAY	21	20.59%	33	32.35%	0	0.00%	102
PROVISIONAL	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Total	62	9.16%	265	39.14%	2	0.30%	677
0574 NVP 6							
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	0		0		0		0
0575 NVP 7							
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	0		0		0		0
0587 OLNEY							
EARLY VOTE	40	11.66%	128	37.32%	1	0.29%	343
ELECTION DAY	15	20.83%	21	29.17%	1	1.39%	72
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	55	13.19%	149	35.73%	2	0.48%	417
0601 PALM							
EARLY VOTE	35	15.22%	71	30.87%	1	0.43%	230
ELECTION DAY	6	17.14%	7	20.00%	0	0.00%	35
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	41	15.36%	79	29.59%	1	0.37%	267
0608 PALMDALE							
EARLY VOTE	35	4.65%	284	37.77%	1	0.13%	752
ELECTION DAY	12	7.36%	54	33.13%	2	1.23%	163
PROVISIONAL	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	3
Total	48	5.23%	339	36.93%	3	0.33%	918

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0635 PERRY PARK				
EARLY VOTE	261	669	51	6
ELECTION DAY	64	669	23	1
PROVISIONAL	1	669	1	0
Total	326	669	75	7
0639 PIERCE				
EARLY VOTE	1,016	2,132	264	10
ELECTION DAY	204	2,132	70	2
PROVISIONAL	10	2,132	2	0
Total	1,230	2,132	336	12
0653 POLK				
EARLY VOTE	111	224	20	4
ELECTION DAY	26	224	12	0
PROVISIONAL	1	224	1	0
Total	138	224	33	4
0664 PUEBLO GRANDE				
EARLY VOTE	448	1,486	88	3
ELECTION DAY	102	1,486	29	2
PROVISIONAL	3	1,486	2	1
Total	553	1,486	119	6
0702 ROESER				
EARLY VOTE	1,899	4,778	320	45
ELECTION DAY	304	4,778	60	9
PROVISIONAL	4	4,778	0	0
Total	2,207	4,778	380	54
0712 RUBY				
EARLY VOTE	852	1,455	187	17
ELECTION DAY	139	1,455	48	0
PROVISIONAL	0	1,455	0	0
Total	991	1,455	235	17

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0635 PERRY PARK				
EARLY VOTE	22	10.78%	103	50.49%
ELECTION DAY	5	12.50%	22	55.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	27	11.07%	125	51.23%
0639 PIERCE				
EARLY VOTE	75	10.11%	274	36.93%
ELECTION DAY	22	16.67%	32	24.24%
PROVISIONAL	1	12.50%	4	50.00%
Total	98	11.11%	310	35.15%
0653 POLK				
EARLY VOTE	6	6.90%	44	50.57%
ELECTION DAY	1	7.14%	10	71.43%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	7	6.93%	54	53.47%
0664 PUEBLO GRANDE				
EARLY VOTE	38	10.64%	198	55.46%
ELECTION DAY	17	23.94%	26	36.62%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	55	12.85%	224	52.34%
0702 ROESER				
EARLY VOTE	182	11.86%	589	38.40%
ELECTION DAY	43	18.30%	76	32.34%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	50.00%
Total	225	12.69%	667	37.62%
0712 RUBY				
EARLY VOTE	55	8.49%	224	34.57%
ELECTION DAY	11	12.09%	28	30.77%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	66	8.93%	252	34.10%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0635 PERRY PARK							
EARLY VOTE	16	7.84%	63	30.88%	0	0.00%	204
ELECTION DAY	4	10.00%	9	22.50%	0	0.00%	40
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	20	8.20%	72	29.51%	0	0.00%	244
0639 PIERCE							
EARLY VOTE	117	15.77%	273	36.79%	3	0.40%	742
ELECTION DAY	29	21.97%	48	36.36%	1	0.76%	132
PROVISIONAL	2	25.00%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%	8
Total	148	16.78%	322	36.51%	4	0.45%	882
0653 POLK							
EARLY VOTE	13	14.94%	24	27.59%	0	0.00%	87
ELECTION DAY	2	14.29%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%	14
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	15	14.85%	25	24.75%	0	0.00%	101
0664 PUEBLO GRANDE							
EARLY VOTE	27	7.56%	94	26.33%	0	0.00%	357
ELECTION DAY	12	16.90%	16	22.54%	0	0.00%	71
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	39	9.11%	110	25.70%	0	0.00%	428
0702 ROESER							
EARLY VOTE	113	7.37%	647	42.18%	3	0.20%	1,534
ELECTION DAY	42	17.87%	73	31.06%	1	0.43%	235
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	155	8.74%	722	40.72%	4	0.23%	1,773
0712 RUBY							
EARLY VOTE	108	16.67%	260	40.12%	1	0.15%	648
ELECTION DAY	25	27.47%	24	26.37%	3	3.30%	91
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	133	18.00%	284	38.43%	4	0.54%	739

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0757 SIERRA VISTA				
EARLY VOTE	1,271	2,946	170	21
ELECTION DAY	216	2,946	41	8
PROVISIONAL	6	2,946	2	0
Total	1,493	2,946	213	29
0771 SOUTH MTN HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	911	2,738	152	23
ELECTION DAY	165	2,738	28	6
PROVISIONAL	14	2,738	4	0
Total	1,090	2,738	184	29
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK				
EARLY VOTE	69	109	19	0
ELECTION DAY	11	109	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	109	0	0
Total	80	109	19	0
0778 SOUTHERN				
EARLY VOTE	0	0	0	0
ELECTION DAY	0	0	0	0
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
0794 SUMMERSIDE				
EARLY VOTE	1,016	1,821	197	39
ELECTION DAY	192	1,821	46	4
PROVISIONAL	7	1,821	3	0
Total	1,215	1,821	246	43
0830 TESSERA				
EARLY VOTE	29	100	8	0
ELECTION DAY	14	100	6	0
PROVISIONAL	1	100	1	0
Total	44	100	15	0

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0757 SIERRA VISTA				
EARLY VOTE	133	12.31%	415	38.43%
ELECTION DAY	33	19.76%	72	43.11%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	25.00%
Total	166	13.27%	488	39.01%
0771 SOUTH MTN HIGH				
EARLY VOTE	82	11.14%	327	44.43%
ELECTION DAY	16	12.21%	46	35.11%
PROVISIONAL	2	20.00%	3	30.00%
Total	100	11.40%	376	42.87%
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK				
EARLY VOTE	3	6.00%	22	44.00%
ELECTION DAY	4	36.36%	3	27.27%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	7	11.48%	25	40.98%
0778 SOUTHERN				
EARLY VOTE	0		0	
ELECTION DAY	0		0	
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	0		0	
0794 SUMMERSIDE				
EARLY VOTE	89	11.41%	222	28.46%
ELECTION DAY	17	11.97%	44	30.99%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	4	100.00%
Total	106	11.45%	270	29.16%
0830 TESSERA				
EARLY VOTE	3	14.29%	4	19.05%
ELECTION DAY	1	12.50%	2	25.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	4	13.79%	6	20.69%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0757 SIERRA VISTA							
EARLY VOTE	68	6.30%	461	42.69%	3	0.28%	1,080
ELECTION DAY	16	9.58%	44	26.35%	2	1.20%	167
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	4
Total	84	6.71%	507	40.53%	6	0.48%	1,251
0771 SOUTH MTN HIGH							
EARLY VOTE	54	7.34%	272	36.96%	1	0.14%	736
ELECTION DAY	30	22.90%	38	29.01%	1	0.76%	131
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%	10
Total	84	9.58%	315	35.92%	2	0.23%	877
0772 SOUTH MTN PARK							
EARLY VOTE	11	22.00%	14	28.00%	0	0.00%	50
ELECTION DAY	4	36.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	11
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	15	24.59%	14	22.95%	0	0.00%	61
0778 SOUTHERN							
EARLY VOTE	0		0		0		0
ELECTION DAY	0		0		0		0
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	0		0		0		0
0794 SUMMERSIDE							
EARLY VOTE	121	15.51%	345	44.23%	3	0.38%	780
ELECTION DAY	33	23.24%	46	32.39%	2	1.41%	142
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4
Total	154	16.63%	391	42.22%	5	0.54%	926
0830 TESSERA							
EARLY VOTE	4	19.05%	10	47.62%	0	0.00%	21
ELECTION DAY	1	12.50%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	8
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	5	17.24%	14	48.28%	0	0.00%	29

Precinct	Times Cast	Registered Voters	Undervotes	Overvotes
0864 VAN BUREN				
EARLY VOTE	158	576	22	1
ELECTION DAY	25	576	5	0
PROVISIONAL	1	576	1	0
Total	184	576	28	1
0875 VINEYARD				
EARLY VOTE	1,751	3,416	389	31
ELECTION DAY	284	3,416	91	5
PROVISIONAL	6	3,416	3	0
Total	2,041	3,416	483	36
0899 WESTWARD HO				
EARLY VOTE	975	2,075	223	12
ELECTION DAY	274	2,075	110	0
PROVISIONAL	3	2,075	3	0
Total	1,252	2,075	336	12
0929 YALE				
EARLY VOTE	719	1,676	136	0
ELECTION DAY	162	1,676	43	2
PROVISIONAL	2	1,676	0	0
Total	883	1,676	179	2
Election Wide - Total	45,530	90,458	9,381	848
County - Total	45,530	90,458	9,381	848

Precinct	CEBALLOS VINER, DENISE (NON)		GARCIA, CARLOS (NON)	
0864 VAN BUREN				
EARLY VOTE	18	13.33%	74	54.81%
ELECTION DAY	3	15.00%	13	65.00%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	21	13.55%	87	56.13%
0875 VINEYARD				
EARLY VOTE	238	17.88%	400	30.05%
ELECTION DAY	47	25.00%	40	21.28%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
Total	285	18.73%	441	28.98%
0899 WESTWARD HO				
EARLY VOTE	47	6.35%	358	48.38%
ELECTION DAY	22	13.41%	64	39.02%
PROVISIONAL	0		0	
Total	69	7.63%	422	46.68%
0929 YALE				
EARLY VOTE	73	12.52%	269	46.14%
ELECTION DAY	19	16.24%	45	38.46%
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Total	92	13.11%	315	44.87%
Election Wide - Total	4,057	11.49%	13,896	39.36%
County - Total	4,057	11.49%	13,896	39.36%

Precinct	GRIEMSMANN, NICK "NICKG" (NON)		HODGE WASHINGTON, KESHA (NON)		Write-in		Total Votes
0864 VAN BUREN							
EARLY VOTE	8	5.93%	33	24.44%	2	1.48%	135
ELECTION DAY	1	5.00%	3	15.00%	0	0.00%	20
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	9	5.81%	36	23.23%	2	1.29%	155
0875 VINEYARD							
EARLY VOTE	136	10.22%	555	41.70%	2	0.15%	1,331
ELECTION DAY	33	17.55%	67	35.64%	1	0.53%	188
PROVISIONAL	2	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3
Total	171	11.24%	622	40.87%	3	0.20%	1,522
0899 WESTWARD HO							
EARLY VOTE	44	5.95%	289	39.05%	2	0.27%	740
ELECTION DAY	22	13.41%	55	33.54%	1	0.61%	164
PROVISIONAL	0		0		0		0
Total	66	7.30%	344	38.05%	3	0.33%	904
0929 YALE							
EARLY VOTE	46	7.89%	195	33.45%	0	0.00%	583
ELECTION DAY	15	12.82%	37	31.62%	1	0.85%	117
PROVISIONAL	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	2
Total	61	8.69%	233	33.19%	1	0.14%	702
Election Wide - Total	3,858	10.93%	13,371	37.88%	119	0.34%	35,301
County - Total	3,858	10.93%	13,371	37.88%	119	0.34%	35,301

The City Council therefore finds and hereby certifies and declares that the following named persons, having received a majority of the votes cast in said Council Election, are elected to the office of Council Member in Districts 2 and 4 for four-year terms beginning on April 17, 2023.

DISTRICT 2 COUNCIL MEMBER

Jim Waring

DISTRICT 4 COUNCIL MEMBER

Laura Pastor

The City Council therefore finds and hereby certifies and declares that none of the candidates in the Council Election for Council Member in Districts 6 and 8 received a majority of the votes cast, and therefore, a Runoff Election of the following candidates will be held on March 14, 2023:

DISTRICT 6 COUNCIL MEMBER

Kevin Robinson
Sam Stone

DISTRICT 8 COUNCIL MEMBER

Carlos Garcia
Kesha Hodge Washington

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Council of the City of Phoenix hereby declares the attached results to be the official results of said Council Election, this 7th day of December, 2022.



Kate Gallego
Mayor



City Clerk
SEAL



File **Message** Insert Format text Draw Options   Aptos 12 **B** *I* U   ...    Send

From: Racelle Escolar <racelle.escolar@phoenix.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2025 5:23 PM
To: Amanda McGowan [REDACTED]
Cc: Adrian G Zambrano <adrian.zambrano@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: City Council Meeting Rules Question- Northpark

Hi Amanda,

I'm writing in response to your inquiry regarding the signs that were posted and subsequently removed. I've confirmed that staff from our Neighborhood Services Department conducted a sweep of the area and removed signs that were located within the public right-of-way. In accordance with City Code, signage is not permitted in the right-of-way. The signs were discarded. Their protocol is that signs with an estimated value of \$25 or less are not retained.

I believe the City Clerk has addressed your remaining questions below. Please don't hesitate to reach out if you need any additional information or clarification.

Sincerely,

Racelle Escolar, AICP
Principal Planner
Planning and Development Department



Jeff Johnson

That is great, but a delay will not matter! They are going to vote to approve this regardless of the opposition. City council will do what they have to do regardless of the commissions recommendations.

3d Like Reply



Randy Durston

Jeff Johnson There are people that don't want this. For you to say that the delay does not matter. You're telling us that our voice doesn't matter. This is the problem with people like you just letting big corporations just ruin everything. You're fine with that?! 🙄😏👎

3d Like Reply



Jeff Johnson

No I am not - I am just saying (since I was on that committee) that it does not matter..... The city council will vote to approve it anyway....



Jeff Johnson

That is great, but a delay will not matter! They are going to vote to approve this regardless of the opposition. City council will do what they have to do regardless of the commissions recommendations.

3d Like Reply



Randy Durston

Jeff Johnson There are people that don't want this. For you to say that the delay does not matter. You're telling us that our voice doesn't matter. This is the problem with people like you just letting big corporations just ruin everything. You're fine with that?! 🗣️😏👎

3d Like Reply



Jeff Johnson

No I am not - I am just saying (since I was on that committee) that it does not matter..... The city council will vote to approve it anyway....

3d Like Reply

Valley Vibe's Post



Jennifer Ross

Jeff Johnson You were on which committee? What was it called and how were individuals selected?

3d Like Reply



Jeff Johnson

Jennifer Ross planning committee

3d Like Reply



Jennifer Ross

Jeff Johnson That's what I thought but wanted to confirm. Thank you.

3d Like Reply



Jennifer Ross

Jeff Johnson Also, when you say "do what they have to do" what exactly does that mean.

3d Like Reply



Jeff Johnson

Jennifer Ross show up and complain. Believe me, the decision has already been made

3d Like Reply





Jennifer Ross

Jeff Johnson I did and none of you listened to us. You all voted UNANIMOUSLY within 30 seconds. You guys couldn't even bother to table the vote to a later date. It was very clear that you all knew going in that you were all going to say the same thing, and I'm guessing it's because you **have** to. Why didn't you just tell us that upfront and not waste everyone's time, including your own? I'm sure you had better things to do with those 2+ hours than listen to those 40-50 residents speak up and out. And again, when you say the decision has already been made, how do you know definitively? Did Anne tell you that? Pulte? The CEO of TSMC? What do you know that we don't know?? 🤔🙄

3d Like Reply Edited



Jennifer Ross

Jeff Johnson And maybe I'm wrong. Maybe this wasn't a waste of your time. What did **you** get out of this?

3d Like Reply



Jennifer Ross

Erin Bryant I know, but I want humans to have a set of ethics, morals, and values and just own up to it.

3d Like Reply



Brad Champion

Jennifer Ross just to clarify what I am seeing, Jeff was at one point in time on the committee but is no longer on the committee. He is stating something that we all should know by now, the decision was made a long time ago for this to go through, and no amount of protesting or complaining is going to change things.

3d Like Reply



Jennifer Ross

Brad Champion Thank you. Maybe he walked away bc they're unethical? I have no idea.